Note by the secretariat



Informal document WP.29-190-24 190th WP.29, 20-22 June 2023 Agenda item 4.5.

Assessment of UN Regulations under the purview of GRVA with regards to the use of the

Unique Identifier (UI) – 2 valid views

WP.29

Views expressed at the 16th session of GRVA



Assessment of UN Regulations (GRVA-16-19)

- The template was filled out under the assumption that the UI concept would be realized and activated in DETA
- This assessment did not take accounts of the concerns expressed by some delegations e.g. at WP.29.

	Use of UI	Use of UI possible			Remarks
	prohibited				
UN Regulations		Is there additional information		Should additional physical	E.g. justifications for additional
under the		required in the approval mark!	document	marking remain on the product	
purview of		(Yes/No)	necessary	(Yes/No)?	on the product
GRVA			(Yes/No)	In case of yes, which one(s)?**	
				Yes, either	
				the approval mark	
UN R 13	N/A	Yes1)	Yes*	or the UI marking	
				plus marking per	
				Annex X to UN R 131)	
UN R 13-H		_		Yes, either	No additional information is
00 series of	N/A	Yes ²⁾	Yes*	the approval mark	needed on the product in the
amendments				or the UI marking	case if UI is marked2).
UN R 13-H					
01 and next				Yes, either	
series of	N/A	No	Yes*	the approval mark	
501105 51				or the UI marking	
amendments					
				Yes, either	
UN R 78	N/A	No	Yes*	the approval mark	
				or the UI marking	
				Yes, either	
UN R 79	N/A	No	Yes*	the approval mark	
ONKIS	IN/A	140	163		
				or the UI marking	
UN R 89				Yes, either	
component	N/A	No	Yes*	the approval mark	
approval				or the UI marking	

Views expressed at the 16th session of GRVA



Assessment of UN Regulations (GRVA-16-44)

Basis for the assessment in GRVA-16-44:

This alternative assessment was provided, having in mind:

- The initial justification for UI i.e. issues related to space on automotive products not always available for multiple marking
- The practical needs of other stakeholders

Table 1
Assessment of UN Regulations under the purview of GRVA with regards to UI

	Use of UI		Remarks		
UN Regulations under the purview of GRVA	prohibited	Is there additional information required in the approval mark ¹ (Yes/No)	Summary document necessary (Yes/No)	Should additional physical marking remain on the product (Yes/No)? In case of yes, which one(s)?	E.g. justifications for additional physical markings that must remain on the product
UN R 13	X	Yes	-	Yes, Type II marking M2/M3 Annex X: brake forces	Important information periodically checked
UN R 13-H	X	Yes	-	Yes, ESC + VSF	Important information periodically checked
UN R 78	X	-	-	-	No added value & no issues of space
UN R 79	X	-	-	Series of amendments (LKA, LCA)	No added value & no issues of space
UN R 89 Vehicle	X	Yes	-	Yes, Speed limitation	Important information periodically checked
UN R 89 Component	X	-	-	-	No added value & no issues of space
UN R 90	X	-	-	-	Already adopted by the GRVA

Summary



GRVA discussed:

- → The time needed to deliver the UI functionality in DETA.
- → The need to identify issues associated with UI that were not obvious at the beginning, in the current context.

OICA noted that the benefits of UI was mainly for small parts, not for the whole vehicle

CITA stated that UI, as envisaged, did not support harmonization

Upon request, CLEPA clarified that they did not support nor object putting UI on hold