#### UNITED NATIONS ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

#### CONFERENCE OF EUROPEAN STATISTICIANS

Meeting of the 2022/2023 Bureau Cork, Ireland, 12-13 October 2022

ECE/CES/BUR/2022/OCT/3/Add.1 8 October 2022

Approved

Item 2 (b) of the Provisional Agenda

## GUIDELINES ON THE IN-DEPTH REVIEWS OF SELECTED STATISTICAL AREAS BY THE CES BUREAU

#### Prepared by the UNECE

## Summary

The Bureau of the Conference of European Statisticians reviews each year selected statistical areas in depth. The purpose of the reviews is to improve coordination of statistical activities in the region of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, identify gaps or duplication of work, and address emerging issues.

The present document is a revised version of the 2012 guidelines. The Bureau reviewed and approved the revised guidelines for the preparation of in-depth reviews, including the template for papers provided in the Annex.

## I. Purpose of the reviews

- 1. The Bureau of the Conference of European Statisticians (CES) regularly reviews selected statistical areas in depth to improve the coordination of statistical activities in the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) region, identify gaps or duplication of work, and address emerging issues. The review focuses on strategic issues and highlights concerns of statistical offices of both a conceptual and a coordinating nature. The review is based on a paper prepared by an invited country/organization. The aim of the discussion at the CES Bureau meeting is to decide on follow-up actions at the international level to address the issues identified by the review.
- 2. The in-depth reviews are important tools for carrying out two key mandates of the CES and its Bureau, namely:
- (a) To promote close coordination of the statistical activities of international organizations.
  - (b) To respond to any emerging need for international statistical cooperation.
- 3. The outcomes of completed in-depth reviews are sent for comments through an electronic consultation to all the CES member countries and submitted to the CES plenary session for endorsement. Follow-up actions to address identified issues are decided by the Conference and its Bureau and often lead to new work by teams of specialists, such as developing or updating guidelines/recommendations, making an inventory of international activities in the area, or improving sharing of information in the area.

## II. Selection of topics

- 4. Each year, the Bureau reviews in-depth 4 topics. The topics should be of interest to the Heads of offices and concern emerging issues or an established area where are new developments, gaps or coordination are needed.
- 5. In January, a list of possible topics is consulted with all CES members, who indicate their interest and express willingness to contribute to those topics. Countries will also be encouraged to propose additional topics.
- 6. In February, the CES Bureau selects 4 topics to be reviewed in depth (2 for October same year and 2 for February of next year) and decides if any topic requires a pre-review discussion in a seminar format at the CES plenary session. After completing the reviews, the Bureau chooses one or two topics each year for a post-review discussion in a seminar format at the next CES plenary session.

## III. Papers providing the basis for the in-depth reviews

- 7. The CES Bureau invites a country or organization to prepare a paper to provide the main basis for the in-depth review. The paper can also be written jointly by several countries/organizations. Other members of the Bureau and international organizations active in the area under review are invited to contribute short notes to the discussion.
- 8. The paper should be a maximum of 10-12 pages. A recommended structure for the paper is given in the Annex.

## IV. The review process

9. The process of an in-depth review can be briefly described as presented in table 1 below.

Table 1. In-depth review process for a topic selected in the year 2023.

Time	eline	Action	CES Bureau	Secretariat	Authors	All CES members
January 2023		Secretariat compiles a list of topics				
	•	in consultation with the Bureau				
		and sends to all CES members for		X		
		electronic consultation.				
		All CES members express their				
		interest in proposed topics and				
		contributing to an in-depth review				X
		or CES session. CES members can				
		propose additional topics.				
February 2023		Secretariat submits to the CES				
		Bureau the summary of electronic		X		
		consultation.				
		CES Bureau selects 4 topics for				
		in-depth review, designates the				
		author countries or organizations				
		and decides if the topic requires a	X			
		pre-review discussion at the CES				
		seminar.				
Marcl	h 2023	Secretariat informs the designated				
		countries about the process,		X		
		template and deadlines.				
		Authors start the work			X	
June 2023		All CES members take note of the				
		planned in-depth reviews and				
		inform the Secretariat if they want				
		to contribute.				X
		Optional: Pre-review seminar				
		discussion if decided in February.				
Sep 2023	Jan 2024	Authors finish the work and				
		submit the paper to the Bureau.			X	
Oct 2023	Feb 2024	CES Bureau conducts the in-depth				
		reviews.	X			
Februa	ry 2024	CES Bureau decides if the topic				
	•	will be discussed in a seminar in in	X			
		June (post-review discussion).				
Mar	2024	Authors update the paper if				
		necessary.			X	
April	2024	Secretariat sends the paper for				
1		electronic consultation.		X		
April-May 2024		All CES members review the				
•	•	outcomes of the in-depth reviews				
		and send comments through the				X
		electronic consultation.				
June 2024		All CES members endorse the				
		outcomes during the plenary				
		session.				X
		Optional: Discuss the topic in a				
		seminar session if selected.				

# Annex. Template for the papers providing basis for the indepth reviews by the CES Bureau<sup>1</sup>

## In-depth review of [statistical area]

#### Prepared by [country/organization]

## I. Executive summary

1. About 1 page summarizing the main findings.

## II. Introduction

2. The introduction should include the aim of the review. The following text can be used:

"The Bureau of the Conference of European Statisticians (CES) regularly reviews selected statistical areas in depth. The aim of the reviews is to improve the coordination of statistical activities in the UNECE region, identify gaps or duplication of work, and address emerging issues. The review focuses on strategic issues and highlights concerns of statistical offices of both a conceptual and a coordinating nature. The current paper provides the basis for the review by summarising the international statistical activities in the selected area, identifying issues and problems, and making recommendations on possible follow-up actions.

The CES Bureau selected [ topic ] for an in-depth review at its [ ... ] meeting. [Country/organization] was requested to prepare the paper providing the main basis for the review."

## III. Scope/definition of the statistical area covered

3. Define the scope/coverage of the statistical area under review.

#### IV. Overview of international statistical activities in the area

[International organization 1]

[International organization 2]

4. The section provides a brief overview of the past and ongoing activities of each international organization involved in the selected statistical area, making clear the specialization and value added of each organization. The overview should indicate the main outcomes of work (standards, classifications, handbooks, manuals, recommendations, etc.). Other leading activities outside official statistics that have an impact on the statistical work can also be covered. The main focus of the in-depth review is on the UNECE region, but key developments and actors from other regions may be covered.

## V. [Country practices] - optional

[Country 1]

[Country 2]

5. The section provides an overview of the work of selected countries in the statistical area under review. The authors of the paper may ask a few countries who have experience in the selected area to present their practices, problems and issues, etc. It is desirable to include countries with differing practices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The template should be viewed as a guideline. The structure of the paper may be adjusted depending on the nature of the topic under review.

## VI. [Impact of recent developments on the statistical area] - optional

6. The section can cover how recent developments, such as shocks, crises or new demands, have affected the work of statistical offices/international organizations in the area, for example, adjustments related to data production and dissemination, data holdings, methodologies, communication strategies, statistical programs, etc.

## VII. Issues and challenges

[ Issue 1 ]
[ Issue 2 ]

7. This section provides the most important information for the review. Main issues and problems in the area should be described, in particular in international statistical work. The issues should be considered from a strategic and future-oriented viewpoint. The paper may make a distinction between conceptual issues, measurement issues, managerial issues, etc. The in-depth review should pay particular attention to analysing user needs for statistics and synergies with related activities outside the statistical community.

#### VIII. Conclusions and recommendations

8. Based on the conclusions from the overview of international work undertaken in the area and the related issues and challenges, the paper should finish with concrete recommendations and proposals to the Bureau on how to solve the identified problems. This section should prioritise the issues and propose actions taking into account the limited resources of statistical offices. The proposals can relate to, e.g. setting up a Task Force to develop or update guidelines/recommendations, making an inventory of international activities in the area, improving sharing of information in the area (creating a knowledge base, wiki), etc. The Bureau will consider the recommendations and decide on possible follow-up actions during the in-depth review discussion.

5