

# Recognizability of ADS from the perspective of enforcement

85TH MEETING GLOBAL FORUM FOR ROAD TRAFFIC SAFETY

AGENDA ITEM 'OPTICAL AND/OR AUDIBLE SIGNALS IN DAS AND ADS VEHICLES'



# In general

- Continue discussion on recognizability
- > Focus on perspective of enforcement
- Work toward a harmonized standard?
- Promote cooperation between WP.1 and WP.29
- Include variying fields of expertise



### Considerations

- Responsibility
- > Remote operation
- > Exemption/permit/status of the system
- > Police street checks



## Responsibility

- Who is responsible for a certain action?
- > No confusion
- No snap decision
- Availability of (certain) information



## Remote operation

#### Practical challenges

- How can the enforcement officer determine who the remote operator is, and where the remote operator is located?
- How do the enforcement officer and the remote operator deal with situations where the remote operator can no longer control the vehicle?
- How does the enforcement officer know whether the remote operator meets the standards for remote operation?
- How should enforcement deal with cross-border remote operation, and should we allow cross-border remote operation at all?

#### Additional standards?



# Exemption/permit/status of the system

- Clear to enforcement officer what driver/passenger is (not) allowed to do
- Documents and information should be readily available and interpretable



## Police street checks

Respond to (stop) signs from enforcement officers



## Final note

- > Please share your views
- How to proceed?
  - (In)formal document with considerations?
  - Formal statement?