



## Question: What are the most important attributes of a flagging system for aggregated values?

1. Aggregation across types of wood (coniferous / non-coniferous) within a product type.
2. Aggregation across product types.
3. Aggregation across countries – Currently labeled as “Aggregate, may include official, semi-official, estimated or calculated data”

| Types of Solutions   | Pros   | Cons  |
|--|--|---|
| If an item is aggregated (official, estimated etc.), flag as aggregated. | Maximally transparent; forces data user to investigate components and develop custom solution. | Adds an additional flag not in SDMX; forces custom solutions that may not be comparable across data streams, organizations, or analyses.  |
| If an item has any estimated values, flag as estimated.                  | Conservative, in that only fully official data are labeled as such.                            | Information submitted officially is labeled as an estimate; dataset appears less reliable than it is.   |
| If an item has any official values, flag as official.                    | Data set looks maximally reliable.   | Sources of uncertainty are hidden; information not submitted officially is flagged as official.   |
| Use a threshold (e.g., 67%) to determine flag as estimated or official.  | Compromise approach; in-line with some other national organizations.                           | The flag itself depends on the accuracy of the data estimation; threshold is arbitrary; Information submitted officially is labeled as an estimate; sources of uncertainty are hidden; information not submitted officially is flagged as official. |
| Other ...  |  |   |