Reporting fossil fuel subsidies in the context of the SDG 12.c.l: the case of Italy

Chiara Antonelli

Gionata Castaldi

Ministry of Economy and Finance

SDG Indicator 12.c. I training - May 23, 2022

The views expressed in the presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the MEF

Enhancing Transparency on FFS: reporting tools

- The Catalogue of EHS and EFS in Italy
- ☐ The G20 Peer Review on FFS: Italy and Indonesia
- ☐ The pilot test on the indicator SDG 12.c.1
- Looking to specific subsidies as potential case studies

The goal of the Catalogue Definition of a subsidy

- Parliament, Government and the scientific community; it attempts to report all the information made available and the possible and legitimate various evaluations of experts and public bodies. The final evaluations expressed in the Catalogue remain the responsibility of the Ministry for Ecological Transition.
- The definition of a subsidy, as provided by the law mandating the Catalogue, is wide, including **incentives**, **benefits**, **tax exemptions** and **credits concerning taxes** related to environmental protection.

Definition of a subsidy

| First level classification | Second level classification (case studies) | Who covers the subsidy and how |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| D: 4 1 : 1: | Direct transfers of public resources to economic agents Potential transfer of public resources to economic agents | Public bodies (public expenditure) |
| Direct subsidies | Direct transfers of resources withdrawn through tariffs on public services | Public service users (e.g. electricity bills) |
| Indirect subsidies | Tax expenditures (any form of exemption, exclusion, reduction of tax base or rate as a consequence of current regulations): - Selective exemptions of specific categories of beneficiaries deviating from general principles and obligations, as provided by current regulations (e.g., exemption from tax rates or duties) - tax rate reductions (implementation of a reduced tax rate); - tax base deduction (e.g., deduction from total revenue, reliefs, e.g. royalties oil & gas); - reduction of taxation (deduction, tax credit, substitute taxes); - reimbursement of taxes; - tax deferrals; - favourable tax regimes (tax regimes alternative to ordinary ones); - implementation of a flat-rate criteria to determine the tax base and that can potentially bring to foregone revenue (e.g. fringe benefit for company cars). | Public bodies (foregone revenue) |
| Indirect subsidies | Implicit subsidies arising from different fiscal treatment of comparable and equivalent activities or products (excise duties on fuels used for electricity production vs industrial uses, different tax treatment among diesel/gasoline, underpricing of natural resources, etc.) | Public bodies (foregone revenue) |
| | Tariff benefits or exemptions to specific categories of consumers (cross-subsidies) (e.g. bonus to poor families, etc.) | Specific public service users (treatment inequality that determine environmental damage) |
| Out of Scope | Implicit financial transfers resulting from a lack of full cost pricing (external costs) | Public bodies (foregone revenue), citizens (burden of environmental costs from polluters to collectivity) |

The Catalogue at its Fourth Edition: Main Results

| | | 2019 | 2020 | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Sector | Number of measures | Nur | | Financial effect (mln €) | | |
| Agriculture & Fisheries | | | | | | |
| | 53 | 7.408,62 | 52 | 7.278,75 | | |
| Energy | | | | | | |
| | 48 | 23.527,65 | 48 | 21.567,42 | | |
| Transport | | | | | | |
| | 14 | 1.478,75 | 17 | 2.479,71 | | |
| Other subsidies | | | | | | |
| | 38 | 7.690,74 | 42 | 7.910,23 | | |
| Reduced VAT | | | | | | |
| | 21 | 14.900,07 | 21 | 14.966,03 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total IV CSA | 174 | 55.005,73 | 180 | 54.202,14 | | |
| of which FFS | 41 | 15.014,65 | 40 | 13.060,21 | | |

Source: The Italian Catalogue on EHS and EFS – 4th Edition

The G20 Peer Review on FFS

- ☐ Different elements:
 - Experts from 9 countries: Indonesia China NZel Chile Argentina Canada Germany France Netherlands + IGOs + Think Tanks; the largest team to date
 - Argentina and Canada, former Presidencies of the G20 and G7, entered the Peer Review exercise. Netherlands joined and published.
 - 39 FFSs reported by Italy divided by level of reformability.
 - Indonesia and Italy decided not to focus on the term "inefficient"
 - The involvement of at least three communities is crucial: energy and industry, economy and finance, environment and climate.

OECD Fossil Fuels Support Inventory Database

Two main sources for the <u>identification</u> and evaluation of the <u>financial effect</u> of FFS

Italian Ministry of Ecological Transition

- Identification of FFS (via the Catalogue)
- Financial evaluation of non fiscal subsidies (e.g., Royalty-Free Thresholds)

Italian Ministry of Economy and Finance

Financial evaluation of fiscal subsidies via:

- Annual tables on fiscal expenditures
- ad hoc computations (VAT rates, diesel differential, ETS)

Road to the Reporting Template

- November 2020: the OECD proposed to implement data collection for SDG 12.c.1 leveraging on the "Fossil Fuel Support Inventory Database". To this end, Member countries were invited to join the Informal Task Team on Measuring Fossil Fuel Subsidies.
- June 2021: ITT-FFS asked countries to participate to a pilot test on checking the reporting template with data coming from the *Inventory*.
- ☐ Opportunity: Data in three different database (Catalogue, Inventory and SDG 12.c.1) fully consistent.

The reporting template: elements and characteristics

- ✓ Template to identify and report on subsidy measures, according to the Methodology of SDG Indicator 12.c.1.
- ✓ Subsidy measures listed per row and classified according to the different categories.
- ✓ Name of the subsidy, description, sources, start and end date, and the values of the subsidy for the different years entered manually.
- ✓ Inclusion of historical data from 2015 as to identify trends, given that the value of fossil fuel subsidies can be volatile depending on different factors, such as the price of oil in international markets.
- ✓ Only actual values reported estimates or projections for a given year excluded.
- ✓ Values reported in local currency in nominal values and using millions as units.

Main elements included:



| Description of subsidy | | Fuel sub-type |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Level | | Recipients |
| Sources | | Stage of support |
| Scope of data reported | | Incidence |
| Current status | | Comments |
| Start date | | Currency |
| End date | | Financial value (2015-2020) |
| Typology, Category | | |
| Typology, Sub-category | | |
| Fuel type | | |
| Start date End date Typology, Category Typology, Sub-category | _ | Currency |

A hands-on example: the template layout (overview)

| | | Current | Start | End | Typology, | Typology, Sub- | | | Stage of | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| Name of subsidy | Level | status | | | | category | Fuel type | | | | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Energy Tax Breaks for Agriculture | | Ongoing | 01- 01- 1993 | 1 | | TAX EXPENDITURES - Tax breaks and revenue foregone | Petroleum products | Consumption | 1 | Direct Consumption | 843,22 | 864,8 | 913 | 938,7 | 935,4 | 4 942,7 |
| Tax Relief for Trucking Companies | Central/ Federal | Ongoing | 01- 01- 2001 | 1 | Tax expenditures | · | Petroleum products | Consumption | I | Direct Consumption | 1292,3 | 1264,4 | 1587,5 | 1319,9 | 1361,8 | 1293, 8 8 |
| ' ' | Central/ Federal | ! | 01- 01- 1997 | 1 | | TAX EXPENDITURES - Under-pricing of non-energy government- owned natural resources or land | Petroleum products | i | EXTRAC | Land and natural resources | 85,6 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 2 152,8 |
| Fuel Tax Exemption on the Electricity Used by Households with Installed Capacity up to 3kW and Monthly Consumption up to | Central/ | | 01- 01- | | | TAX EXPENDITURES - Tax breaks and revenue | | Consumption | | Direct Consumption | | | | | | |
| Differential Excise Tax Treatment for Diesel Fuel | ! | Ongoing | 01- 01- 1995 | 1 | | TAX EXPENDITURES - Tax breaks and revenue foregone | Petroleum products | Consumption | 1 | Direct Consumption | 3034,8 | 3069,3 | 3034,2 | 3183,1 | 3144,9 | 2610, 6 |

Case study: Diesel differential – implicit subsidy

| Sector: | Energy | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Subsidy name | Differential E | Differential Excise Tax Treatment for Diesel Fuel | | | | | | | |
| Duration: | 1993 - ongoir | ng | | | | | | | |
| Description: | Diesel fuel benefits from a reduction of 23% of the excise tax vis-à-vis motor gasoline. This measure effectively subsidises the consumption of diesel fuel at the detriment of motor gasoline. | | | | | | | | |
| Type of subsidy: | Indirect subsidy - revenue foregone | | | | | | | | |
| Rate: | Gasoline rate: 728,4 €/1000 lts Diesel rate: 617,4 €/1000 lts | | | | | | | | |
| Level of reformability: | National | | | | | | | | |
| Motivation: | The difference in the fiscal treatment between diesel and gasoline is an implicit subsidy given the unequal treatment with respect to equivalent activities or products. In Italy the excise duty applied to diesel is considerably lower than that of gasoline and this is not justified. Italy has a differential for diesel of approximately 85%, while the externalities associated with diesel are higher than with petrol and this would justify a higher taxation. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | | |
| Financial effect (mln €) | 3034,85 | 3069,36 | 3034,24 | 3183,15 | 3144,93 | 2610,68 | | | |

Case study: ETS free allowances and the benchmark

| Sector: | Energy | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|--------|------|-------------------|------|--|--|
| Subsidy name | ETS quotes distributions | | | | | | | |
| Duration: | 2005 - ongo | ing | | | | | | |
| Description: | As a result of transitory norms for the implementation of measures necessary to fulfil the Paris Agreement, some emission quotas under the ETS are distributed free of charge. | | | | | | | |
| Type of subsidy: | Induced transfers - Price support, including through market regulation | | | | | | | |
| Rate: | Ordinary: 15 €/tCO2 | | | | Reduced: 0 €/tCO2 | | | |
| Level of reformability: | EU level | | | | | | | |
| Motivation | The allocation of emission allowances free of charge constitutes a form of subsidy for industries subject to the ETS, which is harmful to the fight against climate change and in contrast with the objectives of reducing emissions on a global scale deriving from Paris Agreement. | | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| Financial effect (mln €) | 654 | 370.83 | 394.63 | 980 | n.a. | n.a. | | |

Case study: Classifying and relating VAT to FFS

| Sector: | Reduced VAT | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| Subsidy name | VAT Reduction on Electricity for Domestic Use | | | | | | | |
| Duration: | 1972 - ongo | 1972 - ongoing | | | | | | |
| Description: | This measure grants a VAT of 10% instead of 22% to the domestic consumption of electricity. | | | | | | | |
| Type of subsidy: | Reduced Rat | te - Indirect s | ubsidy | NOT a t | ax expen | diture | | |
| Rate: | Ordinary: 24,32 €/tCO2 | | | Reduced: 0 €/tCO2 | | | | |
| Level of reformability: | National | | | | | | | |
| Motivation | Reduced VAT rate on electricity, gas and other fossil fuels does not encourage an efficient use of polluting energy sources. It is a fossil fuel subsidy for the share of electricity production related to fossil fuels. | | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| Financial effect (mln €) | 1429,92 | 1606,40 | 1663,79 | 1765,14 | 1779,98 | 1944,06 | | |

Case study: Case-study: Classifying Fringe Benefit

| Sector: | Transport | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------|------|---------------|------|------|--|--|
| Subsidy name | Tax concessions on fringe benefits in favor of the worker who uses the company car in a mixed manner | | | | | | | |
| Duration: | 2010 - ongo | ing | | | | | | |
| Description: | Income tax applied for a range spanning from 25% to 60% of the amount corresponding to a conventional mileage of 15,000 kilometers multiplied by a kilometer operating cost in relation to the period of the year of use. | | | | | | | |
| Type of subsidy: | Flat Rate criterion that brings to a different tax base - Indirect subsidy | | | | | | | |
| Rate: | Ordinary: n.a. | | | Reduced: n.a. | | | | |
| Level of reformability: | National | | | | | | | |
| Motivation | Since the fringe benefit tax treatment is a flat-rate type, if the distance actually traveled each year in a personal capacity is greater than the amount of km covered by the percentage threshold, the additional benefits for the employee are not taxed (subsidy). | | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| Financial effect (mln €) | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | | |

Defining the tax benchmark

| Name of subsidy (tax expenditure) | Type of benchmark used | benchmar | Value of tax for the measure | Year |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| ETS quotes distributions | Conceptual approach | 15 €/tCO2 | 0 €/tCO2 | 20,1 8 |
| Differential Excise Tax Treatment for Diesel Fuel | Conceptual approach - Setting the benchmark on the structural features of the tax system | €/1000 lt* € 728.40 / 1000 | Diesel: 617,40 €/1000 lt | 2021 |
| VAT Reduction on Electricity for Domestic Use | Conceptual approach | 22% | 5 10% | ₆ 2021 |
| VAT Reduction on Electricity, Natural Gas and LPG used in Some Manufacturing, Agricultural and Extractive Activities | Conceptual approach | 22% | | ₆ 2021 |
| VAT Reduction on Oil Products Used in Electricity Production and Some Manufacturing and Agricultural Activities | Conceptual approach | 22% | | 2021 |
| VAT Reduction on Oil Products Used by Agricultural Enterprises and Fishing Enterprises Operating in Internal Waters | Conceptual approach | 22% | 5 10% | 2021 6 |
| VAT Reduction on Natural Gas and LPG Used for for Cooking and Water-Heating Purposes | Conceptual approach | 22% | 5 10% | ₆ 2021 |
| VAT exemption on taxi used for urban transport | Conceptual approach | n.a. | | ₆ 2021 |