

Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General 20 November 2020

Original: English

Economic Commission for Europe

Inland Transport Committee

World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations

Working Party on Automated/Autonomous and Connected Vehicles

Ninth session
Item 5 (a) of the provisional agenda
Connected vehicles:
Cyber security and data protection

Proposal for a Supplement to UN Regulation No. [155] (Cyber security and cyber security management system)

Submitted by the expert from the Russian Federation*

This proposal was prepared by the expert from the Russian Federation, based on the results of the discussion on informal document GRVA-07-08 at the seventh session of the Working Party on Automated/Autonomous and Connected Vehicles (GRVA) in September 2020. The modifications of the existing Regulation are marked in bold for new or strikethrough for deleted characters.

^{*} In accordance with the programme of work of the Inland Transport Committee for 2021 as outlined in proposed programme budget for 2021 (A/75/6 (Sect.20), para 20.51), the World Forum will develop, harmonize and update UN Regulations in order to enhance the performance of vehicles. The present document is submitted in conformity with that mandate.

I. Proposal

Paragraph 5.3.5., amend to read:

5.3.5. If it is not possible for the granting Approval Authority to take into account the comments received in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4., the Approval Authorities having sent comments and the granting Approval Authority shall seek further clarification in accordance with Schedule 6 to the 1958 Agreement. The relevant subsidiary Working Party¹ of the World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29) for this Regulation shall agree on a common interpretation of methods and criteria of assessment.² That common interpretation shall be implemented and all Approval Authorities shall issue type approvals under this Regulation accordingly. Until such common interpretation is reached Approval Authorities shall refrain from granting type approvals related to the received comments.

II. Justification

The provision in paragraph 5.3.5. may need clarification on what the granting Approval Authority should do, if that Authority can't take into account the comments received as per paragraph 5.3.4. Should it continue processing with granting the approval or postpone granting? The proposal is to clarify that the Approval Authority should postpone granting the approval until the agreement on the common interpretation of the questioned issue is reached.

¹ The Working Party on Automated/Autonomous and Connected Vehicles (GRVA)

² This interpretation shall be reflected in the interpretation document referred to in the footnote to paragraph 5.3.3.