



REGIONAL OFFICE FOR Europe



Organisation mondiale de la Santé

BUREAU RÉGIONAL DE L' Europe



Weltgesundheitsorganisation

REGIONALBÜRO FÜR EUROPA



Всемирная организация здравоохранения

Европейское региональное бюро

# Small-scale water supply and sanitation

**Oliver Schmoll** 

Programme Manager Water and Sanitation

Belgrade, Serbia 8 December 2014

# Why focusing on small supplies?

- They are **many**
- Backbone of water supply in rural areas and small towns:
  - One quarter of the pan-European population is supplied by small systems
- Need for decentralised solutions for technical, hygiene, and economic reasons

Country	Rural
Albania	46
Bosnia and Herzegovina	51
Bulgaria	26
Croatia	42
Hungary	30
Montenegro	37
Romania	47
Serbia	43
TFYR Macedonia	41

Source: WHO and UNICEF 2012



# What is a "small" water supply"?

#### • Size of the supply:

- Population served or volume of water supplied
- Typically categorised by regulations

#### Organisational set-up:

- Community managed
- Publicly or municipality managed
- Privately owned and operated
- Technical specification:
  - Centralised vs. non-centralised







## **Common features and challenges**

- Not regulated or differently regulated
- Limited technical, personal and financial resources
- Relatively higher **per unit costs**
- Involvement of untrained and part-time staff
- Lack of sense of **responsibility**
- Inaccurate **perception** of water-related health risks
- Lack of access to **support networks**



# **Critical pollution risk issues**

- Inadequate local sanitation
  practices
- Poor manure management
- Poor sanitary protection
- Aged infrastructures
- High vulnerability to heavy rainfall







## Probability rate of microbiological failure

Type of source	Groundwater	1.0
	Surface water	2.4
	Spring	2.3
Treatment	Treatment	1.0
	No treatment	1.8
Rain on day prior to sample (mm)	0	1.0
	1-9	1.3
	10-99	1.4
	100-999	1.7
	1000-9999	2.1

Source: Hunter et al 2008



# **Surveillance in small communities**

- Generally lower compliance in smaller systems
- Limited routine water quality surveillance:
  - Coverage of many systems in widespread areas
  - Limited manpower to advise, inspect and control
  - Limited lab capacities in rural areas
  - Poor enforcement
  - One sample per year at best



# Is it worth to improve?

- Positive benefit-cost ratio for reduction of acute diarrhoeal illness likely to be prevented:
  - Costs of legislation
  - Investment in improvement interventions
  - Direct cost of illness (e.g. health care)
  - Indirect cost of illness (e.g. loss of work and schooling)

Subregion	<b>Benefit-cost-ratio</b> (mean value)
Eur-A	2.5
Eur-B	21.3
Eur-C	3.9

Source: Hunter et al 2012



### **Increased policy recognition in Europe**

- Unites all countries across region
- Explicit **programmes** and regulations in various countries
- Recognised by European Commission in the 7<sup>th</sup> Environmental Action Programme:
  - Systematic data collection exercises
- Thematic priority area under the Protocol on Water and Health: co-lead by Serbia and Germany



### **Protocol on Water and Health**

#### The Oslo 2014-2016 programme of work





### **Protocol on Water and Health**

The Oslo 2014-2016 programme of work





#### Improving the evidence base





## **Consultation aspects**

- Review the evidence base on the situation of small-scale water supplies and sanitation
- Identify knowledge/data gaps that hinder a comprehensive assessment of the situation and potential health risks
- Review of regulatory requirements and institutional responsibilities related surveillance
- Review of specific challenges
- Review of existing and/or planned policies, programs and/or initiatives aiming at improving the situation



#### Thank you Хвала



