

The Equitable Access Scorecard

roberto_martin@hotmail.com

Objective of the Document

To present an **analytical tool**...

... that can be used by governments (and other stakeholders) in **establishing a baseline, tracking progress, and prompting discussions on further actions** needed to achieve equitable access to water and sanitation...

... in order to **support the implementation of policies and practices** to uphold the human rights to water and sanitation under the principle of “progressive realization”.

Table of Contents of the Document

1. Introduction
2. Equitable access to water and sanitation
3. How to use the scorecard
4. Country experiences
5. The Scorecard

Equitable access to water and sanitation

- The challenge of ensuring equitable access
- International obligations to achieve equitable access
- Steering governance frameworks to ensure equitable access
- Reducing geographical disparities
- Ensuring access for vulnerable and marginalised groups
- Keeping water and sanitation affordable for all

How to use the scorecard

- **Strategic guidance** – Organizing a self-assessment process and communicating the results
- **Practical guidance** – Notes for filling the scorecard
- **Glossary** of key terms

Self-assessment exercise (1/2)

- 1 Identify the objectives** of the self-assessment exercise
- 2 Take the necessary time**, but not more
- 3 Put together a “balanced” self-assessment team**
- 4 Ensure continuous multi-stakeholder involvement**

Self-assessment exercise (2/2)

- 5 Plan the workshops carefully** – launch workshop, findings workshop, policy event
- 6 Use the results of the scorecard for strategic evaluation and priority setting**
- 7 Present the results in attractive formats** -- summary sheet, situational analysis, policy summary
- 8 Use the results to track your progress, not to compare with others**

Practical guidance

SCORE	MEANING
No	No or very little evidence supporting a positive answer is available, neither at national or local level
To a little extent	There is some limited information at local level supporting a positive answer. There is some limited information at national level partly supporting a positive answer
To a large extent	There is extensive information at the local level and some at the national level supporting a positive answer
Yes	There is enough evidence available at national level fully supporting a positive answer

Country/region profile

- Socioeconomic data
 - Added question on unemployment rate
- International obligations
 - General sense that this sub-section was overwhelming and distracting rather than useful
 - a) Reduction on the number of treaties to just 2
 - b) Elimination of the requirement to provide information about implementation

Governance framework

- Area 1.1 unchanged
- Area 1.2
 - rephrasing of question 1.2.1
 - new question 1.2.4 (from former Area 1.4)
- Area 1.3
 - merging of two questions into new 1.3.1
 - rephrasing of question 1.3.2
- Area 1.4 deleted

Geographical disparities

- Unchanged

Vulnerable and marginalized groups

- Area 3.1 unchanged
- Area 3.2
 - merging of two questions into 3.2.3
- Area 3.3
 - formerly a “summary” area
 - annexes on health facilities, education, and prisons moved to main text
 - area on prisons now incorporates refugee camps
 - new area on retirement homes
- Area 3.7 rephrasing of title

Affordability

- Area 4.1 unchanged
- Area 4.2
 - rephrasing of question 4.2.1
 - rephrasing of question 4.2.4
- Area 4.3
 - rephrasing of question 4.3.1

Summary sheet

- Updated to reflect changes in the scorecard structure