



## Regulation n°116

#### **OICA's work summary**

- ➤ **Key testing**, GRSG/2018/25: clarification on those 'hand components' not impacting vehicle safety/not subject to 'engine component' testing
- ➤ Smart keys, GRSG-115-20, became GRSG/2019/7: discussion on introducing electronic devices, not subject to type approval, robust against any threats
- ➤ **Regulation split,** summary tracking file with 3 proposals: revised documents, to be tabled as working documents for GRSG 117 in October

- > key testing, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2018/25
  - Clarification on those 'hand components' not subject to 'engine components' testing, not impacting vehicle safety.
  - o Some Contracting Parties support exiting this item from the split of the regulation
  - → if all agree on the fact that these are inappropriate testing (see tracking file R116 testing explanation sheet), then these testings become a quality issue with OEMs' experience. Still, external threats are a matter of concern, with answers in the regulation (see forthcoming discussion on smart key).
- > smart keys, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2019/7
- > regulation split, GRSG-116-06 (Locks), -07 (Immobilizers) and -08 (Alarms)

- key testing, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2018/25
- smart keys, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2019/7

Discussion on introducing a pure electronic key and wonders on how electronic devices, not subject to type approval, are robust against any threats.

- o D had concerns about
  - introducing a pure electronic key,
  - robustness against any threats...
- F expect operational safety of such SW-HW is under control by OEM.
- o OICA: material not to be applied in this regulation, but matter of discussions within expert UN WG; still may be referred to for explanation of vehicle context/specific smart access challenges.
- → proposal to address differences between the access solutions with security threats (slides 4, 5, 6)
- Regulation split, GRSG-116-06 (Locks), -07 (Immobilizers) and -08 (Alarms)

- key testing, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2018/25, ready for adoption
- smart keys, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2019/7

Discussion on introducing a pure electronic key and wonders on how electronic devices, not subject to type approval, are robust against any threats.

#### OICA: R116 meeting material

#### Clarification of the difference between current key and smartphone key

· access services:

issuing key or operation authority, authorizing key and vehicle, leave alone the key, lending and borrowing of key, sharing business

· other services: remote thermal comfort, servicing, tracking...



### meeting April 1st, OICA's material

Clarification between current key (including short range remote electronic code) and smartphone key: how to use, risk, countermeasure.. leading to clarification on new devices/services

o **user access services:** Issuing key, Authorizing key and vehicle, Leave alone the key, Lending and Borrowing of key, Sharing business

Sharing business	Tocus of our discussion		
	Current key	Smartphone key	Other biometric identification
Access services	Mechanical/hand free access commands	Smartphone, watch, other	Fingerprint, eyeprint
Issuing -Authorizing - Sharing			
Risk	Unauthorized copy (tap mismatch),	Complex protocol (tap transmitted info)	Consumer integrity
	relay attack, (no safe sharing business)	Hacking	(no car sharing)
Countermeasure	Safe protocol + consumer	Safer protocol + consumer recommendation	Consumer recommendation
	recommendation.	(multiplayer cross-verified security)	
Proof	None	OEM ensure the safety in use; may submit	No
		declaration.	
Regulation requirement	Low level (protection level as per 5.2.7.:	If the specific requirement is amended, the	Not compliant to 5.2.7.
	at least 50,000 variants and shall	new theft method will be created.	$\Phi$
	incorporate a rolling code and/or have a		need for amendment
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	minimum scan time of ten days		

- other services: remote thermal comfort, servicing, tracking (inc. e.g. remote engine control)...
- rational: Of course each OEM has to ensure the security for the feature of smartphone key, but it is not feasible that technical service inspect whether this verification is proper or not. Making requirement is not feasible either, as guideline to unauthorized used!

If any requirement is necessary, it could be only, "The manufacturer shall ensure the safety in use" or "The manufacturer shall ensure the safety in use and submit declaration".



### meeting April 1st, OICA's material

> Clarification between current key (including short range remote electronic code) and smartphone key

It is fair to say that there is no reason to block the R116 discussion for smartphone access systems for reasons of Cyber Security.

UN ECE has a Resolution in place and is developing an updated UN ECE measure in form of a UN-R, UN GTR or Guideline.

- 1. Smartphone access is a new issue that connects the vehicle to the outside environment but there exist other connectivity applications already in the vehicle for which there are no specific Cyber Security requirements (Blue tooth connection, Multi-media screens and related applications as Apple car play, android, emergency call, ...).
  - → today it is left up to the individual OEM to ensure the CS for its vehicle and customer
- 2. UN ECE already issued a Cyber Security guideline for connected vehicles as part of R.E.3 (Annex 6). This is what contracting Parties today expect from OEM's on a voluntary basis. We don't see a reason why R116 related application would have to be treated differently in view of Cyber Security from other connectivity application on the vehicle.
- 3. UN ECE is in the process of establishing a draft Regulation on Cyber Security. This draft regulation may be adopted as a regulation under the 1958 agreement, 1998 agreement or as new guideline. The decision will be taken at GRVA and WP.29 and whatever is the outcome it will set the next step for managing Cyber Security in UN ECE. Again we see no reason why R116 related applications would have to deviate from this direction by specific Cyber Security requirements within R116.

TF CS/OTA mitigation recommendations: ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRVA/2019/2, where:

- → it shall cover all thinkable threats/mitigations to be considered for smartphone accesses risks (table B.5.16),
- → it still will have to be revised and updated according news and experience..

TF CS/OTA test phase GRVA-02-03

- key testing, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2018/25
- smart keys, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2019/7
- regulation split, GRSG-116-06 (Locks), -07 (Immobilizers) and -08 (Alarms) for comments; justifications per GRSG-116-09 (tracking list):

Aim is to table official documents at GRSG-117 in October 2019: deadline 12 July 2019

- Summary was shared (<u>slides 8,9</u>), EMC should be officially mandated to TF GRE,
- Marking proposal generated discussions: optimisation proposal seem unnecessary, it may come to a simplification,
- GRSG-116-06: annex 6 on mechanical key switches to be removed.
- → exchanges on identified issues; no further comments



#### meeting April 1st, OICA's material

- key testing, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2018/25
- > smart keys, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRSG/2019/7
- regulation split, for comments on GRSG-116-06 (Locks), -07 (Immobilizers) and -08 (Alarms) and their justifications per GRSG-116-09 (tracking list):

	New draft regulations	Justifications for modifications to original UN ECE n°116 regulation relevant parts	Major issues
LOCK, antitheft devices	GRSG-116-06	GRSG-116-09	Marking a single component for multiple regulations (not including RF), leading to proposal: see next slide
IMMOBILIZER,	GRSG-116-07		
ALARM,	GRSG-116-08		· · -
Proposal for EMC annex update	Already considered along OICA EMC TF: ongoing proposal to be shared at GRE level.		Updating EMC standard references, simplifying and clarifying testing (immunity)
Summary sheet	GRSG-116-XX based on final_Regulation_116.pptx		

Detailed review of tracking list, any open/new issues?

- → See next slide on EMC, marking..
- Discussion on further needs?
- → Request for further improvments for October updated Working Documents: no new comments except from France Feb. 2019



#### meeting April 1st, OICA's material

- regulation split, exchanges on identified issues
  - o EMC:
    - a. Proposal for annex 9 update (first PSA proposal shared on OICA TF, February 22<sup>nd</sup>); may be more than editorial (including removing IEC) and will need further expert discussion within GRE TF (next 18<sup>th</sup> session on July 16<sup>th</sup>): need for an official mandate from GRSG to GRE, TF EMC to be delivered at least as informal for GRSG-117, October 2019.
    - b. Shall lead to UN R10 amendment proposal for clarification of (high frequency) immunity test.
  - o **Marking:** Reference is given in requirement 4.5 not to repeat (E) marking. If necessary, it may lead to following proposals (not included in ongoing split proposals):
    - a. Proposal for unique approval mark, requirement 4.8: applicant shall provide information and document for a single mark. In the case of a component approved separately as an immobilizer, the approval mark shall be affixed by the manufacturer to the major element(s) of the device. In the case of a component approved as an immobilizer under this regulation and an alarm system under UN Regulation No. XXX and/or a [locking system] under UN Regulation No.YYY, both approval marks shall be affixed by the manufacturer to the major element(s) of the device the applicant may provide through the communication form the approval mark affixed by the manufacturer to the major element(s) of the device stating that this component also complies to Regulation XXX and/or Regulation YYY.
    - b. Proposal for adding approval mark tracking in the communication form, e.g. annex 2a:
      - 1.6.1 Approval or Extension No. of approval mark origin: with details added in the information form, e.g. annex 1a1.5.1 Origin of the ECE approval mark:
  - Mechanical key performances: referred for Alarm systems only in UN R116 (requirements 6.3.6.2.1 & 7.3.6.2.1)



#### outcomes of meeting April 1st, 2019

- > key testing, GRSG/2018/25
  - Ready for adoption
- smart keys, GRSG-115-20 became GRSG/2019/7
  - Further discussions still needed to clarify scope of UN R116
  - See hidden slides: state of play on access issues, threats and mitigation measures.
  - → Aim of April 1st meeting: Collecting CPs' views
- regulation split, exchanges on identified issues; further comments on GRSG-116-06 (Locks), -07 (Immobilizers) and -08 (Alarms) and their justifications per GRSG-116-09 (tracking list):
  - Recall of basic principle: pure splitting of UN R116 (no new issues)
  - Call for a GRSG's mandate to GRE TF-EMC on EMC annex
  - J to provide position on marking issue
  - "Mechanical key" annex: to be removed from draft regulation on Locks
  - → Aim of April 1st meeting: collecting comments aiming final adoption at GRSG-117, October 2019



### outcomes of meeting April 1st, 2019

#### **Conclusions:**

- 1. Smart key solution is welcomed as it could be a safer access solution to the devices to protect against unauthorised used of the vehicle, without need of amending UN R116 (OEM shall ensure cyber-safe design thanks to the cyber security requirements (work is ongoing).
- 2. Regulation split needs
  - a. Support from GRE for EMC Annex update under GRSG mandate,
  - b. Simplified provisions on Markings,
  - c. "Mechanical key" Annex to be removed from GRSG-116-06 as not initially addressing Locks in UN R116.
  - → Last call for comments by end of May 2019, aiming official documents for adoption at GRSG-117, October 2019.



outcomes of meeting April 1st, 2019

#### Guidance requested from GRSG:

is there a need for a regulation 116 Task Force (with CP's lead)?

- → Need for new definitions for keys (including new electronic solutions)?
- → Need for new regulation (e.g. on tracking, remote engine control, others)?
- → Need for further practical work (at GRVA-CS/OTA including testing)?



### Informal discussions attendees

25/2/2019 - 5/3/2019 web exchanges:

Germany: helge.asmussen@kba.de

France: fabrice.herveleu@utacceram.com

OICA:

alexandra.scholz@opel-vauxhall.com

ofontaine@oica.net

uwe.topple@vda.de

benoit.job@honda-eu.com

katja.jurss@volvocars.com

rene.nulens@toyota-europe.com

vuthy.phan@renault.com

andreas.hergen@bmw;

gerald.koch@volkswagen.de

thomas.s.weiss@daimler.com

benoit.moreau@mpsa.com

1/4/2019 – GRSG pre-meeting:

Germany: helge.asmussen@kba.de

gerlach@de.tuv.com

Japan: s-morita@jasic.org

minoura@jasic.org

n-tanaka@ntsel.go.jp

ka-koba@shinsa.ntsel.go.jp

Koichi Kamiji@n.t.rd.honda.co.jp

a-hirao@mail.nissan.co.jp

OICA: alexandra.scholz@opel-vauxhall.com

ofontaine@oica.net

benoit.job@honda-eu.com

katja.jurss@volvocars.com

rene.nulens@toyota-europe.com

vuthy.phan@renault.com,

michael.kneissle@daimler.com

benoit.moreau@mpsa.com