

www.cita-vehicleinspection.org

Informal document WP.29-157-08, agenda item 7.2

WP. 29: 157 Session 25-29 June 2012

# Development of the 1997 Agreement Rule 1 and Rule 2 for PTI

## Background

- 84. As a follow-up of the completion of the Agreement with the entry into force of UN Rule No. 2, WP.29 agreed to extend the scope of UN Rule Nos. 1 and 2 to cover vehicles of categories  $M_1$ ,  $N_1$  and  $O_1$  making the Agreement more useful for emerging economies and for those countries not having a periodical technical inspection (PTI) system. Accordingly, WP.29 agreed to defer at a later stage the alignment of the provisions of both UN Rules to the corresponding EU Directive.
- 85. The World Forum noted the offer by the International Motor Vehicle Inspection Committee (CITA) for a continued cooperation on the development of the 1997 Agreement, in particular for the extended scope of both UN Rules.



### **CITA Roadmap**

- WP.29 157 Session 25-29 June 2012
  - Present differences between:
    - UNECE 1997 Agreement Rule 1 and Rule 2 and
    - Commission Directive 2010/48/EU and Commission Recommendation 2010/378/EU
- WP.29 158 Session 12-16 Nov 2012
  - •Present draft upgrade Rule 1 and Rule 2 to the 1997 Agreement



#### **Differences: Rule 1**

- Overall, spirit generally the same but phrasing different. However also some significant differences which are identified in excel spreadsheet, main ones:
  - Defect assessment
    - Not included in Rule 1
  - Noise suppression
    - Not included in Rule 1
  - Emissions
    - OBD not included in Rule 1 for petrol engines



#### **Differences: Rule 2**

- Overall, very similar (phrasing included) but some significant differences which are identified in excel spreadsheet. Main ones are:
  - Electronic Controlled Systems not included in Rule 2
    - Electronic Parking Brake, Electronic Power Steering, Airbag, Supplementary Restraint System, Electronic Stability Control,
  - Parking Brake performance
    - Rule 2 has different requirement braking ratio (18% cf 16%)
  - Defect assessment
    - Difference in definition, e.g. MaD, Rule 2 states, 'cannot be used on road except to drive it to place of repair or re-examination', Directive states 'further use of vehicle on road subject to conditions, which should be set by competent authorities'
    - Some differences in defect assessment for individual items, e.g. tyre rubbing against other components, Rule 2 includes MaD, DD, Directive only includes MaD



# End of presentation

