## **GRSP** comments to document WP.29-150-22

## Guidelines on establishing requirements for high priority warning signals

## A. Proposal

GRSP supported WP.29-150-22 as amended by GRSP-48-07 and GRSP-48-07tc (agreed by the Working Party on Lighting and Light Signalling devices (GRE)) and by GRSP-48-13, tabled by the expert from OICA. The secretariat was requested to transmit this to WP.29 for consideration at its March 2011 session.

GRSP, at its 48<sup>th</sup> session held in December 2010, supported the GRE comments as per GRSP-48-07. In particular, the GRE comments clarify that the issue at stake relates to Advanced Driver Assistance Systems, and not to ITS, which is a much broader and complex issue.

GRSP endorses the GRE comments, with however the following proposal for paragraph 3.1. GRSP would like to suggest adding, in paragraph 3.1 ("high priority warnings should be noticeable in the driving environment"), the following additional sentence:

Paragraph 3.1, amend to read:

"3.1. High-priority warnings should be noticeable in the driving environment

...increasing the likelihood of an appropriate response. Other studies (SAE J2808 / Tijerina / Stanley) however indicate that a combination of signals may in some particular cases create confusing situations for the driver and result in slower reactions by the drivers. Therefore, a case by case evaluation is essential depending on the technology.

As a consequence, ..."