Submitted by the expert from OICA

Informal document No. **GRRF-68-16-Rev.2** (68th GRRF, 21-23 September 2010 agenda item 3(c))

Proposal for a corrigendum to supplement 04 to the 11 series of amendments to Regulation No. 13 (Heavy vehicle braking)

<u>Note</u>: The modifications to the current text of the regulation are marked in bold for new or strikethrough for deleted characters.

A. PROPOSAL

Annex 21, paragraph 2.1.5., amend to read:

"2.1.5. A vehicle stability function failure or defect shall be detected and indicated to the driver by a yellow optical warning signal.

The warning signals specified in paragraph 5.2.1.29.1.2. of this Regulation shall not may be used for this purpose but shall not be used for interventions as defined in paragraph 2.1.4. of this annex.

The warning signal shall be constant ...position."

B. JUSTIFICATION

1. With the entry into force of Supplement 4 to UNECE R13.11 on 09 December 2010, the following situation occurs:

The amendments result in displays which had been mandatory until supplement 3 of 11 series of amendments now no longer being permitted. Instead a separate display for EVSC is required. Thus vehicles developed based on supplement 3 to the 11 series of amendments would no longer be permitted for new Type Approvals. A modification of the display concept at short term is not feasible for vehicle manufacturers.

- 2. To solve this problem a corrigendum for supplement 4 of the 11 series of amendments is proposed.
- 3. The prohibition of using the brake malfunction signal will be re-inserted when a specific ESC symbol is introduced in Regulation $N^{\circ}121$ with appropriate transitional provisions.