Proposal to amend ECE/TRANS/WP.29/GRE/2008/30

The text reproduced below was prepared by the experts from Austria to clarify and clearly indicate in the communication form the exemptations for incomplete vehicles . The modifications to the existing CLCCR proposal are marked in **bold** characters.

A. PROPOSAL

<u>Paragraphs 6.21.1.2.1.</u> to 6.21.1.2.2.1., <u>amend</u> to read (including the reference to footnote */ and footnote */):

"6.21.1.2.1. to the rear:

full contour marking on vehicles exceeding 2,100 mm in width of the following categories:

- (a) N_2 with a maximum mass exceeding 7.5 tonnes and N_3 (with the exception of chassis-cabs, incomplete vehicles and tractors for semi-trailers)
- (b)O₃ and O₄ (with the exception of "incomplete vehicles") */

6.21.1.2.2. to the side:

- 6.21.1.2.2.1. partial contour marking on vehicles exceeding 6,000 mm in length (including the drawbar for trailers) of the following categories:
 - (a) N_2 with a maximum mass exceeding 7.5 tonnes and N_3 (with the exception of chassis-cabs, incomplete vehicles and tractors for semi-trailers)
 - (b)O₃ and O₄ (with the exception of "incomplete vehicles") */

Annex 1

Insert a new paragraph 10.6 to read:

^{*/ &}quot;Incomplete vehicles", as defined in the Special Resolution No. 1, Annex 1, para. 6 (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1045 and Amend.1)."

[&]quot;10.6 Comment regarding conspicuity markings: complete/incomplete 2/ vehicle"

B. JUSTIFICATION

The proposal is intended to clearly state in the communication form if the vehicle is a complete or incomplete vehicle and if the exemptions in 6.21 ff. do apply. This is necessary to know if the approval is complete or if the vehicle has to undergo a further stage of approval or test for the conspicuity markings.