STATUS OF THE 1998 AGREEMENT OF THE GLOBAL REGISTRY AND OF THE COMPENDIUM OF CANDIDATES $\underline{*}/\underline{**}/$

Situation on priorities and proposals to develop gtrs on 5 November 2009

Working Party	Item		Informal group (Yes-No)/Chair	Technical sponsor	Formal proposal <u>•</u> /	Proposal for a draft gtr <u>•</u> /	Proposals for guidance decisions •/
GRRF	Tyres		Yes/UK	France	AC.3/15	<u>1</u> /	
	gtr No. 1 - Amendment 1 (Door locks and door retention components)		No	USA	AC.3/18 2/	GRSP/2008/3 GRSP/2008/4 GRSP/2008/20 GRSP/2009/2	
	gtr No. 7 (Head Restraints)	Phase 2	Yes/UK	Japan	2009/130 <u>3</u> /		
	gtr No. 9 (Pedestrian Safety)	Corr.1				2009/112 <u>4</u> /	
GRSP		Amend.1	No	Germany	AC.3/23	GRSP/2009/20 <u>5</u> /	
		Phase 2	Yes/Japan		2009/124 <u>6</u> /	GRSP/2009/21	
	HFCV-SGS		Yes/USA/ Japan	Germany Japan & USA	AC.3/17	7/	

Working Party	Item		Informal group (Yes-No)/Chair	Technical	Formal proposal <u>•</u> /	Proposal for a draft gtr <u>•</u> /	Proposals for guidance decisions •/
GRPE	gtr No. 2 (World-wide Harmonized Motorcycle emission Test Cycle (WMTC))	Amend.2	Yes/ Germany	Germany	AC.3/19	8/ 2009/132 and 2009/133 GRPE/2010/2	
	gtr No. 4 - Amend. 1 (World-wide Harmonized Heavy-Duty Certification procedure (WHDC))		Yes/EC	EC	AC.3/20	9/ 2009/121, and 2009/122 WP.29-149-12	
	Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM)		Yes/EC	EC	AC.3/14	10/ 2009/118, 2009/119 and 2009/120	
	Hydrogen and fuel cells vehicles HFCV-SGE		Yes/Germany	Germany Japan & USA	AC.3/17	11/	
	World-wide harmonized light- duty test procedure (WLTP)		Yes/France	EC, Japan & USA	<u>12</u> / 2009/131		
	Gtr No. 5 – Corrigendum 1 (World-Wide Harmonized On-Board Diagnostics (WWH-OBD))			USA		1 <u>3</u> / 2009/113	
GRSG	Location and identification of motorcycle controls, tell-tales and indicators		No	Italy	2006/74 2009/45	<u>14</u> /	

- •/ Document symbols: Documents considered in 2006 and afterwards bear the symbol ECE/TRANS/WP.29/.... Before such date they bear the symbol TRANS/WP.29/...
- $\underline{1}/$ AC.3 agreed to have, at its November 2009 session, a final consideration of the proposed two-step approach and to consider the issue of marking.
- $\underline{2}$ / A final proposal encompassing and complementing the existing ones, for amendments to gtr No. 1 will be considered by GRSP at its December 2009 session.
- 3/ AC.3 agreed to set up an informal group for phase 2 of the gtr. AC.3 also agreed to consider and vote on, at its November 2009 session, an updated proposal for development prepared by Japan in agreement with the United Kingdom and the United States of America taking into account the outcome of the discussion of the June 2009 session.
- 4/ A proposal for Corrigendum 1 to gtr No. 9 will be considered by AC.3 at its November 2009 session.
- 5/ AC.3 adopted, at its June session, a proposal to develop an amendment to gtr No. 9 to introduce geometric criteria to exempt some flat-front vehicles. GRSP is expected at its December 2009 session to recommend for adoption to AC.3 the draft amendment.
- 6/ An official proposal regarding the Phase 2 of the gtr, concerning the incorporation of the Flex-PLI will be considered by AC.3 at its November 2009 session.
- \underline{I} / The intention of the subgroup on safety (SGS) is to finalize, by the end of 2010, the part of the draft gtr dedicated to fuel system integrity/leakage, storage system and electrical safety.
- 8/ GRPE recommended for adoption to WP.29 a proposal for Amendment 2 to gtr No. 2 concerning alternative sets of harmonized limit values. GRPE expected to consider, during its January 2010 session, a proposal by IMMA regarding the adoption of initial limit values awaiting the ongoing process within the European Union on the new Euro 4 emission requirements for motorcycles.
- 9/ Of the five remaining options in the gtr, GRPE resolved options 1 and 5. No agreement could be reached on option 2 with regard to the introduction of a reference fuel. With regard to options 3 (hot soak period) and 4 (cold start weighting factors), the informal group on WHDC tried to find a compromise, pending the final results of ongoing tests. The United States of America finally agreed with the compromise solution as reflected in WP.29-149-12.

- 10/ GRPE recommended the draft gtr at its June 2009 session for consideration and vote of AC.3 at its November 2009 session. As already agreed by AC.3, this gtr will be accompanied by a guidance document with additional explanations and background information to ease the use of the gtr text.
- 11/ The informal subgroup on environmental issues held a meeting in conjunction with the GRPE session in June 2009. The intention of the subgroup on environment (SGE) is to finalize, by the end of 2009, the technical reports on environmental issues. 12/ At its June 2009 session, AC.3 agreed to focus the work on the development of the test cycle and the test procedures and to keep the development of test procedures for Off-Cycle Emissions (OCE) and mobile air conditioning (MAC) in Phase 1. Japan, the EC and the United States of America volunteered to be the technical co-sponsors and should present to AC.3 their proposal to develop the gtr, for consideration at the November 2009 session. The WLTP subgroup on the development of the harmonized test cycle (DHC) already start its work on Phase 1 under the chairmanship of Japan and the Vice-chairmanship of the United Kingdom. At its June 2009 session, AC.3 noted that India and the United States of America would consider the co-chairmanship of the WLTP subgroup on the development of the test procedure (DTP), subject to confirmation during the current AC.3 session. 13/ At its June 2009 session, GRPE recommended a Corrigendum 1 to gtr No. 5 to AC.3 for consideration at the November 2009 session
- 14/ AC.3 endorsed at its March 2009 session the proposal to develop a gtr on this matter. Subject to the consent of WP.29/AC.3, GRSG agreed at its October 2009 session to set up an informal group dedicated to this gtr.
- */ The information regarding the Contracting Parties (31), the Global Registry and the Compendium of Candidates are provided in document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1073/Rev.1.
- ***/ S.R.1: Common definitions of vehicle categories, masses and dimensions (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1045 and Amend.1).

SUBJECTS AS AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS TO DEVELOP GTRS ON NOVEMBER 2009

Working Party	Item	Informal group (Yes-No) / Chair	Technical sponsor	Formal proposal TRANS/WP.29/	Proposal for a draft gtr TRANS/WP.29/ <u>•</u> /
GRSP	Side impact	No	No		
	Crash compatibility	No	No		<u>1</u> /
WP.29	Intelligent Vehicle Systems	Yes/Japan, UK	No		

- •/ Documents symbols: Documents considered in 2006 and afterwards bear the symbol ECE/TRANS/WP.29/... Before such date they bear the symbol TRANS/WP.29/...
- $\underline{1}$ / AC.3 noted that an informal group was established to update UNECE Regulation No. 94 (frontal impact). The AC.3 Chairperson stated that the informal group and USA joint efforts could even result in the development of a gtr on crash compatibility in the area of frontal impact.

- - - - -