Informal document No. WP.29-148-01

(148th WP.29, 23-26 June 2009, agenda item 5.1.)

STATUS OF THE 1998 AGREEMENT OF THE GLOBAL REGISTRY AND OF THE COMPENDIUM OF CANDIDATES <u>*</u>/ <u>**</u>/

Situation on priorities and proposals to develop gtrs on 16 June 2009

Working Party	Item		Informal group (Yes-No) / Chair	Technical sponsor	Formal proposal <u>●</u> /	Proposal for a draft gtr <u>●</u> /	Proposals for guidance decisions <u>•</u> /
GRRF	Tyres		Yes / UK	France	AC.3/15	1/	
GRSP	gtr No. 1 - Amendment 1 (Door locks and door retention components)		No	USA	AC.3/18 <u>2</u> /	GRSP/2008/3 GRSP/2008/4 GRSP/2008/20 GRSP/2009/2	
	gtr No. 7 (Head Restraints)	Phase 2	Yes/UK	Japan	2008/76 2008/115 2009/47 2009/48 <u>3</u> /		
		Corr.1			GRSP/2009/3	<u>4</u> /	
	gtr No. 9 (Pedestrian Safety)	Amend.1	No	Germany	2009/80 WP.29-148-08	<u>5</u> /	
		Phase 2	[Yes/Japan]		WP.29-148-07 <u>6</u> /		
	HFCV-SGS		Yes/USA/ Japan	Germany Japan & USA	AC.3/17	2/	
GRPE	gtr No. 2 (World-wide Harmonized Motorcycle emission Test Cycle (WMTC))	Amend. 2	Yes / Germany	Germany	AC.3/19	<u>8</u> / GRPE/2009/15 & GRPE-58-16, GRPE/2009/4 & GRPE-58-15	
		Corr.1 & Amend.1/ Corr.1				<u>9</u> / 2009/73, 2009/74	
	gtr No. 4 - Amend. 1 (World-wide Harmonized Heavy- Duty Certification procedure (WHDC))		Yes / EC	EC	AC.3/20	<u>10</u> / GRPE/2009/10 & GRPE-58-20, GRPE/2009/11	
	Off-Cycle Emissions (OCE)		Yes / USA	USA	AC.3/12	<u>11</u> / 2009/71 2009/72	
	Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM)		Yes / EC	EC	AC.3/14	<u>12</u> / GRPE/2009/16 & GRPE-58-11, GRPE/2009/17 & GRPE-58-12, GRPE-58-04	
	Hydrogen and fuel cells vehicles HFCV-SGE		Yes / Germany	Germany Japan & USA	AC.3/17	<u>13</u> /	
GRSG	Location and identific motorcycle controls, t indicators	No	Italy	2006/74 2009/45	<u>14</u> /		

•/ Document symbols: Documents considered in 2006 and afterwards bear the symbol ECE/TRANS/WP.29/..... Before such date they bear the symbol TRANS/WP.29/.....

1/ AC.3 agreed to have, at its June 2009 session, a final consideration of the proposed two-step approach and to

consider the issue of marking

2/ A final proposal encompassing and complementing the existing ones, for amendments to gtr No. 1 will be considered by GRSP at its December 2009 session.

3/ AC.3 agreed to set up a group of interested experts to prepare amendments to the proposal for develop amendments for consideration at the AC.3 June 2009 session

4/ A proposal for Corrigendum 1 to gtr No. 9 will be considered by AC.3 at its November 2009 session.

5/ An official proposal to develop and amendment to gtr No. 9 (to introduce geometric tolerances for flat-front vehicles) has been submitted for consideration by AC.3 at its June 2009 session.

 $\underline{6}$ A proposal regarding the Phase 2 of the gtr, concerning the incorporation of the Flex-Pli is expected for consideration by AC.3 at its November 2009 session.

 $\underline{7}$ The informal group would meet in Geneva in January 2009. The goal is to finalize the gtr by 2010.

8/ GRPE adopted a proposal for Amendment 2 to gtr No. 2 concerning alternative sets of harmonized limit values for submission to WP.29. However, the limit values cannot be considered until the final approval by the European Council and European Parliament, which is expected to be concluded at the beginning of 2010.

 $\underline{9}$ / A proposal for corrigenda to gtr No. 2 and another to its Amend.1 are expected to be considered and voted at the AC.3 June 2009 session.

 $\underline{10}$ / Of the five remaining options in the gtr, GRPE resolved options 1 and 5, and expected to resolve by the end of July 2009 options 3 and 4, pending the final results of ongoing tests. No agreement could be reached on option 2 with regard to the introduction of a reference fuel. In this respect, GRPE adopted at its June 2009 session a proposal for Amendment 1 to gtr No. 4, for consideration by AC.3 at its November 2009 session.

 $\underline{11}$ /At its June 2009 session, AC.3 is expected to consider and vote for the establishment of the draft gtr and its final report.

 $\underline{12}$ / GRPE adopted the draft gtr at its June 2009 session and recommended the draft gtr for consideration and vote to AC.3 at its November 2009 session. As already agreed by AC.3, this gtr will be accompanied by a guidance

document with additional explanations and background information to ease the use of the gtr text.

 $\underline{13}$ /The informal subgroup on environmental issues held a meeting in conjunction with the GRPE session in June 2009.

 $\underline{14}$ /AC.3 endorsed at its march session the proposal to develop a gtr on this matter. A first draft proposal should be considered by GRSG at its October session.

*/ The information regarding the Contracting Parties (31), the Global Registry and the Compendium of Candidates are provided in document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1073/Rev.1.

<u>**</u>/S.R.1: Common definitions of vehicle categories, masses and dimensions (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1045 and Amend.1).

SUBJECTS AS AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS TO DEVELOP GTRS ON MARCH 2009

Working Party	Item	Informal group (Yes-No) / Chair	Technical sponsor	Formal proposal TRANS/WP.29/	Proposal for a draft gtr TRANS/WP.29/ <u>●</u> /
GRPE	World-wide harmonized light-duty test procedure (WLTP)	Yes/France	[Japan and]		1/ 2007/98 GRPE/56, Annex III (TOR) WLTP-03-03 (RM) GRPE-58-18 WP29-148-xx
GRSP	Side impact	No	No		
GKSI	Crash compatibility	No	No		<u>2</u> /
WP.29	Intelligent Vehicle Systems	Yes/Japan, UK	No		

•/ Documents symbols: Documents considered in 2006 and afterwards bear the symbol ECE/TRANS/WP.29/.....Before such date they bear the symbol TRANS/WP.29/.....

1/ At its June 2009 session, GRPE adopted a Road Map (RM) with two alternatives for the development of the gtr on WLTP for consideration AC.3 at the June 2009 session. AC.3 is requested: (i) to consider the extent of test cycles to be developed under phase 1 of the gtr, (ii) to define the technical sponsors and (iii) to clarify, at a later time point, whether it is appropriate to insert into the gtr provisions on durability, in-use compliance and evaporative emissions.

2/ AC.3 noted that an informal group was established to update UNECE Regulation No. 94 (frontal impact). The AC.3 Chairperson stated that the informal group and USA joint efforts could even result in the development of a gtr on crash compatibility in the area of frontal impact.

- - - - -