UNITED NATIONS



Distr. GENERAL

ECE/TRANS/WP.5/GE.1/2008/3 5 August 2008

Original: ENGLISH

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on Transport Trends and Economics

Group of Experts on Hinterland Connections of Seaports

Second session Geneva, 28 October 2008 Item 5 of the provisional agenda

STATISTICAL INITIATIVES

QUESTIONNAIRE ON HINTERLAND CONNECTIONS OF SEAPORTS

Note by the secretariat

THE MANDATE

1. During its first session in April 2008, the Group of Experts decided that the secretariat should distribute a revised questionnaire on hinterland connections of seaports to UNECE member States in early July 2008. In the event, the questionnaire was distributed to selected respondents in UNECE countries in late July 2008. The final version is presented below.

OUESTIONNAIRE ON HINTERLAND CONNECTIONS OF SEAPORTS

At its twentieth session (Geneva, 13-14 September 2007), the UNECE Working Party on Transport Trends and Economics decided to support the establishment of an ad hoc Group of Experts on hinterland connections of seaports. Subsequently, at its seventieth session (Geneva, 19-21 February 2008) the Inland Transport Committee (ITC) agreed to establish the proposed Group of Experts on hinterland connections of seaports and to adopt its terms of reference. The UNECE Executive Committee endorsed the ITC decision at its twenty-second meeting in Geneva on 20 March 2008. The Group of Experts is expected to complete its work and submit a final report by the end of January 2009.

In order to comply with its mandate, the Group of Experts has elaborated in cooperation with the secretariat the following questionnaire, which assumes that the speed of intermodal container traffic depends on two major factors: (i) port efficiency and (ii) availability of adequate inland transport services. The questionnaire consists of 2 parts.

Part A poses 7 questions concerning container and Ro-Ro freight traffic flows as well as the infrastructure and service quality in major ports in UNECE countries. Part B poses 9 questions aiming to monitor the availability and quality of hinterland connections of these ports. The questionnaire is addressed to port authorities, freight forwarders, infrastructure managers, terminal operators and transport ministries of UNECE member States. All recipients are encouraged to respond to the questionnaire to the fullest extent possible. Respondents in landlocked countries of the ECE region should answer, if possible, questions 2 and 9 in part B and indicate the major physical and non-physical obstacles that increase the cost of their exports and imports through relevant seaports.

Please complete the questionnaire, preferably in English, before 30 September 2008.

PART A

PORT INFORMATION

Category: Main ports with international container and Ro-Ro traffic

		Name	
1.		Address	
	THE RESPONDENT	Phone	
		Fax	
		Website	
		Email	

2		Service Provider	(land/	Owner (land/track/waterway)		Operator (cargo handlers/rolling stock/vessels)			Regulator	
	OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT		Public	Private	P/P	Public	Private	P/P	Public	Private
	AND REGULATION	Port								
		Railways								
		Inland waterways								

		Terminal Quay(s)	Size Length (m) or area (m²)	Depth alongside (m)	Annual traffic (tonnes/TEU/vehicles)
	TERMINAL SIZE AND THROUGHPUT	Container berths			
3.		Ro-Ro			
		Bulk terminal			
		General cargo			
		Container yard			

		Year	Dry Bulk	Liquid Bulk	General Cargo	Containers <i>TEU</i>	Ro-Ro Freight vehicles
4.	TOTAL CARGO HANDLING		Metric	Metric	Metric tonnes		vehicles
		2000 (projected)*	tonnes	tonnes			
		2009 (projected)* 2008 (estimate)					
		2007			<u> </u>		
		2006					

^{*}Please provide also longer term projections to 2010, 2015 and 2020, if available

		Equipment	Capacity	i	Number	Average age
			(tonnes)	(TEU)		(years)
		Gantry Cranes				
5.	HANDLING EQUIPMENT AND	Quay Cranes		j		
	CAPACITY OF CONTAINER	Mobile Cranes				
	TERMINALS	Reach Stackers				
		Straddle carriers				

Always available on demand Labour Equipment Yes No*

* If not available round the clock, please explain why and indicate actual availability.

7. SERVICE QUALITY MEASURES IN CONTAINER		Vessels on schedule	Average road vehicle turnaround time (hours)	Train turnaround time (trains on schedule)	Operational dwell time (relative to target value)	Equipment availability (actual vs. potential)
TERMINALS	2009 (projection)	%	hours	%	%	%
	2008 (estimate)	%	hours	%	%	%
	2007	%	hours	%	%	%
	2006	%	hours	%	%	%

PART B

HINTERLAND CONNECTIONS OF PORT

	INLAND TRANSPORT MODE (share in %)	Road	Railway	Inland Waterways	Short Sea Shipping	Coastal Shipping	Other (Please specify)	Total
1.	Exit of containers from deep-sea terminals (last available year)	%	%	%	%	%	%	100%
	Entry of containers to deep-sea terminals (last available year)	%	%	%	%	%	%	100%

Please specify the year of data coverage. If possible, explain the reasons for any differences for particular modes in their share of imports and exports. If past trends and future projections or targets for modal splits are available, please provide them.

		Inland Tran	sport Mode	IMPORT	EXPORT	TOTAL
		Road	Loaded Empty			
2.	CONTAINER FLOW FROM/TO DEEP-	Rail	Loaded Empty			
	SEA TERMINALS TO HINTERLAND	Inland Waterways	Loaded			
	BY INLAND TRANSPORT MODE	Coastal	Loaded Empty			
	(in TEU)		Loaded Empty			
		TOTAL	Loaded Empty			

Please fill in the table with latest annual data (specify the year). If possible, please provide also comparable data for previous 2 years and projections for next 2 years. If your country is landlocked, please indicate also major physical and non-physical obstacles to container traffic from relevant seaports.

	20.2	Volume	Existing Traffic Volume (AADT) Level of Service at present		Capacity Utilization (%)	Interna Ro Yes	
3.	ROAD	М	A 🗌				
		D 🗌	В				
		S 🗌	C 🗌				
			D 🗌				
			E				

Road Type: Motorway (M), Dual Carriageway (D), Single carriageway (S)
Level of Service: A (No delays), B (No delays), C (Minimal delays), D (Minimal delays), E (Significant delays), F (Considerable delays)

International Route: If yes, please specify the top 3 routes and the percentage of port container/Ro-Ro throughput accounted for by each of

them:

		Туре	International Ro	oute						
		Single line Double line	Yes 🗌	No 🗌						
4.	RAIL		If yes, please specify the top 3 routes and the place trified container/Ro-Ro throughput accounted for by							
4.	KAIL	Future Development Plans	Yes	No 🗌						
		If yes, please specify:								
		Annual capacity*	TEU	Gross Tonnes						
		Capacity utilization (%)								
		Comments								
·	wailable, provide alse train in %)	o detailed capacity measures (m	nean capacity per train in TEU an	nd mean capacity utilization						
peri	nam m 70)									
			Wate	rway installations						
		Locks	Yes 🗌	No 🗌						
		If yes, please specify average	e sizes of locks and barge tows:							
		Obstructions: bridges	Yes 🗌	No 🗌						
		Other obstructions/bottlene	ecks Yes	No 🗌						
	INLAND									
5.		If yes, please specify:								
5.	INLAND WATERWAYS	If yes, please specify: Future Development Plans	Yes 🗌	No 🗌						
5.			Yes 🗌	No 🗌						
5.		Future Development Plans	Yes TEU	No Gross Tonnes						
5.		Future Development Plans If yes, please specify:		<u> </u>						
5.		Future Development Plans If yes, please specify:								
	WATERWAYS	Future Development Plans If yes, please specify: Annual capacity* Capacity utilization (%) Comments		Gross Tonnes						

per barge in %)

		ramps, dedicated short-sea		e short-sea services* (RO-RO ea specific handling equipment tal services)?					
6.	SHORT SEA SHIPPING / COASTAL SHIPPING	What facilities are available for shuttling containers from deep-sea terminals to short-sea terminals* (i.e. links between mother ships and feeder ships)?							
		Future Development Plans	Yes	□ No □					
		If yes, please specify:							
		Annual capacity**	TEU	Gross Tonnes					
		Capacity utilization (%)							
		Comments							
*Plea	ase provide a brief de	scription.							
** <i>If</i>	available, include der	tailed capacity measures (mea	n capacity per ship in TEU an	d mean capacity utilization per					

			Overall, how well does each of the transport modes currently perform in satisfying the requirements of container flows through the port? (1 – very inefficient, 10 – very efficient)									
	Road	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A
7.	Rail	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A
'	Inland waterways	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A
	Short sea shipping	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A
	Coastal shipping	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A

ship in %).

		How do you think the performance of each of the transport modes will change in the next 10 years for container flows through the port? (1 – become much worse, 10 – become much better)											
	Road	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	
8.	Rail	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	
	Inland waterways	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	
	Short sea shipping	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	
	Coastal shipping	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	

			What are the three most significant changes (e.g. relating to infrastructuro operations, and regulations) that could be made to improve the performance hinterland flows of containers to/from the port?			
Ş).	1. Mode:	Details:			
		2. Mode:	Details:			
		3. Mode:	Details:			
