

Transmitted by the expert from Japan

Informal document No. **GRSP-43-20** (43rd GRSP, 19-23 May 2008 agenda item 15(b))

Proposal for ECE R17-08 Dynamic Backset Option with BioRID II



Backset Requirements in Head restraint gtr phase1

Head Restraint gtr, WP29/2008/54 and /55, was agreed at #144 WP29 in March. '08,





ECE R17-08 series amendment, GRSP/2008/11 has been proposed as follows.



Condition of Dynamic Test for gtr phase1



Dynamic test for Head restraint gtr Phase1 should be an alternative test for static Backset, and had better to equivalent to static backset.
It is considered to evaluate following phase I stage of whiplash



Condition of Dynamic Test for gtr phase 1



- BioRID II is promising with its high biofidelity to the human body, but still need to study injury criteria indicators, reference values, test pulse, etc. for appropriate dynamic test as we propose as in phase 2 activity.
- EEVC WG20 and Japan have recognized that a Geometrical indicator of BioRID II is feasible now.



Proposal for Dynamic Test for ECE R17-08



 The head O.C. (Occipital Condyle) x-axis displacement with respect to T1 was proposed as a candidate of geometric indicator from the result of EEVC WG12 and Japan (JARI) joint assessment of Rear Impact Dummy Biofidelity.



Proposal for Dynamic Test for ECE R17-08



Max. Head O.C. Movement feasibility study





Definition of Dynamic Backset

Dynamic backset, maximum OC-T1 relative displacement, shall be calculated as the maximum absolute value of $D'_{OC-T1(t)}$, whichever is larger between both seat sides.



Note: The measurements data shall be considered for evaluation until the point in time at which the head rebounds from the head restraint or at 300 ms after T-zero, whichever occurs first.



18 seats were tested at 6 different laboratories in cooperation with EEVC WG20.

IIHS Ranking	Seat Type	Number (*:EEVC data)
Good	Normal	4
	Reactive	2(3*)
	Passive	2
	WHIPS	(1*)
Acceptable	Normal	1
	Reactive	(1*)
	Passive	2
Marginal	Normal	1
	Reactive	1
Total		18





Comparison between IIWPG Rating and Dynamic backset



Dynamic backset has shown better correlation with IIWPG rating.



Comparison between Static Backset and Dynamic Backset



Dynamic Backset also have correlation between normal seat static backset, and show the effect of reactive, passive and WHIPS type seats.



Repeatability Evaluation

◆Test method

The repeatability of following indicators were evaluated by 3 seats about one seat type.

·Dynamic backset (Head O.C. –T1), Fx, My, etc.

Method of evaluation

Comparison of coefficient of variation (CV)

Repeatability
$$C.V = \frac{S_d}{\overline{X}}$$
 100 (%)



13

- X = Mean value of each indicator maximum value
- S_d = Standard deviation of each indicator maximum value

Criteria Admissible level: CV 10





Repeatability Evaluation

Dynamic backset and other indicators show acceptable level of repeatability C.V.







Threshold study

Dynamic backset threshold is tentatively proposed <[45mm] as a minimum performance level to achieve IIWPG "GOOD" rating.





Threshold study

Dynamic backset threshold is tentatively proposed <[48mm] as a equal level to achieve 12 degree head rotation angle with Hybrid III.





17

Dynamic backset (Maximum x displacement of Head O.C.- T1) is considered as a reasonable dynamic geometric indicator for BioRID II for following reasons.

✓Correlation with IIWPG dynamic evaluation.

- ✓Reflection of reactive, passive type seats effect.
- ✓ Reasonable repeatability

Dynamic backset threshold is tentatively proposed as a minimum performance level to achieve IIWPG "GOOD" rating and equivalent level for Hybrid III head rotation requirement.

Dynamic backset < [48]mm



Thank You





FMVSS 202a (HY-III) vs IIWPG (BioRID-II) Evaluations

