

RDW

FI-02-03

# UNECE Reg.94 -Past, Present & Future

Presentation by the expert from The Netherlands to provide a broader view on frontal impact protection

#### Main conclusions of EEVC research that lead to UNECE R94



European Experimental Vehicles Committee

EEVC/CEVE

The Validation of The EEVC Frontal Impact Test Procedure.

Presented to the Fifteenth International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles, Melbourne, Australia, May 1996 Paper Number: 96-S3-O-28 The test parameters which best replicated the baseline 50km/h 50% overlap car to car impact were as follows:

 the most appropriate design of deformable barrier worked out to be the one now specified in UNECE R94, hereafter called EEVC barrier.

the overlap which gave results most similar to a 50 percent, 50km/h car to car impact was 40 percent of the car's width.

• the test speed to replicate the 50km/h 50% overlap baseline test should be between 55km/h and 60 km/h, but closer to the former. It was agreed that the most appropriate test speed to replicate the baseline tests was 56km/h.



### Background for the baseline car to car impact at 50km/h and 50% overlap

- Various accidents studies suggested that the appropriate overlap lay in the range of 40 to 60 percent, for the baseline test was selected 50 percent overlap as a good compromise.
- The value of 50km/h for the baseline test was selected for pragmatic reasons.
- However the used accident data showed that to address an adequate proportion of fatal and serious injuries the test should replicate rather a car to car impact speed of 60km/h or greater.



#### Early remarks on the EEVC Barrier

- During the validation phase it was already found that in some cases stiff members penetrated the deformable EEVC barrier .
- This was generally not considered to be of major importance because one of the main advantages of a deformable face is the removal of the initial very high inertial force generated when the stiff members of the car structure impacts a rigid wall without any deformable face.



## Use of EEVC barrier from 1996 to 2008

- The baseline test has lead to an EEVC test procedure and in 1996 this EEVC test procedure has been introduced in UNECE- and in EC-legislation with EEVC's recommended impact speed of 56km/h.
- However, Euro NCAP adopted also the EEVC test procedure in 1996, but with an increased impact speed of 64km/h !
- The first Euro NCAP phases showed many cases where the structural integrity of the passenger compartments was seriously compromised.
- Following Euro NCAP phases with new car models, replacing the ones already tested, clearly showed big improvements on structural integrity as well as on occupant ratings.
- It was demonstrated that the severe Euro NCAP demands were feasible.



## Role of vehicle mass in UNECE R94 test procedure

- The UNECE R94 testprocedure is a crash against a fixed barrier.
- So the generated kinetic energy is related to the mass of the test vehicle.
- Therefore lighter vehicles are tested with less initial kinetic energy than the heavier ones.
- However, in reality both light and heavy vehicles meet the same collission partners.
- Now, again we find ourselves on a point of taking decisions for an introduction of a more realistic frontal impact.
- The Netherlands would like to avoid non-validated calculation methods to guarantee a minimum test severity needed in case of using a fixed (PDB) barrier.
- The Netherlands would like a guaranteed amount of initial kinetic energy provided by the collission partner.



# Netherlands' research on a moving PDB

Inf. Document No. GRSP-42-32 already reported about a moving PDB to car test (45/45 km/h, barrier 1500 kg, Astra 1400 kg, 50% overlap) that generated roughly the same kinetic energy compared with the fixed PDB test (60 km/h, Astra 1400 kg, 50% overlap) and lead to simular results.

•However, replicating a car to car test of 45/45 km/h is thought to be in contradiction of EEVC's recommendation for a baseline test.



# Netherlands' research on a moving PDB (II)

•Netherlands is of the opinion that complementary research is needed, therefore TNO was asked to further explore the moving PDB procedure .

The chosen test severity in the continued research is the following:
56/56 km/h, moving PDB to car, 50% overlap. As representative of a lighter car the Euro NCAP 5 star car FIAT 500 has been chosen.

 Doing so the following tests will be taken into consideration: TNO test: FIAT 500 to moving PDB, 56/56 km/h, 50% overlap, ADAC test: FIAT 500 to an Audi Q7, 56/56 km/h, 50% overlap, Euro NCAP: FIAT 500 to static EEVC barrier, 64/0 km/h, 40% overlap.



# Netherlands' research on a moving PDB (III)

• The (moving) PDB test has the potential to also assess (to a certain extent) compatibility.

• The Netherlands is of the opinion that an additonal full width test is necessary to overcome possible misuse of the (moving) PDB barrier.

• A full width test seems also useful as restraint test.

Thank You

