<u>Informal document No</u>. **WP.29-139-18, part 2** (139th WP.29, 20-23 June 2006, agenda item 6.)

United States of America

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Regulation Development Process



U.S Environmental Protection Agency Clean Air Regulatory Authority

- > EPA has general rulemaking authority
- Clean Air Act provides specific authority
 - Key sections: 202 and 213
 - EPA shall prescribe regulations applicable to any class of motor vehicles, highway or nonroad engines that cause or contribute to air pollution.
 - Such standards shall achieve the greatest degree of emissions reductions achievable, taking into account factors such as cost and safety
 - Need to consider appropriate lead time for such standards
 - Obligation to revise standards from time to time
 - EPA regulations are technology-forcing, performance-based requirements
 - Clean Air Act also includes requirements for product certification, enforcement of standards, penalties

U.S Environmental Protection Agency Noise Regulatory Authority

- Noise Control Act provides specific authority
 - Key sections: 5.0 and 6.0
 - EPA shall identify major sources of noise that can adversely affect public health and welfare
 - EPA shall prescribe noise emission regulations for motors and engines that power equipment or vehicles that produce noise capable of adversely affecting public health or welfare
 - Such standards shall achieve the greatest degree of emissions reductions achievable with best available technology, taking into account technical feasibility and cost
 - Obligation to revise standards from time to time
 - EPA regulations are technology-forcing, performance-based requirements
 - Noise Control Act also includes requirements for enforcement of standards and non-compliance penalties

What Analyses Go Into A Rulemaking?

- Assessment of environmental need for new standards
- Assessment of technical feasibility
- Analysis of engineering costs
- Analyses of societal costs and benefits
- Analysis of small business impacts and flexibility options
- Analysis of other regulatory and non-regulatory options
- Other considerations as needed to ensure sound decisions

Major Rulemaking Activities

EPA expends significant resources during rule development

> Major rules are multi-year commitments

- Negotiations with industry, public health groups and other non-government entities,
- EPA laboratory technology testing and development to justify proposed control measures,
- Example: For U.S. new car/truck requirements, EPA purchased an SUV and modified it to demonstrate that new standards were feasible.

Rule Development Process



Government and Public Role in Rulemaking

- > Typical process for significant rules actions:
 - EPA works with stakeholders to develop a proposed rule
 - Proposal includes primary control requirements and options
 - Proposed rule undergoes review by other Federal agencies and the Executive Office of the President.
 - Proposal issued for public comment all interested party's including foreign entities (average 60 day comment period).
 - EPA reviews comments, addresses issues, and develops final rule
 - Final rule package undergoes review by other Federal agencies and the Executive Office of President
 - EPA Administrator signs rule
 - U.S. Congress has 60 days to review, with ability to vacate rule
 - Affected parties can legally challenge final rule provisions
 - All rule challenges go to U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, whose decision can be reviewed by U.S. Supreme Court

Rule Package Contents

Rule package includes:

- Preamble that explains regulatory provisions, health impacts and benefits, enforcement mechanisms, technical justification
- Regulations contain binding compliance requirements
- Regulatory Impact Analysis/Technical Support Document
 - Includes technical justification for new requirements, description of health effects and benefits, cost and benefit estimates, economic analyses
- Response to Comments document detailing how EPA addressed each comment
- These packages are very detailed and provide EPA's complete justification for its actions (Recent nonroad rule documents total approximately 2,500 pages)

Example: Engineering Cost Estimation for Diesel Engine Standards

Variable Costs

Engine hardware (fuel system, PM filter, NOx aftertreatment, etc.) Equipment hardware Warranty

Fixed Costs

Engine R&D Equipment redesign Engine tooling Equipment tooling Engine Certification Service tools and manual updates

Operating Costs

Fuel cost Fuel consumption Oil change interval Cleaning and other maintenance

Per-vehicle Cost Estimates



Input to Cost-Benefit Analysis

Recent Impacts of Public Input on EPA Rulemaking

Stakeholder input on technical feasibility, cost, health benefits and other factors very important

- Stakeholders provide factual evidence supporting their recommended positions
- As appropriate, changes are made to proposed and final rules based on this feedback

Final Rule – Example - Tier 2 Car/Light Truck Emission Requirements

- Offered more flexibility to automobile manufacturers in meeting the final standards by allowing them to produce vehicles that meet a wider range of emission limits as long as the average emissions of all their vehicles meets interim standards.
- Provided additional time to the oil industry to phase in sulfur restrictions
- Included, for the first time, emission standards for the heaviest passenger vehicles (Gross vehicle weight of 8,500-10,000 pounds)
- Added provisions for both vehicle manufacturers and refiners to obtain extra credit for early compliance.

Final Rule – Example - Heavy Duty On-Highway 2007

- Adjusted fuel compliance program from 100% in 2006 to a phase-in of 80%-20%.
- Included provisions to provide extra credit for earlier compliance.

Final Rule – Example - Non-Road Diesel

- Included a requirement for locomotive and marine diesel fuel to be at 15ppm sulfur.
- Did not finalize CO controls for less than 75hp.
- Based on technological feasibility comments, changed standards for engines 750hp and greater.