#### ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

# INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

### Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods

Joint Meeting of the RID Safety Committee and the Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods (Bern, 24-28 March 2003)

#### Standards Working Group of the Joint Meeting ADR/RID

### Transmitted by the European Committee for Standardization (CEN)

### 2nd meeting, 24-26 March 2003, UPI -Berne

# Work document 1: list of standards submitted by CEN in Dispatch 3 (letter dated 9 January 2003)

Reference		Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
prEN 13322-1		Transportable gas cylinders – Refillable welded steel gas cylinders – Design and construction – Part 1: Welded steel	6.2.2 cylinders	6.2.1.1and 6.2.1.5
Comments	from r	nembers of the Joint Meeting:		
Finland:		Ũ		
a)	In the	table 1 in 8.1 the references should	be checked.	
b)	to tha	there is a reference to EN 1089-1. In it standard. It has to be taken care of requirements.		
Comments	from (	CEN consultant:		
a)	the c	ross-reference numbers have been co	orrected in the fina	al published version
b)		proposed applicable section do not in nce in the Foreword applies	clude 6.2.17 Mark	kings, and the safeguard
Decision o		nce in the Foreword applies Standards Working Group: Accepte	ed Reject	ted

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
prEN 13322-2	Transportable gas cylinders – Refillable welded stainless steel gas cylinders – Design and construction – Part 2: Welded stainless steel	6.2.2 cylinders	6.2.1.1and 6.2.1.5
Finland: same c	members of the Joint Meeting: omments as for prEN 13322-1 CEN consultant: see prEN 13322-2		
Decision of the	Standards Working Group: Accept	ed <b>Reject</b> e	ed

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
prEN 13769	Transportable gas cylinders – Cylinder bundles – Design, manufacture, identification and testing	6.2.2 bundles of cylinders	6.2.1.1, 6.2.1.5 and 6.2.1.7

Comments from members of the Joint Meeting: <u>Finland:</u>

a) In third paragraph in 4.2.2 it's not clear and exact what value the lifting eyes have to stand. Could it be possible to specify that paragraph?

Comments from the CEN consultant:

 a) Comment on technical content: paragraph 3 in combination with paragraph 2 is clear to me: you split the design load (twice the gross weight) between 2 eyes instead of 4 and with an angle of 45°

#### Decision of the Standards Working Group: Accepted Rejected

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
prEN 13807	Transportable gas cylinders – Battery vehicles – Design, manufacture, identification and testing	6.8.2.6	6.8.2.1 and 6.8.2.4 (and 6.8.3.1 and 6.8.3.4)
Finland: a) In 4.6.4 automa Comments from	n members of the Joint Meeting: f mentioned self-closing valve that ma atically should also apply to the compo n the CEN consultant: t 6.8.3.2.3 the requirement is for lique	ressed flammable ga	is (such as hydrogen).

# Decision of the Standards Working Group: Accepted Rejected

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
EN 13365-2002	Transportable gas cylinders – Cylinder bundles for permanent and liquefied gases (excluding acetylene) – Inspection at the time of filling		
Comments from	members of the Joint Meeting:		
Comments from	the CEN consultant:		
Decision of the	Standards Working Group: Accep	oted Reied	ted
Decision of the Reference	Standards Working Group: Accep	oted Rejection Rejection Rejection Rejection Rejection Rejection Reference Rejection Reference Rejection Reje Rejection Rejection R	ted Applicable sub-

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
Comments from r	members of the Joint Meeting:		
	he CEN consultant: epted at 1 <sup>st</sup> meeting in Bonn (see iter	n D1 of anney of re	anort)
	epied at 1 meeting in bonn (see her		,port)
Decision of the	Standards Working Group: Accep	oted Rejec	cted
Reference	Title of document	Where to refer	Applicable sub-
		in ADR/RID	sections and
			paragraphs
prEN ISO 16467	Packaging – Transport packaging		
	for dangerous goods – Test		
<u> </u>	methods for IBCs		
Comments from r	members of the Joint Meeting:		
Commonto from t	he CEN consultant:		
	't consider future standards on Packa	ainac at its first m	opting boogues they are
	I CONSIDER TULUIE SLAHUATUS ON FACKA		celling because liney are
related to provisio	one of the Model Pequilations. The LIN	Committee should	d decide first on the future
	ons of the Model Regulations. The Ut	N Committee should	d decide first on the future
	ons of the Model Regulations. The Ut Is (see item D2 of annex of report)	N Committee should	d decide first on the future
of these standard	ls (see item D2 of annex of report)		
of these standard Decision of the s			cted
of these standard Decision of the s	ls (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept	oted Rejec	
of these standard	ls (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept	ted Rejec	cted Applicable sub- sections and
of these standard	ls (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document	ted Rejec	cted Applicable sub-
of these standard Decision of the s Reference	ls (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document	ted Rejec	cted Applicable sub- sections and
of these standard Decision of the s Reference	ls (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging	ted Rejec	cted Applicable sub- sections and
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101	ls (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics	ted Rejec	cted Applicable sub- sections and
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101	ls (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing	ted Rejec	cted Applicable sub- sections and
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from r Comments from t	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant:	ted Reject Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from r Comments from t The Std WG didn	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa	ted Reject Where to refer in ADR/RID	eeting because they are
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from r Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provisio	Is (see item D2 of annex of report)  Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa ons of the Model Regulations. The UI	ted Reject Where to refer in ADR/RID	eeting because they are
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from r Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provisio	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa	ted Reject Where to refer in ADR/RID	eeting because they are
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provisio of these standard	Is (see item D2 of annex of report)         Standards Working Group:       Acception         Title of document         Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing         members of the Joint Meeting:         the CEN consultant:         't consider future standards on Packaging of the Model Regulations. The Utes (see item D2 of annex of report)	Ated Reject Where to refer in ADR/RID agings at its first me N Committee should	eeting because they are
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provisio of these standard Decision of the s	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa ons of the Model Regulations. The Ut Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep	eted Reject Where to refer in ADR/RID agings at its first me N Committee should oted Reject	eeting because they are d decide first on the future
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provisio of these standard Decision of the s	Is (see item D2 of annex of report)         Standards Working Group:       Acception         Title of document         Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing         members of the Joint Meeting:         the CEN consultant:         't consider future standards on Packaging of the Model Regulations. The Utes (see item D2 of annex of report)	Additional state s	eeting because they are d decide first on the future
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provisio of these standard Decision of the s	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa ons of the Model Regulations. The Ut Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accep	eted Reject Where to refer in ADR/RID agings at its first me N Committee should oted Reject	eeting because they are d decide first on the future
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from r Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provision of these standard Decision of the s Reference	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa ons of the Model Regulations. The Ut Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document	Additional state s	eeting because they are d decide first on the future
of these standard Decision of the S Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from r Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provision of these standard Decision of the S Reference	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa ons of the Model Regulations. The Uf Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document Cryogenic vessels – Large	Additional state s	eeting because they are d decide first on the future
of these standard Decision of the s Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provisio of these standard	Is (see item D2 of annex of report)  Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document  Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting:  the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa ons of the Model Regulations. The Uf Is (see item D2 of annex of report)  Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document  Cryogenic vessels – Large transportable non-vacuum	Additional state s	eeting because they are d decide first on the future
of these standard Decision of the S Reference prEN ISO 16101 Comments from r Comments from t The Std WG didn related to provision of these standard Decision of the S Reference	Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document Packaging – Transport packaging for dangerous goods – Plastics compatibility testing members of the Joint Meeting: the CEN consultant: 't consider future standards on Packa ons of the Model Regulations. The Uf Is (see item D2 of annex of report) Standards Working Group: Accept Title of document Cryogenic vessels – Large	Additional state s	eeting because they are d decide first on the future

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs			
Comments from r	nembers of the Joint Meeting:	•				
Germany:	-					
	tandard should be used ADR terms e		um allowable pressure"			
	in ADR term "maximum working pre					
against	b) In chapter 4.2.9 Insulation there are described no properties as an additional protection against damage for reducing the wall thickness of the tank. So the paragraph 6.8.2.1.19 ADR has to be excluded in the reference.					
<ul> <li>c) In 4.3.5.1.3 there is also a calculation mode for e.g. spherical shells. This leads to thinner wall thicknesses than to other shells (see equation in 6.8.2.1.17 ADR). All forms of shells including ends shall have the same minimum thickness regarding this formulae. Therefore 6.8.2.1.17 ADR has to be excluded in the reference.</li> </ul>						
	ex C there are some wrong cross refe	erences in table C.2				
	he CEN consultant:					
	nition of max allowable pressure refe	rs to the design pre	ssure (or the "calculation			
	e" in ADR) I see no difference					
, .	he table for minimum wall thickness of	comes from EN135	30-2 for double wall			
	i insulated vessels.	me solution ovelue	vion of 6.8.2.1.17			
	same issue as for EN 13530-2 and sa Has probably been picked up by the (					
u) Agree. I	has probably been picked up by the		rs beibre publishing.			
Decision of the	Standards Working Group: Accep	ted Reject	ted			
Reference	Title of document	Where to refer	Applicable sub-			
		in ADR/RID	sections and			
			paragraphs			
prEN 13110	Transportable refillable welded	6.2.2. cylinders	6.2.1.1, 6.2.1.5 and			
	aluminium cylinders for liquefied		6.2.1.7			
	petroleum gas (LPG) – Design and					
	construction					
	nembers of the Joint Meeting:					
Finland:	hauld ha takan into account the minin	www.woll.thickness	of the process recented			
	hould be taken into account the minir .3 in the ADR.	num wan unickness	of the pressure receptacie			
	he CEN consultant:					
	ards for gas cylinders include a linear	formula that is bou	nd to give different results			
	ep formula; the formula used in this s					
	o in 6.2.2.					
	Standards Working Group: Accep					
Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs			
prEN 14140	Transportable refillable welded	6.2.2 cylinders	6.2.1.1, 6.2.1.5 and			
	steel cylinders for liquefied	0.2.2 091110010	6.2.1.7			
	petroleum gas (LPG) – Alternative					
	design and construction					
Comments from r	nembers of the Joint Meeting:	•				
Comments from t	he CEN consultant:					
Decision of the s	Standards Working Group: Accep	ted Rejec	ted			
Reference	Title of document	Where to refer	Applicable sub-			
		in ADR/RID	sections and			
			paragraphs			
prEN	Specifications and testing for	6.2.2 valves	6.2.1.6			
13152:2001/prA	liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) –					
		•	•			

Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
1	cylinder valves-self closing		
Comments from r	members of the Joint Meeting:		
•••••••••••	he CEN consultant: ed at previous meeting (see proposal	13 in Annex 1 of th	e report)
	Standards Working Group: Accep		• •
Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
prEN 13153:2001/prA 1	Specifications and testing for liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) – cylinder valves-manually operated	6.2.2 valves	6.2.1.6
Comments from r	nembers of the Joint Meeting:		
Already mentione	he CEN consultant: ed at previous meeting (see proposal Standards Working Group: Accep		
Reference	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs
prEN 14025	Tanks for the transport of dangerous goods – Metallic pressure tanks – Design and Construction	6.2.2 maint	6.2.1.6

Refere	nce	Title of document	Where to refer in ADR/RID	Applicable sub- sections and paragraphs		
Comme	Comments from members of the Joint Meeting:					
Finland	-					
a)		$p_{vap}$ there ought to take into according pressure in 60 C and 65 C). For				
b)		5.1 there is a reference to the A at necessary to have this Annex		ct texts of the ADR and		
C)						
d)	We would ADR?	l like to ask if the formulas for the	e $f_d$ /MWP in the table 1	in 6.2 are according to the		
e)	e) In 6.3.3.4 the thickness of the ends may be less than the thickness of the wall which is against the principles of the ADR. According to the ADR the thickness of the ends of the shell shall be at least equal to the thickness of the wall. We propose the exception of					
f)	6.8.2.1.17 from 6.8.2.1 in the reference table to the ADR. we would like to see that somewhere in this standard it is clearly indicated that the welding coefficient is 1,0.					
Comme	ents from t	he CEN consultant:				
	the definit	tion says:at 50°C or at the des				
b)	EN14025 in parallel most strir	has a different approach to the the requirements of the standar gent output; therefore it would b ID in the same document.	other standards; the use d <u>and</u> the requirements	er is supposed to consider of 6.8 and to adopt the		
c)	The reference to Annex C of EN 13094 is useful to compare the strength of different sandwich constructions.					
	The max .stress combinations are lower or identical to the ones defined in 6.8.2.1.6					
f)	eliminates	s that possibility; see flow chart i	n 6.1 illustrated with exa	ample in Annex B.		
Decision of the Standards Working Group: Accepted Rejected						