## PROPOSAL FOR DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION NO.44 (Child restraints)

Transmitted by the Technical Services Group on Reg. 44

Note: The text below has been prepared by the Technical Services Group on Reg. 44 (TSG) to take account of the respective proposal from the expert from the Netherlands and to propose a more common solution on that issue (TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/31, paragraph 40.) This document is a revision of document TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2002/10

## A. PROPOSAL

Paragraph 8.4.1.1 from TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2002/10, amend to read:

"8.4.1.1... at least 400 frames per second; ..."

Paragraphs 8.4.1.2 to 8.4.2. from TRANS/WP.29/GRSP/2002/10, delete

New paragraph 8.4.1.2, insert to read:

"8.4.1.2.Determination of uncertainty:

The determination of uncertainty of the displacement evaluation shall be achieved utilising document EA-4/02 (December 1999) from the European Accreditation Organisation. The uncertainty shall be within  $\pm$  25 mm."

## B. JUSTIFICATION

The Technical Services Group on Reg. 44 (TSG) has the experience that 400 frames per second (old value in Reg. 44/03) are completely sufficient for every evaluation.

The TSG proposes a complete specification of uncertainty with a quite stringent requirement; the reduction of uncertainty contains all the detailed specification of camera use and picture evaluation in EA-4/02 which is therefore not necessary to be specified expressively in Reg. 44.