Distr.
GENERAL
TRANS/SC.2/2002/8
8 July 2002
Original: ENGLISH

## ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

## INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

## Working Party on Rail Transport

(Fifty-sixth session, 16-18 October 2002, agenda item 6 (a))

# FACILITATION OF BORDER CROSSING IN INTERNATIONAL RAIL TRANSPORT 

Annual monitoring on the progress made in the facilitation of border crossing in international rail transport

## Transmitted by the Governments of Hungary, Russian Federation, Turkey and Ukraine

The Working Party on Rail Transport, at its fifty-fifth session (16-18 October 2001), considered, inter alia, questions related to the annual monitoring on the progress made in the facilitation of border crossing in international rail transport (TRANS/SC.2/196, paras. 26-32).

In connection with this item, the Working Party again asked the Governments of those countries where the following border stations are located: Ruse, Kulata, Svilengrad (Bulgaria), Promachon (Greece), Lököshaza (Hungary), Curtici and Giurgiu Nord (Romania), Suzemka (Russian Federation), Kapikule (Turkey) and Zernovo (Ukraine) to submit information referred to in the monitoring system, for the period 7-20 February 2002, (TRANS/SC.2/196, para. 28). The Working Party also asked the Governments to provide additional information on the principal reasons which caused delays related to customs, police and railway controls (e.g. lack of traction facilities, locomotives, lack of staff, different working hours, etc.).

The information transmitted to the secretariat is set out in detail below for consideration by the Working Party.
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## HUNGARY

## Border Crossing point: CURTICI

Railway administration:
Border crossing point:
Data collection period:
Contact person and details:

Hungarian State Railways Co. Ltd. MÁV Co. Ltd.
Curtici, common border station with Romania
7-20 February 2002
Dr. Szabó György, MÁV Co. LTD.
e-mail: edesl@mavrt.hu

Direction A (trains leaving the country)

|  | Number of trains | Delay at arrival <br> Average <br> (minutes) | Stopping times for delivery |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Police } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ | Railway (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains | 4 (1) | 248 | 160 | 281 | 38 (40) |  | 192 (80) | 51 (40) |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains | 9 (6) | 170 | 150 | 239 | 41 (40) |  | 144 (70) | 54 (40) |
| Empty trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit trains | 4 (0) |  | 160 | 144 | 18 (40) |  | 70 (80) | 56 (40) |
| Other freight trains | 79 (43) | 102 | 160 | 226 | 42 (40) |  | 116 (80) | 68 (40) |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS | 96 (50) | 110 | 159* | 226 | 41 (40) |  | 120 | 65 (40) |

* mean (calculated)


## Direction B (trains entering the country)

|  | Number of <br> trains | Delay at departure Average (minutes) | Stopping times for acceptance |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Police } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ | Railway (minutes) (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains | 5 (5) | 309 | 140 | 324 | 48 (40) | 75 (30) | 158 (55) | 43 (30) |
| Empty trains | 3 (3) | 40 | 230 | 187 | - (50) | 30 (30) | 115(120) | 42 (30) |
| Transit trains | 2 (1) | 92 | 230 | 105 | 27 (50) | 20 (30) | 38 (120) | 20 (30) |
| Other freight trains | 67 (35) | 133 | 230 | 317 | 44 (50) | 59 (30) | 175(120) | 39 (30) |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS | 77 (44) | 140 | 224* | 307 | 42 | 58 | 168 | 39 |

* mean (calculated)
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The basic component of the delays in border control procedures is within the sphere of the Hungarian State Railways Co. Ltd., namely, in surveying and preparation of documents to the consignments, by its commercial service, for the control of the authorities.
The main reasons of delays at this phase are that:

- This service is carried out by a single channel, i.e. by one shift only for both directions with regard to the low number of trains (cca. 5 trains per direction per 24 hours) which, on the other hand, causes jams in case of any coincidence of two arrivals occurring often, on account of the passenger trains' periods and of the poor punctuality of freight traffic.
- The machines for electronic data processing are in shortage of maintenance at the adequate level and need timely replacements. Measures are in preparation to act appropriately.
- A new factor extending that phase for entering trains compared to 2001, is that MÁV's commercial service as a general presenter of the entering consignments to Hungarian customs authority, has the duty to certify the clients' capability to pay the customs' fee as a precondition to customs' control, by the force of the new law.

As for entering trains, another delay factor is that Romanian border policemen give priority to the passenger trains.

Notes to the Questionnaire on Curtici, common border station in Romania, Hungarian Section
Direction A
Column 1: in brackets: of which arrived with delay
Columns 5, 7, 8: in brackets: as provided by the local technology (summing up, they make the scheduled stopping time.)
Column 6: Romanian border police controls parallel with the railway control (Hungarian border police control is carried out still in Hungary, at Lökösháza station, in 15 minutes stopping time as provided by the timetables of the trains )
Column 8: stopping the voltage over the train and previous survey of the wagons' list by the Rumanian railway when the train arrives at Curtici from Hungary

## Direction B

Column 1: in brackets: of which departed with delay
Columns 5, 6, 7, 8: in brackets: as provided by the local technology (summing up, they make the scheduled stopping time.)
Column 6: Hungarian border police controls take place at Lökösháza in Hungary, in 15 minutes stopping time as provided by the timetables of the trains.
Column 8: $\quad$ Closing with the locomotive, braking test and waiting because of traffic difficulties before the train leaves Curtici for Hungary.
Directions A and B
Column 7:
lack of parallel staff for peaks, with regard to the law of exploitation in general

## Direction B

Column 5:
lack of staff (Romanian policemen give priority to passenger trains)

## RUSSIAN FEDERATION

## Border Crossing point: SUZEMKA

Railway administration:
Border crossing point:
Data collection period:
Contact person and details:

Moscow Railway Company
Railway Station Suzemka
7 - 20 February 2002
Mr. Vadim Berzegov
telephone: (095) 2621628
fax: (095) 9752411

Direction A (trains leaving the country)

|  | Number of trains | Delay at arrival <br> Average <br> (minutes) | Stopping times for delivery |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | Police (minutes) | Railway (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Empty trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit trains | 27 |  | 163 | 236 | 46 |  | 46 | 117 |
| Other freight trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS | 27 |  | 163 | 236 | 46 |  | 46 | 117 |

Direction B (trains entering the country)

|  | Number of trains | Delay at departure Average (minutes) | Stopping times for acceptance |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Police } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Railway } \\ & \text { (minutes) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Empty trains | 19 |  | 113 | 146 | 46 |  | 46 | 67 |
| Transit trains | 16 |  | 113 | 183 | 58 |  | 46 | 67 |
| Other freight trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS | 35 |  | 113 | 163 | 51,5 |  | 46 | 67 |
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The main reason for the train's delays is execution of the Russian Custom Inspection's requirements of the control for rolling stock and transported cargo.

## TURKEY

## Border Crossing point: KAPIKULE

Railway administration:
Border crossing point:
Data collection period:
Contact person and details:

Turkish State Railways (TCDD)
Railway Station Kapikule
7 - 20 February 2002
Mr. Izzet Işik, Deputy Director of Foreign Relations Department telephone: fax:

Direction A (trains leaving the country)

|  | Number of trains | Delay at arrival <br> Average <br> (minutes) | Stopping times for delivery |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | Police (minutes) | Railway (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains | 6 | 117 | 220 | 184 | 60 |  | 124 |  |
| Empty trains | 6 |  | 120 | 349 |  |  | 349 |  |
| Transit trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other freight trains | 17 | 61 | 182 | 188 | 90 |  | 98 |  |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Direction B (trains entering the country)

|  | Number of trains | Delay at departure Average (minutes) | Stopping times for acceptance |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | Police (minutes) | Railway (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains | 5 | 135 | 255 | 873 | 517 |  | 356 |  |
| Empty trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other freight trains | 29 | 17 | 215 | 5072 | 4006 |  | 1066 |  |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Principal reasons which caused delays: custom inspection of wagons, requirement for standardization certificate for transported goods, early arrival of container trains entering the country (before scheduled time), lack of staff, lack of traction facilities in case of excessive freight.

## UKRAINE

## Border Crossing point: <br> ZERNOVOE

Railway administration:
Border crossing point:
Data collection period:
Contact person and details:

Railway administration (Ukrzaliznytsya)
Railway Station Zernovoe
7-20 February 2002
Mr. V.A. Lozovoi
telephone: (++38044) 2230141

Direction A (trains leaving the country)

|  | Number of trains | Delay at arrival <br> Average <br> (minutes) | Stopping times for delivery |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Police } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ | Railway (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains | 82 | 35 | 223 | 215 | 19 |  | 16 |  |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains | 4 | 43 | 190 | 179 | 8 |  | 35 |  |
| Empty trains | 109 | 59 | 191 | 150 | 19 |  | 40 |  |
| Transit trains | 1 | 18 | 90 | 72 |  |  | 18 |  |
| Other freight trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Direction B (trains entering the country)

|  | Number of trains | Delay at departure Average (minutes) | Stopping times for acceptance |  | Average time per type of border control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scheduled (minutes) | Real average (minutes) | Customs (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Police } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ | Railway (minutes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Block trains | 81 | 66 | 434 | 435 | 24 |  | 27 | 15 |
| Shuttle trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Empty trains | 72 | 153 | 457 | 364 | 13 |  | 136 | 4 |
| Transit trains | 35 | 108 | 464 | 435 | 13 |  | 19 | 77 |
| Other freight trains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FREIGHT TRAINS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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