

Economic and Social Council

Distr. GENERAL

TRANS/WP.30/AC.3/2000/1 TRANS/WP.30/2000/16 11 August 2000

Original: ENGLISH

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Administrative Committee for the International Convention on the Harmonization of Frontier Controls of Goods, 1982 (Fourth session, 18 and 20 October 2000, agenda item 5)

Working Party on Customs Questions affecting Transport (Ninety-sixth session, 16-20 October 2000, agenda item 4)

INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE HARMONIZATION OF FRONTIER CONTROLS OF GOODS, 1982 ("Harmonization Convention")

Preparation of a new annex on efficient border crossing procedures

Note by the UN/ECE secretariat

1. In accordance with its mandate, the UN/ECE secretariat has convened on 1 August 2000 an <u>ad hoc</u> expert group on technical questions which focused its work on the establishment of an International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) with a view to avoiding repetitive and time-consuming weighing procedures at border stations. On the basis of the results of this expert group meeting, the secretariat has prepared an Appendix to the new draft Annex 8 to the Convention for consideration by the Administrative Committee and the UN/ECE Working Party.

GE.00-

2. As the UN/ECE Working Party, at its ninety-fifth session, felt that the draft provisions of the new Annex 8 to the Convention as contained in document TRANS/WP.30/2000/11 required further studies by competent national authorities and technical experts before they could be considered and adopted by the Administrative Committee, the secretariat felt that, at this stage, it would not be appropriate for the secretariat to propose new draft provisions of the new Annex 8, but rather to complement them by the Appendix referred to in Article 5 of new Annex 8 of the Convention relating to an International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC).

3. The present document contains the main conclusions of the <u>ad hoc</u> expert group on technical questions as well as the draft of an International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC). The text of the draft relates to the IVWC as it might be introduced, at a first stage, in the framework of the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on the Facilitation of International Road Transport which had been signed in 1999 by the Ministers of Transport of the eleven States participating in the Southeast European Cooperative Initiative (SECI): Albania; Bosnia and Herzegovina; Bulgaria; Croatia; Greece; Hungary; Republic of Moldova; Romania; Slovenia; The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Turkey. For these reasons, no references are made in the draft IVWC to the provisions of the new Annex 8, Article 5 of the Convention which will have to be added once the IVWC will become an Appendix to the new Annex 8 of the Convention.

4. The Administrative Committee and the UN/ECE Working Party may wish to consider the draft provisions of the new Annex 8 to the Convention as contained in document TRANS/WP.30/2000/11 as well as the conclusions of the <u>ad hoc</u> expert group on technical questions and the draft of the International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) as contained in the present document. The sketches on vehicle types which are part of the IVWC are contained in an Addendum to this document.

* * *

CONCLUSIONS OF THE UN/ECE AD HOC GROUP OF TECHNICAL EXPERTS (1 August 2000)

1. In accordance with the decisions taken by the Regional Road Transport Committee, at its second session, the UN/ECE secretariat is reproducing below a revised draft Protocol to the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on the Facilitation of International Road Transport of Goods in the SECI region as well as a revised draft International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) for consideration and adoption by the Committee.

2. While the text of the draft Protocol to the MoU has been prepared by the UN/ECE secretariat taking account of the considerations of the Committee at its second session, the draft of the International Vehicle Weight Certificate has been reviewed and agreed upon in principle by a UN/ECE group of technical experts.

3. The technical expert group has been convened by the UN/ECE secretariat and met on 1 August 2000 in Geneva. It consisted of the following experts: Mr. P. De Langhe, Ministry of Transport and Infrastructure (Belgium); Mr. F. Chouaref, Ministry of Transport (France); Mrs. G. Schwan, Federal Ministry of Transport, Office for Goods Transport (BAG)(Germany); Mr. A. Dessis, Ministry of Development, Metrology Department (Greece); Mr. M. Urlan, Ministry of Transport, National Administration of Roads (Romania); Mr. S. Rasmussen, Technical Affairs Department, International Road Transport Union (IRU); Mrs. A. Luchie and Mr. A. McKenzie, Liaison Committee of Coachwork and Trailer Builders (CLCCR); Mr. F. Scheuter, Division Manager Wheel Load Scales, HAENNI Instrumentation Systems.

4. In addition to the International Vehicle Weight Certificate given below, the group of experts considered the following substantive issues:

Total versus axle weight

5. The group of experts was of the view that while the gross vehicle weight of goods road vehicles was an important indicator determining road traffic safety, one of the major factors responsible for wear and tear of the road surface was the axle weight of goods road vehicles in combination with the type of axle (driven or non-driven) and their placement (single, tandem, triple axle(s), including distance between axles).

6. For the purposes of the International Vehicle Weight Certificate having the objective to facilitate border crossing procedures, an indication of the gross weight of goods road vehicles

TRANS/WP.30/AC.3/2000/1 TRANS/WP.30/2000/16 page 4

only would not be sufficient and should be complemented by weight measurements of the individual axles (reference is made in this context to European Community Directives 96/53/EC (25.07.1996) and 97/27/EC (22 July 1997)).

Static versus dynamic weight measurements

7. In the past, weight measurements using fixed and later-on portable static wheel-load scales were considered as the only valid means for determining the weight of goods road vehicles, both for gross vehicle weight and individual axle weight measurements. Today, increasingly, dynamic weighing procedures (weigh-in-motion (WIM) measurements) are used, often for preselection purposes, allowing to determine the weight of goods road vehicles without interfering with their movements. In cases where serious over-weights are measured, the vehicles are stopped and further examined and re-weighed on static scales. While static weight measurements are used in most countries for law enforcement purposes due to their relatively high reliability and accuracy, some countries use today also dynamic (weigh-in-motion) weight measurements for such purposes.

8. Dynamic weight measurements present additional sources of possible measurement errors compared to static measurements which result from acceleration processes of the vehicle due to road surfaces not being perfectly smooth and level and depending on the quality of the vehicle suspension system. The results obtained must therefore be taken with care and sufficient allowances have to be made for such external errors.

9. In theory, the results of dynamic and static weight measurements should be the same. However, as defined in the relevant OIML recommendations, the error of dynamic weight measurements is always greater than the error of static weight measurements. Good results require, in the case of dynamic measurements, the best possible road quality as well as vehicles with good suspension systems. Thus, while both measurement systems are able to provide accurate results, the requirements for achieving such results are more demanding in the case of dynamic measurements.

10. The group of experts therefore recommended that static and dynamic weighing procedures should be allowed for inscriptions into and the control of the weight measurements contained in the International Vehicle Weight Certificate as long as the weighing equipment and its usage were in conformity with the relevant rules and recommendations of the International Organization of Legal Metrology (OIML) (it should be noted in this context that rules and recommendations relating to dynamic weight measurements have not yet been approved by OIML).

Fixed platform scales versus portable scales

11. Until the 1960s, fixed platform scales were considered as the only reliable equipment for determining the weight of goods road vehicles. Later on, the introduction of portable static wheel-load scales has led to considerable improvements and effectiveness of weight enforcement. Initial problems related to the accuracy of such portable scales often have been falsely attributed to the weighing equipment itself. In most cases however, weighing errors were caused by faulty usage of the portable equipment, such as the omission of levelling in the sequential measurement of multiple axle systems, etc. These problems are known today and should no longer occur.

12. The accuracy of modern portable wheel-load scales is only slightly below that of fixed platform scales, provided that the equipment used is of comparable quality and has been approved in accordance with the rules and regulations of the International Organization of Legal Metrology (OIML).

13. The group of experts therefore recommended that in the context of the International Vehicle Weight Certificate the use of fixed platform scales as well as portable scales should be allowed.

14. Based on research undertaken by one of the experts, the table below provides an overview of the typical error rates of different weighing equipment.

1.	Fixed platform scale	1	
	Tixed platform scale		
	6 Wheel load scale	Static measurements	
2.	o wheel load scale	Static measurements	
3.	2 Wheel load scale		
	2 Wheel load scale)	
4.	Full-plate low speed (.	km/h) weigh-in-motion	
=x==	1 1 \	, 8	
5.	Full-plate high speed (km/h) weigh-in-motion	Dynamic
==x=			
			(
6.	Half-plate high speed ((km/h) weigh-in-motion	measurements
==x==	1		
7.	Strip high speed (kn	n/h) weigh-in-motion	
====x=========			
0 % 10 %	20 %	30 %	40 %
Legend: ==x===	Intrinsic error (Minimum – Maxim		
	Total error (Minimum – Maximum)	
	* * *		
Error Definition according to I	EN 45501 (OIML R 76)		

Typical error of weighing systems (gross weight)

Source: Felix Scheuter, HAENNI Instrumentation Systems (Switzerland)

15. The Committee may wish to consider the views expressed by the expert group which have been incorporated into the text of the draft Protocol to the MoU as well as into the International Vehicle Weight Certificate itself.

* * *

DRAFT

Protocol

to the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on the Facilitation of International Road Transport of Goods in the SECI Region signed by Ministers responsible for Transport on 28 April 1999 at Athens

on the introduction of an International Goods Road Vehicle Weight Certificate

1. The Parties, in accordance with Article 7 of the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on the Facilitation of International Road Transport of Goods in the SECI Region, signed on 28 April 1999 at Athens, taking account of the views of the Regional Road Transport Committee, established in accordance with Article 12 of the MoU, introduce herewith an International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) as annexed to this Protocol.

2. The objective of the International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) is to avoid repetitive weight measurements of goods road vehicles <u>en route</u> in the participating countries. Duly filled-in certificates shall be accepted as bearing valid weight measurements by the competent authorities of SECI participating States. As a general rule, competent authorities shall accept the information contained in the certificate as valid and shall refrain from requiring additional weight measurements.

3. The International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) shall be issued and used under the supervision of a designated Governmental authority in line with the procedure described in the annexed certificate.

4. The Parties shall approve authorized weighing stations to fill-in, together with the operator/driver of the goods road vehicle, the International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) in accordance with the following minimum requirements:

(a) Weighing stations shall be equipped with certified weighing equipment (scales). These scales may be built into fixed installations (platform scales) or may consist of portable equipment. In the latter case particular attention has to be accorded to the accuracy of the weighing results.

(b) The weighing equipment (scales) shall be well maintained. It shall be regularly verified and sealed by the competent national authorities responsible for weights and measures. The weighing equipment (scales), its accuracy levels and its usage shall comply with the rules and recommendations established by the International Organization for Legal Metrology (OIML).

(c) Weight measurements may be taken using static or dynamic (weigh-in-motion WIM)) procedures. Particular care should be taken to minimize the intrinsic error values of the weighing equipment and, in particular in case of dynamic weight measurements, the errors due to external factors (levelling, road surface, vehicle suspension system, etc.).

5. The use of the certificate by transport operators is optional.

6. To prevent abuses, the competent authorities may, in exceptional cases and particularly when irregularities are suspected, carry out an examination of the vehicle weight in accordance with national regulations.

7. The model of the attached certificate may be reproduced in any of the languages of the participating countries provided that the layout of the certificate and the placing of the items therein are not modified.

8. The Parties shall publish a list of all authorized weighing stations. These lists as well as any modification thereto shall be transmitted to the other Parties and to the UN/ECE secretariat for distribution to interested organizations and users.

9. <u>Transitional provisions</u>: Since only very few weighing stations in the SECI participating States are equipped at present with scales able to provide individual axle weight measurements, the Parties agree that, during a transitional period, expiring months following the entry into force of this Protocol, gross vehicle weight measurements as provided for under item 7.3 in the International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) shall be sufficient and shall be accepted by the competent national authorities.

10. This Protocol will enter into force subject to its adoption by the Regional Road Transport Committee following a period of three months following its signature by the SECI participating States.

* * *

TRANS/WP.30/AC.3/2000/1 TRANS/WP.30/2000/16 page 9

						Page 1 of 4		
	NATIONS UNIES OF BEAMBERHAUM UNITED NATION							
COMMISSION ÉCONOMIQUE POUR L'EUROPE			ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКАЯ КО Для Европы	миссия		IC COMMISSION R EUROPE		
	INTERNATIONAL VEHICLE WEIGHT CERTIFICATE (IVWC)							
		<u>Valid for i</u>	nternational road tra	<u>nsport of goods</u>				
T	'o be fi	lled-in by the operator/d	river of the goods roa	ad vehicle <u>before</u>	weighing	the vehicle		
1.	NAM	E AND ADDRESS (inclu	ding country) of trans	port operator/com	pany:			
	Tel. No.: Fax. No.: E-mail:							
	Tel. N	Io.: Fax. N	NO.:	E-mail:.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
2.	TRA	NSPORT CONTRACT NO	o (i.e. CMR Consignm	nent Note No.):				
2.	D. <u>TRANSPORT CONTRACT No.</u> (i.e. CMR Consignment Note No.):							
	01 111	<u>CARNET No.</u> .		••				
3.	DETA	AILS OF GOODS ROAD	VEHICLE					
	(a)	Registration number of	road tractor / lorry:	•••••	•••••			
			semi-trailer / trailer:					
	(b)	Suspension system of	road tractor/lorry: semi-trailer/trailer:	Air □ Mechan Air □ Mechan		Other 🗖 Other 🗖		
			Remarks					

					Page 2 of 4		
	To be filled-in by the operator of the authorized weighing station						
4.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF AUTHORIZED WEIGHING STATION:						
		• • • • • • • •	•••••	Count	<u>ry</u> :		
_							
5.	VEHICLE WEIGHT MEASUREMENT	<u>' No.</u> :	*				
6.	<u>DATE OF ISSUANCE</u> :						
7.	WEIGHT MEASUREMENTS OF GOO						
	(Original and official record of the weighing station s						
	7.1. <u>Type of goods road vehicle</u> (in a	ccorda	ance with EU Di	ective 90	6/53/EC 1996)		
			ype number as cor f the certificate. Fo				
		, part o	The certificate. It	n exampi	$(1, A_2, 0, A_2, S_2)$		
	7.2. Axle weight measurements						
	(1) First axle Driven axle		Single axle		_		
	Non-driven axle		Tandem axle Triple axle		kg		
		_	-	_			
	(2) Second axleDriven axle Non-driven axle		Single axle Tandem axle		kg		
			Triple axle				
	(3) Third axle Driven axle		Single axle				
	Non-driven axle		Tandem axle		kg		
			Triple axle				
	(4) Fourth axle Driven axle		Single axle				
	Non-driven axle		Tandem axle Triple axle		kg		
	(5) Eifth cula Driver cula		-				
	(5) Fifth axle Driven axle Non-driven axle		Single axle Tandem axle		kg		
			Triple axle				
	(6) Sixth axle ** Driven axle		Single axle				
	Non-driven axle		Tandem axle		kg		
Triple axle							
	** If more than six axles, indicate in box "Remarks" on page 4.						
	7.3. Gross vehicle weight measurements						
	(7) Road tractor / lorry kg						
	(8) Semi-trailer / trailer				kg		
	(9) Total gross vehicle weight				kg		
*	For Notes see page 4.				0		

						Page 3 of 4	
		To be filled-in by the operator o	of the authorized	weighing st	ation (continu	ed)	
8.	<u>SPECIA</u>	L WEIGHT CHARACTERIS	<u>FICS</u>				
	(1)	Fuel in tanks connected to th	e engine:	filled to	1⁄4 □ 1⁄2 □	1/1 🗖	
	(2)	Fuel in additional tanks: (including fuel in tanks for cooling de-	vices)	filled to	¹ /4 🗖 ¹ /2 🗖	1/1 🗖	
	(3)	No. of spare tyres:					
	(4)	Driver(s) included in weight	measurement?	Yes 🗖	No 🗖		
I declare that the above weight measurements taken have been accurately performed by the undersigned by an authorized weighing station. Name of operator of weighing station Stamp: Signature:							
I declare that (a) the above weight measurements have been performed by the above mentioned weighing station, (b) that the information (1) to (8) has been duly filled-in and (c) that no load has been added to the goods road vehicle following its weighing at the above mentioned weighing station.							
Dat	e:						
Na	Name of driver(s) (of goods road vehicle):						
Sig	nature(s):						
	Remarks						

Page 4 of 4

International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC)

Legal basis

The International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) has been drawn up in accordance with the provisions of the Protocol on the Introduction of an International SECI Goods Road Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) to the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on the Facilitation of International Road Transport of Goods in the SECI Region (Athens, 28 April 1999).

Objective

The International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) is designed to avoid repetitive weight measurements of goods road vehicles <u>en route</u> in international transport, particularly at border crossing. The use of the certificate is optional by transport operators.

Procedure

Any International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC) duly filled-in by (a) the operator of an approved weighing station and (b) the transport operators/goods road vehicle driver shall be accepted and recognized as bearing valid weight measurements by the competent authorities of SECI participating States. As a general rule, competent authorities shall accept the information contained in the Certificate as valid and shall refrain from requiring additional weight measurements. To prevent abuses, the competent authorities may however, in exceptional cases, and particularly when irregularities are suspected, carry out an examination of the vehicle weight in accordance with national regulations.

Weight measurements in order to establish this certificate shall be made, upon the request of a transport operator/goods road vehicle driver whose vehicle is registered in one of the SECI participating States by approved weighing stations at costs which shall be limited to the services rendered.

Sanctions

Transport operators/goods road vehicles drivers are liable, in accordance with national legislation, for any false declaration made in the International Vehicle Weight Certificate (IVWC)

In determining the legal value of the weight measurement(s), an estimation of the possible weighing error must be made for each weighing system. This error value, usually in the order of 1 to 3 per cent, consisting of the intrinsic error of the weighing equipment and the error due to external factors, must be deducted from the measured weight in order to ensure that a possible overweight measurement is not caused by the inaccuracy of the weighing equipment and/or the weighing procedure used. As a consequence, fines shall not be imposed on transport operators utilizing this certificate unless the weight measurement(s) inscribed in this certificate minus the maximum possible weighing error (i.e. 3 per cent or 1,200 kg in case of a 40 tonne vehicle) exceed(s) the maximum permissible weight(s) as precribed by national legislation.

Notes

The vehicle weight measurement number shall consist of <u>three</u> data elements linked by hyphens:

1.	1.	A A B	/• •	• • • • • •		Road Traffic, 1968):
	• •	Country code	in accordance	with the	I N Convention on	Road Traffic 19681
۰.	LJ	Country cours	in accordance	with the		$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{a}}$

 i) Country code (in accordance with the Civ Convention on Road Traine, 1900).						
Albania	AL	Republic of Moldova [MD] (not	t official notification)			
Bosnia and Herzegovina	BIH	Romania	RO			
Bulgaria	BG	Slovenia	SLO			
Croatia	HR	The former Yugoslav				
Greece	GR	Republic of Macedonia	MK			
Hungary	Н	Turkey	TR			

(2) Two-digit code allowing identification of national weighing station

(3) Five-digit code (at least) allowing identification of individual weight measurement taken Examples: GR-01-23456 or RO-14-000510