



**Economic and Social
Council**

Distr.
GENERAL

ExG/COMP/2004/10
17 March 2004

ENGLISH ONLY

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on Customs Questions
affecting Transport

Informal ad hoc Expert Group on the
Conceptual and Technical Aspects of
Computerization of the TIR procedure
(Fourth session, 1 and 2 March 2004)

**CUSTOMS CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT OF GOODS
UNDER COVER OF TIR CARNETS (TIR CONVENTION, 1975)**

**Summary report of the fourth session of the Informal ad hoc Expert Group on
Conceptual and Technical Aspects of Computerization of the TIR Procedure**

(Geneva, 1 and 2 March 2004)

A. ATTENDANCE

1. The Informal ad hoc Expert Group held its fourth session on 1 and 2 March 2004 in Geneva.
2. The session was attended by Customs representatives from Finland, Italy, Netherlands and the European Community and by representatives from the national associations of Bulgaria; Hungary; Netherlands; Poland; Romania and Russian Federation. Experts from the International Road Transport Union (IRU) were also present.

B. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Documentation: ExG/COMP/2004/1.

3. The Informal ad hoc Expert Group on Conceptual and Technical Aspects of Computerization of the TIR procedure (further referred to as: “the Expert Group”) adopted the provisional agenda, prepared by the secretariat (ExG/COMP/2004/1), without further amendments.

C. ACTIVITIES OF THE INFORMAL AD HOC EXPERT GROUP

(a) Reference Model of the TIR Procedure

Documentation: ExG/COMP/2003/1, version 1.1.a;

Decision : 57 ^{*/}

4. The Expert Group was informed about the latest version of the Reference Model, dating from 10 January 2004. Since then, the secretariat had detected further points for improvement, which would be added to the amendments validated by the Expert Group. The secretariat was requested to distribute a new update of the Reference Model after the session.

(0) Introduction

(0.1) Background to the document

Documentation: TRANS/WP.30/2004/1, Informal document ExG/COMP/2004 No.1.

Decisions: 38, 39

5. The Expert Group was informed of the instructions received from the Working Party in answer to its request for clarification with regard to the (a) objectives, (b) approach and (c) abbreviated title of the Computerization project. The Expert Group welcomed the step-by-step approach, as formulated by the Working Party. Considering that the final objective of the eTIR Project, being the full computerization of the TIR Carnet life cycle, had been confirmed by the Working Party, the Expert Group felt it would be in a position to work out a specified description of the project, indicating the various steps to be undertaken in order to achieve this. The Expert Group noted with concern that the Working Party, when identifying the first steps to be undertaken, had not included the private sector in its considerations. The Expert Group decided to revert to this issue at a later point in the agenda (see paragraphs 15-17).

^{*/} The issues discussed and the decisions taken are contained in [Annex 1](#) (Issues) and [Annex 2](#) (decisions) to this report.

(0.2) Background to the Reference Model

Decision: 41

6. The Expert Group requested the secretariat to prepare, for discussion at its next session, a working document to amend Chapter 0.2 of the Reference Model, outlining its ideas how to integrate the step by step approach, adopted by the Working Party, into the work of the Expert Group.

(1) Business Domain Modelling

(1.1) Vision

Documentation: ExG/COMP/2004/3, ExG/COMP/2004/4, ExG/COMP/2004/5, ExG/COMP/2004/6, ExG/COMP/2004/7, Informal document ExG/COMP/2004 No. 2

Decision: 40, 42, 43, 44, 45,46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52

7. The Expert Group validated, with minor amendments, Chapter 1.1. of the Reference Model, taking account of the various proposals submitted by the secretariat.

(1.2) TIR Procedure Domain

Decision: 53

8. The Expert Group validated the proposed amendments to Chapter 1.2.

(1.3) TIR Carnet system Use Cases

Documentation: ExG/COMP/2004/8

Decision : 54, 55, 56

9. The Expert Group validated the proposals submitted by the secretariat.

(1.4) The Elaboration of Use Cases

Documentation: ExG/COMP/2004/2

Decision : 58

10. The Expert Group extensively discussed the contributions, submitted by the various 'homework groups' containing full descriptions of the various use cases and requested the secretariat to prepare a new working document, taking account of all observations submitted by the Expert Group at the session for discussion and, possibly, validation at its forthcoming session.

(1.5) Entity Classes

11. The Expert Group raised no new issue with regard to this Chapter.

(1.6) High level diagrams

Decision: 59

12. The Expert Group was not yet in a position to judge the correctness of the high level diagrams, as contained in Chapter 1.6 of the Reference Model. In order to make progress on the issue, the Expert Group decided to review Requirements 1-19, contained in Annex 1 of the Reference Model. Knowing that the high level class diagram should reflect the complete description of all classes making up the TIR Carnet, the Expert Group considered that the analysis of those requirements would constitute a first step towards fully understanding the high level diagram.

13. The Expert Group requested the secretariat to prepare a working document, in which the individual participants in the group would be requested to submit to the secretariat their comment and/or amendments to the list of requirements by 19 April 2004 at the latest.

(1.7) Annexes

Documentation: ExG/COMP/2004/9

Decision: 59

14. Due to time constraints, the Expert Group decided not to discuss document ExG/COMP/2004/9, containing proposals for amending Annexes 1 and 2 of the Reference Model. Instead, it requested the secretariat to include these proposals into the working document, mentioned in para. 13 of this report.

(b) Future projects for the Reference Model of the TIR Procedure

Decision: 60

15. The Expert Group held first considerations with regard to preparing a detailed description of the eTIR Project. It welcomed the proposal made by the Working Party on the use of a centralized database. It stressed that the establishment of a central system would meet the structure of the Convention, as it would provide Contracting Parties with a platform to exchange data without the need to establish direct communications channels between them. Such system could, as envisaged by the Working Party, evolve from a repository of information which could be, for example, updated and accessed by means of so-called “web-services” to a fully-fledged system, where a number of procedures could be automated and information could be automatically sent to all parties concerned as soon as available. It was further pointed out that, considering that the implementation of a fully computerized system, where use of the paper TIR Carnet would no longer be required, was still a long way off, considerable reflection should be made to the existence of two parallel systems, one in which the paper TIR Carnet would maintain its role and one where the paper TIR Carnet would be replaced by electronic data.

16. The Expert Group stressed the importance of continuing its discussions on the future aspects of its work at its forthcoming sessions, but pointed out that it could only provide a detailed description of the eTIR Project after having finalized the Requirement list and the workflow analysis, in accordance with the structure of the Reference Model.

17. The ExG requested the secretariat to draft a first document, outlining its ideas on the future steps of the eTIR Project, taking account of the considerations expressed by the Expert Group. Knowing that the TIR Carnet life cycle was a good example of the public-private partnership, the secretariat was requested to continue to cooperate with the IRU in its future work.

D. DATE AND PLACE OF NEXT SESSION

18. At the kind invitation of the Polish Customs authorities and the Polish national association, the Expert Group tentatively decided to hold its next session in Warsaw on 28 and 29 June 2004 (to be confirmed).

19. The list of participants of the present session is contained in Annex 3 to the report.

Annex 1 – Issues

No	Subject	Description	Date	Source	Related decision(s)	Solved
1	<u>Whole document</u>	At times, document refers to the computerization of the "TIR Convention", whereas at other places it refers to the computerization of the "TIR Procedure".	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1	✓
2	<u>0.1 Background to the document</u>	The Expert Group (ExG) feels that clarification of its mandate is required.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	2, 38	✓
3	<u>0.2 Introduction to the Reference Model</u>	ExG proposes to make reference to the article by Mr. G. Gage.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	3	✓
4	<u>0.2.1 Phases and workflow</u>	With regard to the deliverables, ExG questions the usefulness of the inclusion of sequence diagrams in the first stages of the work to complement or even replace the current activity diagrams.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	4	
5	<u>1.1 Vision</u>	ExG proposes to include a Business opportunity statement.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	5, 42	✓
6	<u>1.1.1 Project title and abbreviation</u>	ExG points out that the abbreviated project title: "eTIR" could be misleading.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	6, 39, 44	
7	<u>1.1.2 Objectives</u>	ExG thinks that the objectives need further precision, as a number of them are too general or too vague.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	7, 43	✓
8	<u>1.1.3 Boundaries</u>	This part needs further elaboration.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	8, 45, 47	✓
9	<u>1.1.3 Boundaries</u>	Figure 1.1, "stakeholders and actors" needs to be updated as follows: -Add "Contracting parties" in the list of stakeholders inside the boundaries; -Replace insurance by Guarantee providers; -Replace "Asycuda" by "Asycuda++"; -Add "National computer systems" in the list of stakeholders outside the boundaries; Replace UNTDED by "UNTDED-ISO7372 Maintenance Agency"; Delete "Consultants" and "Quality consultants" from the figure.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	9	✓

No	Subject	Description	Date	Source	Related decision(s)	Solved
10	<u>1.1.3 Boundaries</u>	Figure 1.2, "stakeholders responsibility" charts needs to be updated as follow: -"Consultants" and "quality consultants" should be removed from the Figure; -The transport industry should be indicated as observer in the AC.2.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	10	✓
11	<u>1.1.5 References</u>	Lack of references to decisions taken in the past by WP.30.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	11, 48	✓
12	<u>1.1.6 Scope</u>	References to related decisions by WP.30 should be added.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	12	✓
13	<u>1.1.6 Scope</u>	Project activities and TIR activities are mixed.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	13, 49	✓
14	<u>1.1.7 Constraints</u>	The term "constraints" may need further clarification.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	14, 50, 51	✓
15	<u>1.2.1 TIR procedure package diagram (pd)</u>	ExG questions the inclusion of the Risk Analysis package in the TIR Carnet package since the Business Domain Modelling refers only to the "as-is" situation.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	15	✓
16	<u>1.2.1 TIR procedure pd</u>	The relationship between the dependency arrows and the requirements is not visible in Figure 1.3.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	16	✓
17	<u>Annex 1 - Requirements list</u>	Requirement 25 is not precise enough.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	17	
18	<u>1.2.2 TIR procedure pd description</u>	In the list of "actors", the term "insurance" has to be replaced by "Guarantee chain".	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	18	✓
19	<u>1.2.2 TIR procedure pd description</u>	In the "performance goal", add "of goods" after "international transport".	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	19	✓
20	<u>1.2.2 TIR procedure pd description</u>	According to ExG, the implementations of the TIR system (associations, insurance contracts, ...) should also be regarded as a precondition to the procedure.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	20	✓
21	<u>1.3 TIR Carnet System uc</u>	The term "TIR Carnet system" is not clear.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	21	✓

No	Subject	Description	Date	Source	Related decision(s)	Solved
22	<u>1.3.1 Actors</u>	The roles of the actors are not clearly defined.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	22, 54	✓
23	<u>1.3.1 Actors</u>	Figure 1.4 should be updated as follows: -Replace "Consignee" by "Authorized Consignee"; -Add brackets around the authorised Consignee to indicate that this subject is still under discussion and not yet part of the Convention.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	23	✓
24	<u>1.3.1 Actors</u>	Figure 1.5 needs further elaboration in view of the roles played by the national associations.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	24, 55	✓
25	<u>1.3.1 Actors</u>	The term "Transport operator (Driver)" used in Figure 1.6 is missing from the Glossary.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	25	
26	<u>1.3.1 Actors</u>	Consignee is missing in Figure 1.6.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	26	✓
27	<u>1.3.1 Actors</u>	Only the holder should be mentioned in Figure 1.6.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	27	✓
28	<u>1.3.2 TIR Carnet ucd</u>	In accordance with decision 15, the risk analysis use case should be removed from Figure 1.7.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	28	✓
29	<u>1.3.2 TIR Carnet ucd</u>	ExG questions the role of Customs authorities in the issuance and return use cases.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	29	✓
30	<u>1.3.2 TIR Carnet ucd</u>	ExG questions the inclusion of a use case on risk analysis by the issuing association prior to issuance of the TIR Carnet.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	30	✓
31	<u>1.3.2 TIR Carnet ucd</u>	"Authorities along the way" should be included in the TIR Transport use case.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	31	✓
32	<u>1.3.2 TIR Carnet ucd description</u>	In accordance with resolution 31, "Authorities along the way" should be added to the "actors" list.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	32	✓
33	<u>1.3.2 TIR Carnet ucd description</u>	In the scenario, any reference to risk analysis should be removed.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	33	✓
34	<u>1.4 Elaboration of uc</u>	The risk analysis use case diagram and description should be deleted and a new diagram and description on the discharge of a TIR operation should be added.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	35	✓

No	Subject	Description	Date	Source	Related decision(s)	Solved
35	<u>1.1.3 Boundaries</u>	The secretariat proposes to move sub-issue "Stakeholders responsibility chart" of Chapter 1.1.3. to a new Chapter 0.2.4. See document ExG/COMP/2004/5 for more details.	18.2.2004	Secretariat	40	✓
36	<u>Annex 2 - TIR glossary</u>	The secretariat proposes to amend Annex 2 with a number of terms. See document ExG/COMP/2004/9 for more details.	18.2.2004	Secretariat	61	
37	<u>1.1.3. Boundaries</u>	The secretariat proposes to replace figure 1.1 of the chapter "1.1.3. Boundaries" with the figure contained in ExG/COMP Informal document 2 (2004).	18.2.2004	Secretariat	46	✓
38	<u>Whole document</u>	The terms "TIR Carnet holder", "holder of TIR Carnet" and "authorized transport operator" are used indifferently through the Reference model.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	57	
39	<u>1.4 Elaboration of use cases</u>	ExG is not yet in a position to finalize the descriptions of the use case descriptions presented in document ExG/COMP/2004/2.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	58	
40	<u>1.6. High level class diagram.</u>	ExG is not yet in a position to validate Chapter 1.6.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	59	
41	<u>Chapter 2</u>	Following WP.30 decision regarding the step-by-step approach for the development of the project, ExG underlines the necessity to elaborate a detailed description of final product in order to be able to split the work into various steps.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	60	

Annex 2 – Decisions

Decision. No	Issue No	Description	Date	Source	Version¹
1	1	The references made to the computerization of the "TIR Convention", will be replaced by computerization of the "TIR Procedure" in the whole Reference Model.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
2	2	ExG requests the secretariat to prepare a document for the February 2004 meeting of WP30.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
3	3	Reference to the article "IS architecture artistry. G. Gage, IDG Communication Publication, July 1991" will be made.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
4	4	Possible inclusion of sequence diagrams will be discussed when studying the activity diagrams and will be included only if ExG encounter problems in understanding the activity diagrams.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
5	5	ExG requests the secretariat, in collaboration with the IRU, to draft a proposal for a "Business opportunity statement" for the forthcoming session of ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
6	6	ExG requests the secretariat to prepare a document for the February 2004 meeting of WP30 (see decision 2).	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
7	7	ExG is requested to submit concrete proposals to the secretariat in order to amend the objectives chapter. The secretariat will present the revised version at the forthcoming meeting.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
8	8	ExG is requested to submit concrete proposals to the secretariat in order to amend the boundaries chapter. The secretariat will present the revised version at the forthcoming meeting.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
9	9	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
10	10	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
11	11	References to relevant reports should be added in the reference chapter.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
12	12	The tasks identified in the scope of the project should be complemented with the WP.30 decisions concerned.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a

¹ This column indicated in which version the results of the decision will be included for the first time.

Decision. No	Issue No	Description	Date	Source	Version¹
13	13	The secretariat is mandated to redraft the scope chapter distinguishing between TIR-related and project-related activities.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
14	14	ExG requests the secretariat to draft a clarification of the term "constraints".	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
15	15	ExG decides to keep the Risk analysis package but to place it outside of the TIR Carnet System package. It requests the secretariat to harmonize the dependency arrows accordingly	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
16	16	ExG requests the secretariat to add the requirement number to the dependency arrows in order to increase the readability of Figure 1.3.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
17	17	ExG requests the secretariat to draft a more detailed wording of Requirement 25.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
18	18	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
19	19	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
20	20	ExG decides to add "Implementation of the TIR system" as a precondition.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
21	21	ExG decides to replace the term "TIR Carnet system" by the "TIR Carnet life cycle" in the whole document.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
22	22	ExG requests the secretariat to redraft chapter 1.3.1, identifying differences between the actors and the roles and drafting clear definitions.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
23	23	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
24	24	ExG requests the secretariat, in collaboration with IRU, to draft a revised Figure 1.5 for the forthcoming session.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
25	25	ExG requests the secretariat to insert the term "Transport operator (driver)", together with a draft definition, in the glossary.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
26	26	ExG decides not to integrate the "Consignee" in Figure 1.6 because he does not act on behalf of the holder.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	

Decision. No	Issue No	Description	Date	Source	Version ¹
27	27	ExG decides not to change the list of actors as mentioned in Figure 1.6.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
28	28	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
29	29	ExG decides that Customs authorities are not directly involved in the use cases, because the issuance uses case finishes and the return use case starts with the holder.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
30	30	ExG feels that the term "risk analysis" used in issue no. 30 is not appropriate, because, at the moment of issuance, the issuing association is actually performing a "risk assesment". It decides not to include this in the use case.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	
31	31	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
32	32	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
33	33	The proposal is accepted by ExG.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
34		In order to verify and complement the use cases in part 1.4, ExG requests secretariat to organize working groups which should report to the secretariat not later than 17 October 2003. The secretariat is requested to compile the work of the working groups.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	-
35	34	The proposal is accepted by the group.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	1.1a
36		ExG validates Chapter 1.5.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	-
37		ExG decides that the secretariat should be provided with comments on Chapter 1.6 before 17 October 2003 for compilation in preparation of the forthcoming session.	1-2.Sep.03	ExG (Budapest)	-
38	2	ExG endorses the clarification of its mandate as given by the WP.30 at its spring 2004 session.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	-
39	6	ExG endorses the abbreviation "eTIR" for its project.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
40	35	ExG accepts the proposal.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2

Decision. No	Issue No	Description	Date	Source	Version¹
41		ExG requests the secretariat to present, at its next session, a document explaining how the step by step approach will be integrated in the Reference model.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	-
42	5	ExG validates the proposed "Business opportunity Statement" as contained in Annex 1 of document ExG/COMP/2004/3, amending it with an additional paragraph, as proposed by the secretariat. This new chapter becomes chapter 1.1.4.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
43	7	ExG validates the proposed Chapter 1.1.2 as contained in document ExG/COMP/2004/4, amending it as follows: - include "final" in the second line before objectives. - change 3rd bullet of item 1 to "Real time exchange of information among actors" - Replace "elimination" by "progressive replacement" - replace "multi-lingual ..." by "full use of international standard codes in order to eliminate language barriers..." - replace "Delivery of ..." by "Availability of..." - replace "Tool to ..." by "Facility to..."	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
44	6	ExG validates Chapter 1.1.1.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
45	8	ExG validates the changes proposed in document ExG/COMP/2004/5 with the following changes: - change "...represent the minimal..." by "...provide the basis for the elaboration of a minimal..."	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
46	37	ExG accepts the proposal contained in doc ExG/COMP Informal document 2 (2004).	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
47	8	ExG validates Chapter 1.1.3.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
48	11	ExG validates Chapter 1.1.5.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
49	13	ExG validates Chapter 1.1.6 with the following changes: - Replace "Management of the guarantee system" by "Organization and functioning of the guarantee system."	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
50	14	ExG accepts the proposal presented in document ExG/COMP/2004/7.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2

Decision. No	Issue No	Description	Date	Source	Version¹
51	14	ExG validates Chapter 1.1.7.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
52		ExG validates Chapter 1.1 Vision.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
53		ExG validates Chapter 1.2 TIR procedure domain.			1.2
54	22	ExG accepts the proposal made in document ExG/COMP/2004/8.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
55	24	ExG accepts the revised figure 1.5 as presented in document ExG/COMP/2004/8.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
56		ExG validates Chapter 1.3.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	1.2
57	38	ExG requests the secretariat to check for consistency and to update text of Reference Model accordingly.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	-
58	39	ExG requests the secretariat to update the text in line with its observations and to present a revised Chapter 1.4 for further discussion and, possibly, validation at the next session.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	
59	40	ExG requests the secretariat to distribute a working document, containing the list of Requirements of Annex 1 and the Glossary of Annex 2 of the Reference Model for review by the group (deadline 7 april 2004).	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	
60	41	ExG requests the secretariat to take on board the various views expressed during the session and to prepare a draft specification of the eTIR Project, describing the various steps to be undertaken in order arrive at the final objective of computerization of the TIR Carnet life cycle.	1-2 Mar. 04	ExG (Geneva)	
61	36	ExG requests the secretariat to add the new terms to the document requested in decision 60.			

Annex 3

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

BULGARIA

Ms. Vilyana **IVANOVA**
Tel : +359-2-958 1479
Fax : +359-2-958 1259
E-mail : viliana@aebtri.tea.bg

Head of TIR Claims Department
Association of Bulgarian Enterprises for
International Road Transport and the Roads
(AEBTRI)

Mr. Venceslav **VRABCHEV**
Tel : +359-2-958 1093
Fax : +359-2-958 1091
E-mail : venci@aebtri.tea.bg

Head of IT Department
Association of Bulgarian Enterprises for
International Road Transport and the Roads
(AEBTRI)

FINLAND

Mr. Kari **MARJAMÄKI**
Tel : +358-20-492 2459
Fax : +358-20-492 2744
E-mail : kari.marjamaki@tulli.fi

Senior Customs Enforcement Officer
National Board of Customs

Mr. Markku **LAINÉ**
Tel : +358-40-332 4071
Fax : +358-20-492 4071
E-mail : markku.laine@tulli.fi

Senior Customs Enforcement Officer
National Board of Customs

HUNGARY

Mr. Tamás **GAIZER**
Tel : +36-30-9 325 620
Fax : +36-1-9 363 5226
E-mail : gaizer.tamas@regens.hu

Software Designer
(ATRH)

ITALY

Mr. Marco **CIAMPI**
Tel : +39-06-5024 5330
Fax : +39-06-5095 7307
E-mail : marco.ciampi@agenziadogane.it

Italian Customs Agency
Customs Officer

NETHERLANDS

Mr. Guus JACOBS
Tel : +31-70-342 8123
Fax : +31-70-342 7938
E-mail : a.h.m.jacobs@minfin.nl

Policy Advisor
Customs Policy and Legislation Directorate
Ministry of Finance

Mr. Bert TIMMER
Tel : +31-70-319 9528
Fax : +31-70-319 9545
E-mail : btimmer@scttir.nl

Director
Service Carnet TIR. B.V. (SCT)

Poland

Mrs. Ewa SUSZYŃSKA
Tel : +48-22-536 1030
Fax : +48-22-536 1035
E-mail : ewa.suszynska@zmpd.pl

Head of TIR Department
Association des transporteurs routiers
internationaux (Z.M.P.D.)

Mrs. Anita RUSZTECKA
Tel : +48-22-536 1071
Fax : +48-22-536 1035
E-mail : anita.rusztecka@zmpd.pl

Specialist in TIR Department
Association des transporteurs routiers
internationaux (Z.M.P.D.)

Romania

Mr. Ion MATEI
Tel : +40-21-224 4137
Fax : +40-21-224 3599
E-mail : matei@artri.ro

Information Technology Manager
Asociatia Romana Pentru Transportati Rutiere
Internationale (ARTRI)

Mr. Nicolae TENDER
Tel : +40-21-224 4137
Fax : +40-21-224 3599
E-mail : nicolae.tender@artri.ro

Information Technology Engineer
Asociatia Romana Pentru Transportati Rutiere
Internationale (ARTRI)

Russian Federation

Mr. Mikhail VALENTSOV
Tel : +7-095-232 66 27
Fax : +7-095-232 66 28
E-mail : valentsov@asmap.ru

Information Technology Manager
Association of International Road Carriers
(ASMAP)

UNECE SECRETARIAT

Mr. Jean **KUBLER**
Tel: +41-22-917.27.74
Fax: +41-22-917.00.37
E-mail: jean.kubler@unece.org

Trade Division
Economic Affairs Officer

Mr. Artur **BOUTEN**
Tel: +41-22-917.24.33
Fax: +41-22-917.06.14
E-mail: artur.bouten@unece.org

Customs Expert

Mr. Konstantin **GLUKHENKIY**
Tel: +41-22-917.15.31
Fax: +41-22-917.06.14
E-mail: konstantin.glukhenkiy@unece.org

Customs Expert

Mr. André **SCEIA**
Tel: +41-22-917.13.13
Fax: +41-22-917.06.14
E-mail: andre.sceia@unece.org

Information Technology Expert
