



REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNECE STRATEGY FOR EDUCATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT¹

Within the framework of the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005–2014)

SUBMITTED BY LATVIA

The following report is submitted on behalf of the Government of Latvia in accordance with the decision of the UNECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development

Name of officer (national focal point) responsible for submitting the report: Eriks Leitis

Signature:

Date:12.01.2007

Full name of the institution: Ministry of Environment Postal address: Peldu 25, Riga, LV 1494, Latvia

Telephone: 371 7026422

Fax: 371 7026549

E-mail: Eriks.Leitis@vidm.gov.lv Website: www.vidm.gov.lv

Contact officer for national report (if different from above):

The following report is submitted on behalf of the Government of Latvia in accordance with the decision of the UNECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development

Name of officer (national focal point) responsible for submitting the report: Velga Kakse

Signature:

Date:12.01.2007

Full name of the institution: Ministry of Education and Science

Postal address: Valnu 2, Riga, LV-1050, Latvia

Telephone: 371 7846536

Fax: 371 7223905

E-mail: Velga.Kakse@.isec.gov.lv

Website: www.izm.gov.lv

Contact officer for national report (if different from above):

¹ This document was not formally edited

- A. Provide brief information (not more than half a page) on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on which types of public authorities were consulted on or contributed to its preparation; how the stakeholders were consulted and how the outcome of this consultation was taken into account; and the material used as a basis for the report.
- B. Report any particular circumstances that help clarify the context of the report for example, whether the decision-making structure is federal and/or decentralized, and whether financial constraints are a significant obstacle to implementation. (This information should not exceed half a page.)

TEMPLATE FOR REPORTING

ISSUE ²	1. ENSURE THAT POLICY, REGULATORY AND OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORKS SUPPORT THE PROMOTION OF ESD
If necessary, provide	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)
	Indicator 1.1 Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD.
Sub-indicator 1.1.1	Is the UNECE Strategy for ESD available in your national ³ language(s)?
Yes 🗌 No 🔀	Please specify languages. It is planned to accomplish translation of Strategy for ESD in Latvian in March, 2007
Sub-indicator 1.1.2	Have you appointed a national focal point to deal with the UNECE Strategy for ESD?
Yes 🖾 No 🗌	Ministry of Environment of Latvia, Ministry of Education and Science of Latvia
Sub-indicator 1.1.3	Do you have a coordinating body for implementation of ESD?
Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Please specify its mandate and coordinating mechanism. Please also specify whether its mandate covers implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD. A workshop designed by representatives of UNESCO Latvia, Ministry of Environment of Latvia, Ministry of Education and Science of Latvia
Sub-indicator 1.1.4	Do you have a national implementation plan for ESD?
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Please specify whether this plan includes implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.
Sub-indicator 1.1.5	Are there any synergies at the national level between UNECE ESD process, the UNESCO global process on the UN Decade of ESD, ⁴ and other policy processes relevant to ESD?
Yes ⊠ No □	Please specify. See sub-indicator 1.1.3. A workshop designed by representatives of UNESCO Latvia, Ministry of Environment of Latvia, Ministry of Education and Science of Latvia
	Indicator 1.2 Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD.
Sub-indicator 1.2.1	Is ESD reflected in any national policy ⁵ document(s)?
Yes No 🗆	Please specify and list major document(s)) Latvian Sustainable Development Strategy (approved by the Cabinet of Ministers on August 13, 2002.)
Sub-indicator 1.2.2	Is ESD: (a) addressed in relevant national education legislation/regulatory document(s); and (b) included in your national curricula and/or national standards/ordinances/ requirements; at all levels of formal education, as understood by your education system in accordance with ISCED? ⁶

² Issues 1 to 6 are in accordance with the objectives of the Strategy.

³ For countries with a federal government structure, all references to "national" apply to "state", as appropriate In this context, "data at the national level" means aggregated data received from substate entities.

⁴ The United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 57/254 of 20 December 2002 proclaimed the 10-year period beginning on 1 January 2005 the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development.

⁵ Policy documents may include national strategies, plans, programmes, guidelines and the like.

⁶ International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), UNESCO, 1997 (http://www.unesco.org/education/information/nfsunesco/doc/isced 1997.htm).

	1						
	Please specify for (a) and (b). Fill in the table i	by ticking (V) as appropria	te.				
		ISCED ⁷	(a)	(I) 8	
		15022	Yes	No	Yes	No	
		0					
(a) Yes No (b) Yes No (c)		1			ļ		
		2	ļ		ļ	ļ	
		3			 	ļ	
		4 5 ⁹			 		
		6	-		 	ļ	
		Teacher education			<u> </u>	ļ	
		L	·i		<u> </u>	1	i
Sub-indicator 1.2.3	Are non-formal and informal ESD addressed in	•					
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Please specify. The documents regarding the no documents that would operate this field of educ		cation (only no	w are b	eing d	eveloped, so far there have been no regulatory
Sub-indicator 1.2.4	Is public awareness in relation to ESD addresse	ed in relevant national docu	ment(s))?			
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Please specify.						
Sub-indicator 1.2.5	Does a formal structure for interdepartmental ¹⁰	cooperation relevant to ES	D exist	in your	govern	nment?	
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Please specify.						
Sub-indicator 1.2.6	Does a mechanism for multi-stakeholder coope	eration on ESD exist with the	ne invol	vement	t of you	r gove	rnment? ¹¹
Yes ⊠ No □	Please specify. A workshop designed by repres of Latvia	entatives of UNESCO Latv	via, Mir	istry of	f Envir	onment	of Latvia, Ministry of Education and Science
Sub-indicator 1.2.7	Are public budgets and/or economic incentives	available specifically to su	ipport E	SD?			

⁷Education level in accordance with ISCED.

⁸ National curricula and/or national standards/ordinances/requirements.

For higher education institutions when answering: (1) regarding national legislation: this objective is focussing equally at the first stage of tertiary education (Bachelor), the second stage (MSc) and the third stage (PhD) while covering various aspects such as **service agreements/contracts**, **national strategies of R&D**, **university organisation and studies acts**, or **general laws of higher educations**.; (2) regarding national and/or national standards/ordinances/requirements: special attention shall be devoted to indications of linking systems of **quality assurance and accreditation** (with regard to the Bologna process) for higher educations institutions with ESD, as well as to **regulations of study programmes and study fields** which reflect the principles of ESD.

¹⁰ Between state bodies.

¹¹ For explanation see paragraph 46 of the Strategy.

Yes No 🗌	Financial support of Administration of Latvian Environmental Protection Fund is available for ESD projects (carried out mostly by Environmental NGOs) state budget for compulsory education – basic level (19. grade)					
	Indicator 1.3 National policies support synergies between processes related to SD and ESD.					
Sub-indicator 1.3.1	Is ESD part of SD policy(s) if such exist in your country?					
Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Please specify. Latvian Sustainable Development Strategy (approved by the Cabinet of Ministers on August 13, 2002.)					
	Issue 2. PROMOTE SD THROUGH FORMAL, NON-FORMAL AND INFORMAL LEARNING					
If necessary, provide i	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)					
	Indicator 2.1 SD key themes are addressed in formal education.					
Sub-indicator 2.1.1	Are key themes of SD ¹² addressed explicitly in the curriculum ¹³ /programme of study at various levels of formal education?					
Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Phase II: please specify in the table in Annex 1 (a) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below. A B C D E F					
Sub-indicator 2.1.2	Are learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that support ESD addressed explicitly in the curriculum ¹⁴ /programme of study at various levels of formal education?					
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify in the table in Annex 1 (b) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below. A B C D E F					
	Indicator 2.2 Strategies to implement ESD are clearly identified.					
Sub-indicator 2.2.1	Is ESD addressed through: (a) existing subjects only? (b) a cross-curriculum approach? (c) the provision of specific subject programmes and courses? (d) a stand-alone project?					

¹² For explanation see paragraph 15 of the Strategy.
13 At the state level, where relevant.
14 See footnote 14.
15 For higher education institutions: These distinctions would be equal to: a) courses and disciplines, b) interdisciplinary courses, c) separate, specified SD courses or seminars, and d) stand alone projects implemented by the department, faculty or inter-faculty structures.
16 E.g. geography or biology. For higher education "subject" means "course".
17 A project is interpreted as a discrete activity with its own time allocation rather than a teaching/learning method.

	Phase II: For (a)–(d) please specify	y for different levels of ea	ducation	systen	n in acc	cordan	ce with	ISCEI	D in th	e table	by ticking (V) as appropriate.
	(1), (1), [1]		(2		(b		(с		((,
		ISCED levels	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	
(a) Yes No		0									
(a) Tes No (b) Yes No (1									
(c) Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \)		2									
(d) Yes No		3									
		4									
		5									
		Too show advection									
		Teacher education				<u>_</u>					
	Indicato	or 2.3 A whole-institution	on appr	oach18	to ESI)/SD is	prom	oted.			
Sub-indicator 2.3.1	Do educational institutions ¹⁹ adopt a	a "whole-institution appr	roach" to	SD/E	SD?						
	Phase II: please specify for all level	ls of your education syst	em in ac	corda	nce wit	h ISCE	D in th	ne table	e by tic	king (V	(1) as appropriate, as well as for
	non-formal and informal education										, J
		ISC	CED lev	els		Yes	No				
				0							
				1]			
Yes□ No ⊠				2							
				3							
				4				_			
				<u>5</u>				-			
				6	4.			4			
			Feacher	educa	tion	1	1	J			
Sub-indicator 2.3.2	Are there any incentives (guidelines	s, award scheme, funding	g, techni	cal sur	port) t	hat sup	port "a	whole	e institu	ution a	pproach to SD/ESD"?

A "whole institution approach" means that all aspects of an institution's internal operations and external relationships are reviewed and revised in light of SD/ESD principles. Within such an approach each institution would decide on its own actions, addressing the three overlapping spheres of Campus (management operations); Curriculum; and Community (external relationships).
 For higher education institutions: Whole university, whole college or whole faculty approach (including inter-faculty approaches).

	Phase II: Please specify what schemes are available for appropriate, as well as for non-formal and informal edu				
Yes 🗌 No 🗍	appropriate, as well as for non-formal and informal edit	ISCED levels	Yes		euse uiso specify.
		0			
		1			
		2	ļ		
		3	ļ		
		4	 		
		6	 	 	
		Teacher education	†		
	•				
0.1.1		6 1 : : : : : /		0	
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Do institutions/learners develop their own SD indicators	s for their institution/orga	anizatio	n?	
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Do institutions/learners develop their own SD indicators Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education non-formal and informal education. If relevant data are	system in accordance wi	th ISCI		ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi	th ISCI		ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp	th ISCI ecify.	ED, in	ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp ISCED levels 0	th ISCI ecify.	ED, in	ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
Sub-indicator 2.3.3 Yes No	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp ISCED levels 0 1	th ISCI ecify.	ED, in	ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp ISCED levels 0	th ISCI ecify.	ED, in	ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp ISCED levels 0 1	th ISCI ecify.	ED, in	ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp ISCED levels 0 1	th ISCI ecify.	ED, in	ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp ISCED levels 0 1	th ISCI ecify.	ED, in	ne table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as f
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education	system in accordance wi available please also sp ISCED levels 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 Teacher education	th ISCI ecify. Yes	No	

For higher education institutions: Either **national centres for quality assessment in higher education** or cooperation with general quality assessment agencies such as the European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM).

	Phase II: Please specify for various levels of your edu					, in the	table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as
	for non-formal and informal education. If relevant dat	ta are available plea E ED levels	T	specify a)	'. (lt)	
		.22 10 (0.10	Yes	No	No	Yes	
		0					
(a) Yes No		11					
(b) Yes \[\] No \[\]		3					
		<u>3</u>					
		5					
		6					
	Te	eacher education					
Indicator 2.5	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal and in	nformal learning aı	re in pl	ace to a	assess	change	s in knowledge, attitude and practice.
Sub-indicator 2.5.1	Are SD issues addressed in informal and public aware	eness-raising activitie	es?				
Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify.21 these issues are addressed a	nt various seminars, c	courses,	, projec	ts, and	other a	ctivities.
Sub-indicator 2.5.2	Is there any support for work-based learning (e.g. for s	small companies, far	mers, t	rade un	ions, a	ssociati	ons), which addresses SD issues?
Yes 🖾 No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify and provide examples.						
Sub-indicator 2.5.3	Are there any instruments (e.g. research, survey, etc.)	in place to assess the	e outcor	mes of	ESD a	s a resu	lt of non-formal and informal learning?
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Phase II: Please specify, including the results available	le for (a) attitude, sk	ills ana	l values	, and (b) knov	vledge.
	Indicator 2.6 ESD imple	mentation is a mult	i-stake	holder	proces	ss. ²²	
Sub-indicator 2.6.1	Is ESD implementation a multi-stakeholder process?						
	Phase II: Please specify in the table in Annex 2 (a) and	d (b) and use the sca	ıle. Indi	icate th	e resul	ts in th	e boxes below.
Yes ⊠ No □	(a) according to the UNECE Strategy on ESD A B C D E F	(b) accor	ding to B	the UN		D	E F
	Issue 3. EQUIP EDUCATORS WITH THE	COMPETENCE T	O INC	CLUDE	SD II	THE	IR TEACHING
If necessary, provide re	elevant information on your country situation regarding	g this specific object	ive. (up	to 1,50	00 chai	acters	with spaces)

²¹ Please describe how this is done – for example, in press articles, TV and radio programmes or public lectures promoting environmentally friendly goods and services, sustainable lifestyles.

²² For higher education institutions: This covers the issue of **university "outreach"** (meaning a wide spectrum from regional integration, business cooperation and transdisciplinarity to eco-procurement and research-educationcooperation).

	Indicator 3.1 ESD is included in the training ²³ of educators.							
Sub-indicator 3.1.1	Is ESD a part of the initial educators' training? ²⁴							
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.							
Sub-indicator 3.1.2	Is ESD a part of the educators' in-service training? ²⁵							
Yes 🖾 No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.							
Sub-indicator 3.1.3	Is ESD a part of training of leaders and administrators of educational institutions?							
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.							
	Indicator 3.2 Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD.							
Sub-indicator 3.2.1	Are there any networks / platforms of educators and/or leaders/administrators who are involved in ESD in your country?							
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify. Association of Environmental Educators of Latvia; Environmental Science and Education Council; Green Belt; Green Dot							
Sub-indicator 3.2.2	Are ESD networks/platforms supported by the government in any way? ²⁶							
Yes ⊠ No □	Please specify how. Please list major ones and describe as appropriate.							
	Issue 4. ENSURE THAT ADEQUATE TOOLS AND MATERIALS FOR ESD ARE ACCESSIBLE							
If necessary, provide n	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)							
	Indicator 4.1 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced.							
Sub-indicator 4.1.1	Does a national strategy/ mechanism for encouragement of development and production of ESD tools and materials exist?							
Yes□ No ⊠	Please describe.							
Sub-indicator 4.1.2	Is public (national, subnational, local) authority money invested in this activity?							
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify to what extent public authority money is invested in this activity, by providing an indication of the amount (in USD) referring to the amount of ESD-related research and development expenditures, annually. Administration of Latvian Environmental Protection Fund - 15 000 US\$							
	Indicator 4.2 Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist.							

ESD is addressed by content and/or by methodology.
 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing teacher training universities/colleges regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers.
 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing in-service training programmes regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers in their own universities/colleges.
 Including assistance through direct funding, in-kind help, political and institutional support.

Sub-indicator 4.2.1	Do you have quality criteria and/or quality guidelines for ESD-related teaching tools and materials that are: (a) supported by public authorities? (b) approved by public authorities? (c) tested and recommended for selection by educational institutions?								
(a) Yes No	Phase I: for (a) and (b) please describe.								
(b) Yes ⊠ No ☐ (c) Yes ⊠ No ☐	Phase II: Please specify for (a), (b) and (c) for formal, informal and non-formal education. For (c) please also describe.								
Sub-indicator 4.2.2	Are ESD teaching tools / materials available: (a) in national languages? (b) for all levels of education according to ISCED?								
	Phase I: For (a) please specify.								
(a) Yes ⊠ No ⊠ (b) Yes □ No ⊠	Phase II: For (b) please specify in the table by ticking (V) as appropriate. ISCED levels								
	Indicator 4.3 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible.								
Sub-indicator 4.3.1	Does a national strategy/mechanism for dissemination of ESD tools and materials exist?								
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Phase II: Please describe.								
Sub-indicator 4.3.2	Is public authority money invested in this activity?								
Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Please specify to what extent by providing an indication of the amount in USD referring to the amount of ESD related R&D expenditures, annually.								
Sub-indicator 4.3.3	Are approved ESD teaching materials available through the Internet?								
Yes 🛛 No 🗌	Please describe.								
Sub-indicator 4.3.4	Is a register or database of ESD teaching tools and materials in national language(s): (a) accessible through the Internet? (b) provided through other channels?								
(a) Yes No No (b) Yes No No	For (a) and (b) please specify.								
	Issue 5. PROMOTE RESEARCH ON AND DEVELOPMENT OF ESD								

If necessary, provide i	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)					
Indicator 5.1 Research ²⁷ on ESD is promoted.						
Sub-indicator 5.1.1	Is research that addresses content and methods for ESD ²⁸ supported?					
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period, and if feasible, as % of the total research budget.					
Sub-indicator 5.1.2	Does any research evaluate the outcome of the implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD?					
Yes □No ⊠	Please specify.					
Sub-indicator 5.1.3	Are post-graduate programmes available: (1) on ESD: ²⁹ (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level? (2) addressing ESD: (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level?					
(1) (a) Yes ☐ No ☒ (b) Yes ☐ No ☒ (2) (a) Yes ☐ No ☒ (b) Yes ☐ No ☒	Phase II: Please specify for (1) (a) and (b); (2) (a) and (b).					
Sub-indicator 5.1.4	Are there any scholarships supported by public authorities for post-graduate research in ESD: (a) for the master's level; (b) for the doctorate level?					
(a) Yes No No (b) Yes No (c)	Phase II: Please specify for (a) and (b).					
	Indicator 5.2 Development of ESD is promoted.					
Sub-indicator 5.2.1	Is there any support for innovation and capacity-building in ESD practice? ³⁰					
Yes No 🗆	Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period. Administration of Latvian Environmental Protection Fund – amount depends of projects approved					
	Indicator 5.3 Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted.					
Sub-indicator 5.3.1	Is there any public authority support for mechanisms ³¹ to share the results of research and examples of good practices in ESD ³² among authorities and stakeholders?					
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Phase II: please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period.					

²⁷ These includes support from various sources, such as state, local authorities, business and non-governmental sources.

²⁸ E.g. concepts; formation of attitudes and values; development of competencies, teaching and learning; school development; implementation of ICT; means of evaluation including socio-economic impacts.

²⁹ ESD is addressed by substance and/or by approach.

³⁰ Activities may include pilot projects, action research, social learning, multi-stakeholder teams.

³¹ E.g. conferences, summer schools, journals, periodicals, networks.

³² E.g. 'participatory approach'; links to local, regional and global problems; integrative approach to environmental, economic and social issues; orientation to understanding, preventing and solving problems.

Sub-indicator 5.3.2	Are there any scientific publications: (a) specifically on ESD? (b) addressing ESD?
(a) Yes ⊠ No ☐ (b) Yes ⊠ No ☐	Phase I: For (a) if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.
(b) Yes No	Phase II: For (b) if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.
	Issue 6. STRENGTHEN COOPERATION ON ESD AT ALL LEVELS WITHIN THE UNECE REGION
If necessary, provide r	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)
	Indicator 6.1 International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond.
Sub-indicator 6.1.1	Do your public authorities cooperate in/support international ³³ networks on ESD?
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify for national, subnational and local levels and list major networks.
Sub-indicator 6.1.2	Do educational institutions/organisations (formal and non-formal) in your country participate in international networks related to ESD?
Yes ⊠ No □	Please specify. List major networks. Ministry of Education and Science of Latvia - Baltic Agenda 21 Education Sector (Baltic 21 E) Association of Environmental Educators of Latvia; Foundation for Environmental Education of Latvia; Environmental Protection Club of Latvia, member of Coalition Clean Baltic International, Friends of the Earth International, European Environmental Bureau; Children's Environmental School; Foundation of Environmental Education "Keep Latvia Tidy";
Sub-indicator 6.1.3	Are there any state, bilateral and/or multilateral cooperation mechanisms/agreements that include an explicit ESD component?
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify and list the major ones.
Sub-indicator 6.1.4	Does your Government take any steps to promote ESD in international forums outside the UNECE region?
Yes No No	Please list and describe.
1	Issue 7. FOSTER CONSERVATION, USE AND PROMOTION OF KNOWLEDGE OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IN ESD
V	mation on your country situation regarding this specific issue. (up to 2,000 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.
Phase II: Please provi	de the updated information to indicate changes over time.
Phase II: Please provi	de the updated information to indicate changes over time.

³³ In this context, "international associations, working groups, programmes, partnerships etc. covers "global", "regional" and "subregional".

Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Issue 8. DESCRIBE ANY CHALLENGES AND OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGY

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

Phase II: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Issue 9. DESCRIBE ANY ASSISTANCE NEEDED TO IMPROVE IMPLEMENTATION

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

Phase II: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Annex 1 (a)

Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.1

Please specify which key themes of SD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum/programme of study at various levels of formal education, by filling in the table below. (Please tick (V) relevant themes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional themes that are considered to be key themes in addressing learning for sustainable development.)

C		IS	CED	Leve	els	
Some key themes covered by sustainable development	0	1	2	3	4	5
Peace studies (international relations, security and conflict resolution, partnerships, etc.)						
Ethics and philosophy						
Citizenship, democracy and governance						
Human rights, (including gender, racial and inter-generational equity;)						
Poverty alleviation						
Cultural diversity						
Biological and landscape diversity						
Environmental Protection (Waste management, etc.)						
Ecological principles/ecosystem approach						
Natural resource management (including water, soil, mineral, fossil fuels, etc)						
Climate change						
Personal and family health (e.g. HIV/AIDS, drug abuse,)						
Environmental health (e.g. food and drinking; water quality; pollution)						
Corporate social responsibility						
Production and/or consumption patterns						
Economics						
Rural/urban development						
Total						
Other (countries to add as many as needed)						

NB The indicator will be reflected by (a) a scale based on the sum of ticks and (b) changes in the pattern of response between subsequent reports.

The assessment key for this table (max. 102 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–5	6–10	11–25	26–50	51–75	76–100
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Annex 1 (b)

Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.2

Please specify the extent to which the following broad areas of competence that support ESD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum³⁴/programme of study at various levels of formal education, by filling in the table below.

(Please tick (V) relevant expected learning outcomes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that are considered to be key outcomes in your country in learning for sustainable development.)

Table of learning outcomes

Competence		ISCED Levels										
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5					
	- posing analytical questions/critical thinking											
	- understanding complexity/systemic thinking											
Logueino to logue	- overcoming obstacles/problem-solving											
Learning to learn Does education at each level enhance	- managing change/problem-setting											
learners' capacity for:	- creative thinking/future-oriented thinking											
learners capacity for.	- understanding interrelationships across disciplines/holistic approach											
	Total											
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)											
	-											
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5					
	 applying learning in a variety of life-wide contexts 											
	 decision making, including in situations of uncertainty 											
Lagraina to do	- dealing with crises and risks											
Learning to do Does education at each level enhance	 acting responsibly 											
learners' capacity for:	- acting with self-respect											
learners capacity for.	- acting with determination			Ш								
	Total											
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)											
	-											
Learning to be	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5					
Does education at each level enhance	- self-confidence											
learners' capacity for:	- self-expression and communication											
	- coping under stress											
	- ability to identify and clarify values (for phase III)											
	Total											
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)											

³⁴ At state level, where relevant.

-

	-											
	Expected outcomes											
	- acting with responsibility (locally and globally)											
	- acting with respect for others											
T 1 4 11 1 1 4 41	- identifying stakeholders and their interests											
Learning to live and work together Does education at each level enhance	- collaboration/team working											
	- participation in democratic decision making											
learners' capacity for:	- negotiation and consensus building											
	- distributing responsibilities (subsidiarity)											
	Total											
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)											
	-											

NB The indicator will be reflected by (a) a scale based on the sum of ticks and (b) changes in the pattern of response between subsequent reports.

The assessment key for this table (max. 138 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–7	8–14	15–35	36–70	71–104	105–138
Scale	Α	В	С	D	Е	F

Annex 2

Indicator 2.6, sub-indicator 2.6.1

Please specify to what extent is ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process, by filling in the table below? Please provide examples of good practice. (*Please tick* (*V*) in what type of education stakeholders are involved in both (a) and (b) template-tables.)

Table (a) According to the UNECE Strategy for ESD

Stakeholders	Classification by UNECE Strategy for l							
Starcholders	Formal	Non-formal	Informal					
NGOs		V	V					
Local government								
Organized labour								
Private sector								
Community-based		V	V					
Faith-based								
Media		V	V					
Total		3	3					
Other (countries to add as many as needed)								

The assessment key for this table (max. 21 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–1	2	3–5	6–10	11–15	16-21
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Table (b) According to UN DESD

		Class	sification by UN D	ESD	
Stakeholders	Public awareness	Quality education	Reorienting education	Training	Social learning
NGOs	V			V	V
Local government					
Organized labour					
Private sector					
Community-based					
Faith-based					
Media	V				V
Total	2			1	2
Other (countries to add as many as needed)					
			l		

The assessment key for this table (max. 35 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–5	6–11	12–17	18–23	24–29	30–35
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Annex 3

Indicator 3.1, sub-indicator 3.1.3

Please specify to what extent is ESD a part of the initial and/or in-service educator's training, by filling in the table below by ticking (V) as appropriate.

		% of education professionals who have received training ³⁵ to integrate ESD into their practice: (see key below)																
ISCED levels		Educators Leaders/administr										trato	ators ³⁶					
			Initial* In service**						Ι	n ser	ervice***							
	A	В	C	D	E	F	A	В	C	D	E	F	A	В	C	D	E	F
0							1											
1							1											
2	·						1											
3							1											
4							1											
5							1											
6							1	1			1							
Non-formal							1	1			1							
Informal							1	1			1							

The assessment key for this table (max. 100%) is:

% of educated trainers	0–5	6–10	11–25	26–50	51–75	76–100
Scale	Α	В	С	D	Е	F

- Please indicate the % of educators who have received initial training on ESD to total number of educators by the reporting date. *
- Please indicate the % of educators who have received training on ESD to total number of educators who received in-service teacher training by the reporting date. **
- Please indicate the % of leaders/administrators who have received training on ESD to total number of leaders/administrators who received in-service teacher training *** by the reporting date.

 $^{^{35}}$ Training is understood to include at least one day (a minimum of 5 contact hours). 36 Paragraphs 54 and 55 of the UNECE Strategy on ESD.

Annex 4
Summary and self-assessment³⁷ by countries:

1	Indicator 1.1	Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
2	Indicator 1.2	Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
3	Indicator 1.3	National policies support synergies between processes related to SD and ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
4	Indicator 2.1	SD key themes are addressed in formal education.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
5	Indicator 2.2	Strategies to implement ESD are clearly identified.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
6	Indicator 2.3	A whole-institution approach to ESD/SD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
7	Indicator 2.4	ESD is addressed by quality assessment / enhancement systems.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
8	Indicator 2.5	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal and informal learning are in place to assess changes in knowledge, attitude and practice.	☐ Not started ☑ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
9	Indicator 2.6	ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
10	Indicator 3.1	ESD is included in the training of educators.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
11	Indicator 3.2	Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
12	Indicator 4.1	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
13	Indicator 4.2	Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
14	Indicator 4.3	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
15	Indicator 5.1	Research on ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
16	Indicator 5.2	Development of ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
17	Indicator 5.3	Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
18	Indicator 6.1	International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed

³⁷ On the basis of the answers to the sub-indicators, please self-assess the status of the implementation of the respective indicator in your country. If feasible, please specify the methodology used for the self-assessment. For the self-assessment, the sub-indicators having the status of "proposed" should be ignored.