



Within the framework of the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005–2014)

SUBMITTED BY SPAIN

The following report is submitted on behalf of the Government of Spain in accordance with the decision of the UNECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development

Name of officer (national focal point) responsible for submitting the report: Carlos Mediavilla

García Signature:

Date: 26 december 2006

Full name of the institution: Ministerio de Medio Ambiente (Ministry of Environment)

Postal address: Plaza San Juan de la Cruz s/n, despacho C-302; 28071 Madrid

Telephone: 34.915.975.744

Fax: 34.915.975.753

E-mail: <u>Cmediavi@mma.es</u>
Website: www.mma.es

Contact officer for national report (if different from above):

- A. Provide brief information (not more than half a page) on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on which types of public authorities were consulted on or contributed to its preparation; how the stakeholders were consulted and how the outcome of this consultation was taken into account; and the material used as a basis for the report.
- B. Report any particular circumstances that help clarify the context of the report for example, whether the decision-making structure is federal and/or decentralized, and whether financial constraints are a significant obstacle to implementation. (This information should not exceed half a page.)

¹ This document was not formally edited

TEMPLATE FOR REPORTING

ISSUE ²	1. ENSURE THAT POLICY, REGULATORY AND OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORKS SUPPORT THE PROMOTION OF ESD								
If necessary, provide	If necessary, provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)								
	Indicator 1.1 Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD.								
Sub-indicator 1.1.1	Is the UNECE Strategy for ESD available in your national ³ language(s)?								
Yes ⊠ No □	Please specify languages.								
Sub-indicator 1.1.2	Have you appointed a national focal point to deal with the UNECE Strategy for ESD?								
Yes ■ No □									
Sub-indicator 1.1.3	Do you have a coordinating body for implementation of ESD?								
Yes ☐ No 🗷	Please specify its mandate and coordinating mechanism. Please also specify whether its mandate covers implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.								
Sub-indicator 1.1.4	Do you have a national implementation plan for ESD?								
Yes No 🗷	Please specify whether this plan includes implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.								
Sub-indicator 1.1.5	Are there any synergies at the national level between UNECE ESD process, the UNESCO global process on the UN Decade of ESD, ⁴ and other policy processes relevant to ESD?								
Yes No 🗷	Please specify.								
_	Indicator 1.2 Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD.								
Sub-indicator 1.2.1	Is ESD reflected in any national policy ⁵ document(s)?								
Yes ⋈ No 🗌	Please specify and list major document(s))								
Sub-indicator 1.2.2	Is ESD: (a) addressed in relevant national education legislation/regulatory document(s); and (b) included in your national curricula and/or national standards/ordinances/ requirements; at all levels of formal education, as understood by your education system in accordance with ISCED? ⁶								

² Issues 1 to 6 are in accordance with the objectives of the Strategy.

³ For countries with a federal government structure, all references to "national" apply to "state", as appropriate In this context, "data at the national level" means aggregated data received from substate entities.

⁴ The United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 57/254 of 20 December 2002 proclaimed the 10-year period beginning on 1 January 2005 the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development.

⁵ Policy documents may include national strategies, plans, programmes, guidelines and the like.

⁶ International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), UNESCO, 1997 (http://www.unesco.org/education/information/nfsunesco/doc/isced 1997.htm).

	Please specify for (a) and (b). Fill in the table	by ticking (V) as appropria	te.						
		ISCED ⁷	(a)		(b) ⁸				
		ISCED	Yes	No	Yes	No			
		0							
(a) Yes ⋈ No ☐ (b) Yes ⋈ No ☐		1			ļ				
(b) 1es 🗷 No 🗀		2			 				
		3			 				
		5 9	+		 				
		6	·		†				
		Teacher education							
Sub-indicator 1.2.3	Are non-formal and informal ESD addressed i	n vour relevant national no	licy and	or regi	ılatory	documen	(s) and opera	itional frames	vorks
Yes No □	Please specify.	n your recovere national por	ncy una	or rege	inutory .	aocumen	s) und operat	tional framev	VOIKS
Sub-indicator 1.2.4	Is public awareness in relation to ESD address	sed in relevant national docu	ıment(s)?					
Yes 🗷 No 🗌	Please specify.								
Sub-indicator 1.2.5	Does a formal structure for interdepartmental ¹⁰	o cooperation relevant to ES	D exist	in your	govern	ment?			
Yes 🗷 No 🗌	Please specify.								
Sub-indicator 1.2.6	Does a mechanism for multi-stakeholder coop	eration on ESD exist with the	he invol	vement	t of you	r governi	ent?11		
Yes 🗌 No 🗷	Please specify.								
Sub-indicator 1.2.7	Are public budgets and/or economic incentive	s available specifically to su	apport I	ESD?					
Yes 🗷 No 🗌	Please specify.								
	Indicator 1.3 National policies	s support synergies betwee	en proc	esses r	elated 1	to SD and	ESD.		

⁷Education level in accordance with ISCED.

⁸ National curricula and/or national standards/ordinances/requirements.

⁹ For higher education institutions when answering: (1) regarding national legislation: this objective is focussing equally at the first stage of tertiary education (Bachelor), the second stage (MSc) and the third stage (PhD) while covering various aspects such as **service agreements/contracts**, **national strategies of R&D**, **university organisation and studies acts**, or **general laws of higher educations**.; (2) regarding national and/or national standards/ordinances/requirements: special attention shall be devoted to indications of linking systems of **quality assurance and accreditation** (with regard to the Bologna process) for higher educations institutions with ESD, as well as to **regulations of study programmes and study fields** which reflect the principles of ESD.

¹⁰ Between state bodies.

¹¹ For explanation see paragraph 46 of the Strategy.

Sub-indicator 1.3.1	Is ESD part of SD policy(s) if such exist in your country?							
Yes No 🗷	Please specify.							
Issue 2. PROMOTE SD THROUGH FORMAL, NON-FORMAL AND INFORMAL LEARNING								
If necessary, provide i	If necessary, provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)							
	Indicator 2.1 SD key themes are addressed in formal education.							
Sub-indicator 2.1.1	Are key themes of SD ¹² addressed explicitly in the curriculum ¹³ /programme of study at various levels of formal education?							
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: please specify in the table in Annex 1 (a) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below. A B C D E F							
Sub-indicator 2.1.2	Are learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that support ESD addressed explicitly in the curriculum ¹⁴ /programme of study at various levels of formal education?							
Phase II: Please specify in the table in Annex I (b) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below. Yes ☑ No ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐								
	Indicator 2.2 Strategies to implement ESD are clearly identified.							
Sub-indicator 2.2.1	Is ESD addressed through: (a) existing subjects only? (b) a cross-curriculum approach? (c) the provision of specific subject programmes and courses? (d) a stand-alone project?							

¹² For explanation see paragraph 15 of the Strategy.
13 At the state level, where relevant.
14 See footnote 14.
15 For higher education institutions: These distinctions would be equal to: a) courses and disciplines, b) interdisciplinary courses, c) separate, specified SD courses or seminars, and d) stand alone projects implemented by the department, faculty or inter-faculty structures.
16 E.g. geography or biology. For higher education "subject" means "course".
17 A project is interpreted as a discrete activity with its own time allocation rather than a teaching/learning method.

	Phase II: For (a)–(d) please specify for d	different levels of edi	ucation	cycton	n in acc	rordana	e with	ISCEI) in the	e table	by ticking (V) as appropriate
			(a		t in acc		(c)		o in ind (d		by ticking (v) as appropriate.
	ISC	CED levels	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	
(a) Yes 🗷 No 🗌		0									
(b) Yes 🗷 No		1									
(c) Yes 🗷 No 🗌		2									
(d) Yes 🗷 No 🗌		3									
		<u>4</u>									
		6									
	Te	eacher education									
	Indicator 2.3	A whole-institution	n onnr	ooch ¹⁸	to ESD	/SD ic	nromo	atod.			
Sub-indicator 2.3.1						ISD IS	pi ome	neu.			
Sub-indicator 2.3.1	Do educational institutions ¹⁹ adopt a "who	ioie-institution appro	oach to) SD/E	ՏՄ:						
	Phase II: please specify for all levels of y non-formal and informal education. If rel						D in th	e table	by tici	king (V	() as appropriate, as well as for
			ED lev			Yes	No				
				0							
_				1							
Yes ⊠ No □				2		ļ					
				3		ļ		-			
				4							
				6		 					
		Te	eacher	educa	tion	+		1			
						<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Sub-indicator 2.3.2	Are there any incentives (guidelines, awa	ard scheme, funding	, techni	cal sup	port) tl	hat sup	port "a	whole	institu	ıtion ap	pproach to SD/ESD"?

A "whole institution approach" means that all aspects of an institution's internal operations and external relationships are reviewed and revised in light of SD/ESD principles. Within such an approach each institution would decide on its own actions, addressing the three overlapping spheres of Campus (management operations); Curriculum; and Community (external relationships).
 For higher education institutions: Whole university, whole college or whole faculty approach (including inter-faculty approaches).

	Phase II: Please specify what schemes are available for appropriate, as well as for non-formal and informal ed				
	appropriate, as well as for non formal and informal ed	ISCED levels	Yes	No	icuse uiso specify.
		0			
_		1			
Yes 🗷 No 🗌		2			
		3		ļ	
		4		ļ	
		5		ļ	
		Teacher education			
				<u> </u>	
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Do institutions/learners develop their own SD indicate	ors for their institution/org	anizatio	on?	
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Do institutions/learners develop their own SD indicated Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your education non-formal and informal education. If relevant data as	n system in accordance w re available please also sp	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance w	ith ISCI		he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance w re available please also sp	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
Sub-indicator 2.3.3 Yes □ No ►	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance w re available please also sp	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance w re available please also sp	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance w re available please also sp	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance w re available please also sp	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance were available please also sp ISCED levels 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	n system in accordance w re available please also sp	ith ISCI pecify.	ED, in	he table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for
	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your educatio	on system in accordance were available please also spinsted by the system of the syste	ith ISCI pecify. Yes	ED, in	

For higher education institutions: Either **national centres for quality assessment in higher education** or cooperation with general quality assessment agencies such as the European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM).

	Phase II: Please specify for various levels of your education system in				, in the	table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as
	for non-formal and informal education. If relevant data are available ISCED levels		specifj a)	7	o)	
		Yes	No	No	Yes	
	<u>_</u>					
(a) Yes 🗷 No 🗌	1			ļ		
(b) Yes No □	2					
	4					
	5					
	6					
	Teacher education	n		<u> </u>		l
Indicator 2.5	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal and informal learning	ng are in pl	ace to	assess	change	es in knowledge, attitude and practice.
Sub-indicator 2.5.1	Are SD issues addressed in informal and public awareness-raising acti	ivities?				
Yes 🗷 No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify. ²¹					
Sub-indicator 2.5.2	Is there any support for work-based learning (e.g. for small companies	s, farmers, t	rade ur	nions, a	ssociat	ions), which addresses SD issues?
Yes ■ No □	Phase II: Please specify and provide examples.					
Sub-indicator 2.5.3	Are there any instruments (e.g. research, survey, etc.) in place to asses	s the outcom	mes of	ESD a	s a resu	alt of non-formal and informal learning?
Yes ☐ No 🗷	Phase II: Please specify, including the results available for (a) attitud	e, skills and	l value.	s, and (b) kno	wledge.
	Indicator 2.6 ESD implementation is a r	nulti-stake	holder	proce	ss. ²²	
Sub-indicator 2.6.1	Is ESD implementation a multi-stakeholder process?					
	Phase II: Please specify in the table in Annex 2 (a) and (b) and use the	e scale. Indi	icate th	ie resu	ts in th	e boxes below.
Yes ⊠ No □	(a) according to the UNECE Strategy on ESD (b) a A B C D E F A A A B C C D D D D D D D D D D D	ccording to A B	the UN		D D	E F
	Issue 3. EQUIP EDUCATORS WITH THE COMPETENCE	CE TO INC	CLUDI	E SD II	N THE	IR TEACHING
If necessary, provide i	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific ob	ojective. (up	to 1,5	00 cha	racters	with spaces)

²¹ Please describe how this is done – for example, in press articles, TV and radio programmes or public lectures promoting environmentally friendly goods and services, sustainable lifestyles.

²² For higher education institutions: This covers the issue of **university "outreach"** (meaning a wide spectrum from regional integration, business cooperation and transdisciplinarity to eco-procurement and research-educationcooperation).

Indicator 3.1 ESD is included in the training ²³ of educators.						
Sub-indicator 3.1.1	Is ESD a part of the initial educators' training? ²⁴					
Yes ເ No □	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.					
Sub-indicator 3.1.2	Is ESD a part of the educators' in-service training? ²⁵					
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.					
Sub-indicator 3.1.3	Is ESD a part of training of leaders and administrators of educational institutions?					
Yes □ No 🗷	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.					
	Indicator 3.2 Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD.					
Sub-indicator 3.2.1	Are there any networks / platforms of educators and/or leaders/administrators who are involved in ESD in your country?					
Yes ☑ No □	Phase II: Please specify.					
Sub-indicator 3.2.2	Are ESD networks/platforms supported by the government in any way? ²⁶					
Yes ⋈ No 🗌	Please specify how. Please list major ones and describe as appropriate.					
	Issue 4. ENSURE THAT ADEQUATE TOOLS AND MATERIALS FOR ESD ARE ACCESSIBLE					
If necessary, provide	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)					
	Indicator 4.1 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced.					
Sub-indicator 4.1.1	Does a national strategy/ mechanism for encouragement of development and production of ESD tools and materials exist?					
Yes No 🗷	Please describe.					
Sub-indicator 4.1.2	Is public (national, subnational, local) authority money invested in this activity?					
Yes ⊠ No □	Phase II: Please specify to what extent public authority money is invested in this activity, by providing an indication of the amount (in USD) referring to the amount of ESD-related research and development expenditures, annually.					
	Indicator 4.2 Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist.					
Sub-indicator 4.2.1	Do you have quality criteria and/or quality guidelines for ESD-related teaching tools and materials that are: (a) supported by public authorities? (b) approved by public authorities? (c) tested and recommended for selection by educational institutions?					

ESD is addressed by content and/or by methodology.
 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing teacher training universities/colleges regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers.
 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing in-service training programmes regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers in their own universities/colleges.
 Including assistance through direct funding, in-kind help, political and institutional support.

(a) Yes 🔲 No 🗷	Phase I: for (a) and (b) please describe.							
(b) Yes ☐ No ☒ (c) Yes ☐ No ☒	Phase II: Please specify for (a), (b) and (c) for formal, informal and non-formal education. For (c) please also describe.							
Sub-indicator 4.2.2	Are ESD teaching tools / materials available: (a) in national languages? (b) for all levels of education according to ISCED?							
	Phase I: For (a) please specify.							
	Phase II: For (b) please specify in the table by ticking (V) as appropriate.							
	ISCED levels (b) No Yes							
	0							
(a) Yes ⊠ No ☐ (b) Yes ⊠ No ☐	1							
(b) les 🗷 No 📋	2							
	3							
	5							
	6							
	Teacher education							
	Indicator 4.3 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible.							
Sub-indicator 4.3.1	Does a national strategy/mechanism for dissemination of ESD tools and materials exist?							
Yes ☒ No □	Phase II: Please describe.							
Sub-indicator 4.3.2	Is public authority money invested in this activity?							
Yes ℤ No 🗌	Please specify to what extent by providing an indication of the amount in USD referring to the amount of ESD related R&D expenditures, annually.							
Sub-indicator 4.3.3	Are approved ESD teaching materials available through the Internet?							
Yes ℤ No 🗌	Place describe							
	Is a register or database of ESD teaching tools and materials in national language(s): (a) accessible through the Internet? (b) provided through other channels?							
Sub-indicator 4.3.4								
Sub-indicator 4.3.4 (a) Yes No No O								
(a) Yes 🗷 No 🗌	channels?							

	Indicator 5.1 Research ²⁷ on ESD is promoted.							
Sub-indicator 5.1.1	Is research that addresses content and methods for ESD ²⁸ supported?							
Yes ☑ No ☐	Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period, and if feasible, as % of the total research budget.							
Sub-indicator 5.1.2	Does any research evaluate the outcome of the implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD?							
Yes No 🗷	Please specify.							
Sub-indicator 5.1.3	Are post-graduate programmes available: (1) on ESD: ²⁹ (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level? (2) addressing ESD: (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level?							
(1) (a) Yes ⋈ No ☐ (b) Yes ⋈ No ☐ (2) (a) Yes ⋈ No ☐ (b) Yes ⋈ No ☐	Phase II: Please specify for (1) (a) and (b); (2) (a) and (b).							
Sub-indicator 5.1.4	Are there any scholarships supported by public authorities for post-graduate research in ESD: (a) for the master's level; (b) for the doctorate level?							
(a) Yes No (b) Yes No (c)	Phase II: Please specify for (a) and (b).							
_	Indicator 5.2 Development of ESD is promoted.							
Sub-indicator 5.2.1	Is there any support for innovation and capacity-building in ESD practice? ⁵⁰							
Yes ⊠ No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period.							
	Indicator 5.3 Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted.							
Sub-indicator 5.3.1	Is there any public authority support for mechanisms ³¹ to share the results of research and examples of good practices in ESD ³² among authorities and stakeholders?							
Yes ☑ No □	Phase II: please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period.							
Sub-indicator 5.3.2	Are there any scientific publications: (a) specifically on ESD? (b) addressing ESD?							

²⁷ These includes support from various sources, such as state, local authorities, business and non-governmental sources.

²⁸ E.g. concepts; formation of attitudes and values; development of competencies, teaching and learning; school development; implementation of ICT; means of evaluation including socio-economic impacts.

²⁹ ESD is addressed by substance and/or by approach.

³⁰ Activities may include pilot projects, action research, social learning, multi-stakeholder teams.

³¹ E.g. conferences, summer schools, journals, periodicals, networks.

³² E.g. 'participatory approach'; links to local, regional and global problems; integrative approach to environmental, economic and social issues; orientation to understanding, preventing and solving problems.

1									
(a) Yes 🗷 No 🗌	Phase I: For (a) if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.								
(b) Yes 🗷 No 🗌	Phase II: For (b) if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.								
	Issue 6. STRENGTHEN COOPERATION ON ESD AT ALL LEVELS WITHIN THE UNECE REGION								
If necessary, provide r	If necessary, provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)								
Indicator 6.1 International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond.									
Sub-indicator 6.1.1	Sub-indicator 6.1.1 Do your public authorities cooperate in/support international ³³ networks on ESD?								
Yes No 🗷	Phase II: Please specify for national, subnational and local levels and list major networks.								
Sub-indicator 6.1.2	Do educational institutions/organisations (formal and non-formal) in your country participate in international networks related to ESD?								
Yes ☐ No 🗷	Please specify. List major networks.								
Sub-indicator 6.1.3	Are there any state, bilateral and/or multilateral cooperation mechanisms/agreements that include an explicit ESD component?								
Yes ■ No □	Phase II: Please specify and list the major ones.								
Sub-indicator 6.1.4	Does your Government take any steps to promote ESD in international forums outside the UNECE region?								
Yes ■ No □	Please list and describe.								
]	Issue 7. FOSTER CONSERVATION, USE AND PROMOTION OF KNOWLEDGE OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IN ESD								
Provide relevant infor	mation on your country situation regarding this specific issue. (up to 2,000 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.								
Phase II: Please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.									
Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.									
Issue 8.	DESCRIBE ANY CHALLENGES AND OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGY								
Provide relevant infor	mation on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.								
Phase II: please provi	Phase II: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.								
Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.									

³³ In this context, "international associations, working groups, programmes, partnerships etc. covers "global", "regional" and "subregional".

Issue 9. DESCRIBE ANY ASSISTANCE NEEDED TO IMPROVE IMPLEMENTATION

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

Phase II: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Annex 1 (a)

Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.1

Please specify which key themes of SD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum/programme of study at various levels of formal education, by filling in the table below. (Please tick (V) relevant themes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional themes that are considered to be key themes in addressing learning for sustainable development.)

Come how themes covered by quetainable development		IS	CED	Leve	els	
Some key themes covered by sustainable development	0	1	2	3	4	5
Peace studies (international relations, security and conflict resolution, partnerships, etc.)						
Ethics and philosophy						
Citizenship, democracy and governance						
Human rights, (including gender, racial and inter-generational equity;)						
Poverty alleviation						
Cultural diversity						
Biological and landscape diversity						
Environmental Protection (Waste management, etc.)						
Ecological principles/ecosystem approach						
Natural resource management (including water, soil, mineral, fossil fuels, etc)						
Climate change						
Personal and family health (e.g. HIV/AIDS, drug abuse,)						
Environmental health (e.g. food and drinking; water quality; pollution)						
Corporate social responsibility						
Production and/or consumption patterns						
Economics						
Rural/urban development						
Total						
Other (countries to add as many as needed)						

NB The indicator will be reflected by (a) a scale based on the sum of ticks and (b) changes in the pattern of response between subsequent reports.

The assessment key for this table (max. 102 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–5	6–10	11–25	26–50	51–75	76–100
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Annex 1 (b)

Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.2

Please specify the extent to which the following broad areas of competence that support ESD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum³⁴/programme of study at various levels of formal education, by filling in the table below.

(Please tick (V) relevant expected learning outcomes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that are considered to be key outcomes in your country in learning for sustainable development.)

Table of learning outcomes

Competence		IS	CED Levels				
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
	- posing analytical questions/critical thinking						
	- understanding complexity/systemic thinking						
I	 overcoming obstacles/problem-solving 						
Learning to learn Does education at each level enhance	- managing change/problem-setting						
learners' capacity for:	- creative thinking/future-oriented thinking						
learners capacity for.	- understanding interrelationships across disciplines/holistic approach				Ш		
	Total						
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)						
	-						
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
	- applying learning in a variety of life-wide contexts						
	- decision making, including in situations of uncertainty						
I	- dealing with crises and risks						
Learning to do Does education at each level enhance	- acting responsibly						
learners' capacity for:	- acting with self-respect						
rearners capacity for.	- acting with determination						
	Total						
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)						
	-						
Learning to be	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
Does education at each level enhance	- self-confidence						
learners' capacity for:	- self-expression and communication						
	- coping under stress						
	- ability to identify and clarify values (for phase III)						
	Total						
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)						

³⁴ At state level, where relevant.

_

	-											
_	Expected outcomes											
	- acting with responsibility (locally and globally)											
	- acting with respect for others											
I coming to live and work together	- identifying stakeholders and their interests											
Learning to live and work together Does education at each level enhance	- collaboration/team working											
learners' capacity for:	- participation in democratic decision making											
learners capacity for.	- negotiation and consensus building											
	- distributing responsibilities (subsidiarity)											
	Total											
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)											
	-											

NB The indicator will be reflected by (a) a scale based on the sum of ticks and (b) changes in the pattern of response between subsequent reports.

The assessment key for this table (max. 138 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

	No. of ticks	0–7	8–14	15–35	36–70	71–104	105-138
Г	Scale	Α	В	С	D	Е	F

Annex 2

Indicator 2.6, sub-indicator 2.6.1

Please specify to what extent is ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process, by filling in the table below? Please provide examples of good practice. (*Please tick* (*V*) in what type of education stakeholders are involved in both (a) and (b) template-tables.)

Table (a) According to the UNECE Strategy for ESD

Stakeholders	Classification by UNECE Strategy for ESD							
Starenorders	Formal	Non-formal	Informal					
NGOs								
Local government								
Organized labour								
Private sector								
Community-based								
Faith-based								
Media								
Total								
Other (countries to add as many as needed)								

The assessment key for this table (max. 21 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–1	2	3–5	6–10	11–15	16-21
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Table (b) According to UN DESD

	Classification by UN DESD									
Stakeholders	Public awareness	Quality education	Reorienting education	Training	Social learning					
NGOs										
Local government										
Organized labour										
Private sector										
Community-based										
Faith-based										
Media										
Total										
Other (countries to add as many as needed)										

The assessment key for this table (max. 35 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–5	6–11	12–17	18–23	24–29	30–35
Scale	Α	В	С	D	Е	F

Annex 3

Indicator 3.1, sub-indicator 3.1.3

Please specify to what extent is ESD a part of the initial and/or in-service educator's training, by filling in the table below by ticking (V) as appropriate.

% of education professionals who have to integrate ESD into their practice				ve ro ce: (e ceiv see k	ed tr ey be	ainin low)	ıg ³⁵										
ISCED levels	Educators Leaders/administ										trato	trators ³⁶						
			Init	ial*		In service** In						n ser	vice*	vice***				
	A	В	C	D	E	F	A	В	C	D	E	F	A	В	C	D	E	F
0							1											
1							1											
2		l																
3		l																
4		l																
5																		
6							1											
Non-formal							1											
Informal							Ì											

The assessment key for this table (max. 100%) is:

ľ	% of educated trainers	0–5	6–10	11–25	26–50	51–75	76–100
ľ	Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

- * Please indicate the % of educators who have received initial training on ESD to total number of educators by the reporting date.
- ** Please indicate the % of educators who have received training on ESD to total number of educators who received in-service teacher training by the reporting date.
- *** Please indicate the % of leaders/administrators who have received training on ESD to total number of leaders/administrators who received in-service teacher training by the reporting date.

³⁵ Training is understood to include at least one day (a minimum of 5 contact hours).

³⁶ Paragraphs 54 and 55 of the UNECE Strategy on ESD.

Annex 4
Summary and self-assessment³⁷ by countries:

1	Indicator 1.1	Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
2	Indicator 1.2	Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
3	Indicator 1.3	National policies support synergies between processes related to SD and ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
4	Indicator 2.1	SD key themes are addressed in formal education.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
5	Indicator 2.2	Strategies to implement ESD are clearly identified.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
6	Indicator 2.3	A whole-institution approach to ESD/SD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
7	Indicator 2.4	ESD is addressed by quality assessment / enhancement systems.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
8	Indicator 2.5	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal and informal learning are in place to assess changes in knowledge, attitude and practice.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
9	Indicator 2.6	ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
10	Indicator 3.1	ESD is included in the training of educators.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
11	Indicator 3.2	Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
12	Indicator 4.1	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
13	Indicator 4.2	Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
14	Indicator 4.3	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
15	Indicator 5.1	Research on ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
16	Indicator 5.2	Development of ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
17	Indicator 5.3	Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
18	Indicator 6.1	International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed

³⁷ On the basis of the answers to the sub-indicators, please self-assess the status of the implementation of the respective indicator in your country. If feasible, please specify the methodology used for the self-assessment. For the self-assessment, the sub-indicators having the status of "proposed" should be ignored.