# **Espoo Convention on EIA** in a Transboundary Context



# Biannual Financial Report, 30 June 2005 (period between 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> meetings of the Parties)

### Introduction

The Meeting of the Parties to the Espoo Convention requested "the secretariat, in accordance with the financial rules of the United Nations, to monitor the expenditure of the funds and to prepare a report for the next meeting of the Parties, including information on how much Parties and other participating States contributed to the budget of the Convention in cash and in kind, and on how the contributions were spent" (decision III/10, para. 10).

A small group, comprising representatives of Bulgaria, Switzerland and the United Kingdom, was formed by the Convention's Bureau to prepare an informal paper on financing. That informal paper was presented to the Working Group on EIA at its eighth meeting, 27-29 April 2005. The Working Group welcomed the informal paper and decided, inter alia, that the secretariat would report on income and expenditure on a six-monthly basis to the Bureau.

This document is the first such report.

The details of income and expenditure are presented in Tables 2 to 6. Table 1 provides a summary of income and expenditure and presents some of the consequences of the expected short-fall against the budget.

## Summary

This document also provides a narrative description of Table 1, the summary table, for this first financial report. It is expected that future financial reports will not be accompanied by a narrative description.

The total budget for activities under the workplan, in the period between the third and fourth meetings of the Parties, is US\$ 1,312,000. The activities were assigned priorities of 1, 2 or 3 (see Table 6), with the budgets for the priorities being:

BUDGET	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
	US\$ 520,000	US\$ 541,000	US\$ 251,000	US\$ 1,312,000

Parties have made contributions to the Espoo Trust Fund (Table 5), either fulfilling pledges made at the third meeting of the Parties, or in response to a later appeal by the secretariat (Table 2). To date, these contributions, net of the UN programme support charge, total US\$ 325,143. However, some contributors have imposed conditions on their contributions, including US\$ 37,236 ear-marked for priority 2 activities.

TRUST FUND	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
INCOME	US\$ 293,562	US\$ 35,931	-	US\$ 329,493

To date, US\$ 74,559 has been spent from the Espoo Trust Fund (Table 3). The funds have been spent on three priority 1 activities (the second meeting of the Signatories to the Protocol, a meeting of the Working Group on EIA and two meetings of the Implementation Committee) and on two priority 2 activities (two free-standing meetings of the Bureau, and secretariat travel in relation to the workplan):

TRUST FUND	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
EXPENDITURE	US\$ 55,650	US\$ 18,909	-	US\$ 74,559

The balance in the Espoo Trust Fund (income less expenditure) is US\$250,584:

TRUST FUND	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
BALANCE	US\$ 237,912	US\$ 17,022	-	US\$ 254,934

Parties and organizations have also undertaken workplan activities by providing in-kind contributions. These activities have been valued according to the budget indicated by the Meeting of the Parties. The activities were: the exchange of best practice, subregional cooperation, transboundary EIA capacity-building in EECCAA<sup>1</sup> countries and others, SEA capacity-building needs analysis, and capacity development in SEA, including creation of a capacity development manual. The in-kind contributions to date have been valued at US\$ 246,000:

IN-KIND	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
CONTRIBUTIONS	-	US\$ 251,000	-	US\$ 251,000

Deducting from the budget (a) the expenditure from the Espoo Trust Fund, and (b) the budgeted value of the in-kind contributions, provides an indication of the remaining expenditure expected in the period between the third and fourth meetings of the Parties:

OUTSTANDING	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
EXPENDITURE	US\$ 464,350	US\$ 271,091	US\$ 251,000	US\$ 986,441

The current short-fall of funds available against the budget would be expected to be the difference between the outstanding expenditure and the balance in the Espoo Trust Fund. However, this short-fall has to be corrected to take into account the ear-marking of funds for subregional cooperation, which has no budget. The corrected short-fall is thus:

CURRENT SHORT-	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
FALL	US\$ 226,438	US\$ 254,069	US\$ 251,000	US\$ 731,507

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia

However, there are outstanding pledges – pledges made at the third meeting of the Parties that have yet to be paid into the Espoo Trust Fund. These comprise:

OUTSTANDING	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
TRUST FUND	US\$ 158,540	US\$ 13,183	-	US\$ 192,777
INCOME				

There are also outstanding in-kind contributions. (These are: (a) the examination of the substantive relationship between the Convention and the Protocol, the costs of which, if any, are expected to be covered by those carrying out the activity; and (b) Ukraine's pledge to host a workshop for transboundary EIA capacity building, though Ukraine is also seeking donor support.)

OUTSTANDING	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
IN-KIND	-	US\$ 30,000	US\$ 15,000	US\$ 45,000
CONTRIBUTIONS				

Finally, an expected final short-fall in the budget may be estimated from the outstanding expenditure less the outstanding pledges to the Espoo Trust Fund and the outstanding in-kind contributions:

EXPECTED	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	TOTAL
SHORT-FALL	US\$ 67,898	US\$ 210,886	US\$ 236,000	US\$ 514,783

This expected short-fall has consequences for the different priority activities, as discussed below.

## Priority 1 activities

The short-fall for priority 1 activities should be covered by finding sponsors for the fourth meeting of the Parties, new contributions to the Espoo Trust Fund and by savings in undertaking activities. All priority 1 activities are therefore expected to be funded and completed.

## **Priority 2 activities**

The short-fall for priority 2 activities may be covered in part by new contributions (in-kind or to the Espoo Trust Fund) and savings in undertaking activities, thus allowing the following priority 2 activities to be covered by the Espoo Trust Fund (with the SEA capacity-building having ear-marked funds):

- Bureau meetings (free-standing);
- Further secretariat support secretariat travel in relation to the workplan;
- Exchange of best practice in transboundary EIA;
- SEA capacity-building needs analysis action plans; and
- Capacity development in SEA, including creation of a capacity development manual: finalization of manual.

However, the following priority 2 activities are unlikely to receive funds from the Espoo Trust Fund:

- External expert to provide secretariat support (US\$ 120,000);
- Further secretariat support promotional materials (US\$ 10,000); and
- Transboundary EIA capacity-building in the EECCA countries, and others workshops in Armenia and Ukraine (US\$ 30,000 each).

Then again, both Armenia and Ukraine have begun seeking donor funds for direct support of the workshops (and Ukraine has stated that it will carry out the workshop as an in-kind contribution).

## **Priority 3 activities**

The expected short-fall against the budget would probably mean that the following priority 3 activities would not receive funds through the Espoo Trust Fund, though bilateral donors might be identified. These activities are:

- Further secretariat support consultants, promotional materials and secretariat travel in relation to the workplan (US\$ 100,000);
- Promotion of contacts with countries outside the UNECE region (US\$ 25,000); and
- Transboundary EIA capacity-building in the EECCA countries, and others (a) Distribution of a relevant Russian-language journal (*Environmental Expertise and EIA*, published by ECOTERRA, US\$ 51,000), and (b) Training course in Tajikistan (US\$ 60,000).

ECOTERRA and Tajikistan have begun seeking donor funds for direct support of the journal and training course. The examination of the substantive relationship between the Convention and the Protocol, another priority 3 activity, is likely to proceed, given that it no longer has any expected costs for the Espoo Trust Fund.

## Observations on the financial reporting arrangements

The secretariat has the following observations on the financial reporting arrangements:

- 1. There are numerous inconsistencies between the table of pledges in the report of the third meeting of the Parties (ECE/MP.EIA/6), the workplan table (annex IX) and the budget table (annex X). These include contributions to the Espoo Trust Fund earmarked for activities having no budget (subregional cooperation hence the correction in line (h) of Table 1), ear-marked contributions exceeding the activity budget (compliance with and implementation of the Convention), and ear-marked contributions to priority 2 activities when funds are insufficient for priority 1 activities. These inconsistencies persist in the financial report.
- 2. If no budget was expressed in the budget table (annex X), the real value of work contributed to an activity has not been reflected in the financial report (see Table 4).
- 3. Savings or overspend on activities (actual cost against budgeted cost) has not been reflected in the financial report.
- 4. There is no consideration of fund-raising, only reporting of income and expenditure.

Table 1: Summary

		By activity prioirity			
	US\$	1	2	3	
Budget for period between 3rd and 4th meetings of the Parties (ECE/MP.EIA/6, annex X) (from Table 6)	1,312,000	520,000	541,000	251,000	(a)
Contributions made to the Espoo Trust Fund, after deduction of UN programme support costs (from Table 2)	329,493	293,562	35,931	-	(b)
Expenditure from the Espoo Trust Fund (from Table 3)	74,559	55,650	18,909	-	(c)
Balance in the Espoo Trust Fund ((b)-(c))	254,934	237,912	17,022	-	(d)
In-kind contributions made, valued according to the budgeted activity cost (from Table 4) 1)	251,000	-	251,000	-	(e)
Outstanding expenditure ((a)-(c)-(e))	986,441	464,350	271,091	251,000	(f)
Current short-fall against budget ((f)-(d))	731,507	226,438	254,069	251,000	(g)
Outstanding pledged contributions to the Espoo Trust Fund (approximate, from Table 2) <sup>2)</sup>	192,777	158,540	13,183		(h)
Outstanding pledged in-kind contributions, valued according to the budgeted activity cost (from Table 4) 1)	45,000	-	30,000	15,000	(i)
Currently expected short-fall against budget ((h)-(h)-(i)) 3), 4), 5)	514,783	67,898	210,886	236,000	(j)

#### **Notes**

(continued overleaf)

<sup>1)</sup> Some in-kind contributions were for activities for which no cost was specified in the budget, though it may have been in the workplan (ECE/MP.EIA/6, annex IX).

<sup>2)</sup> One pledged contribution of €20,000 is ear-marked for priority 2 subregional cooperation, which has no budgeted activity cost, so overall short-falls should be increased by this amount (less UN programme support costs).

#### Notes (continued):

3) The currently-expected short-fall for priority 1 activities should be covered by finding sponsors for the 4th meeting of the Parties, new contributions to the Espoo Trust Fund and by savings in undertaking activities. All priority 1 activities should therefore be completed:

#### Budgeted costs remaining

#	Activity	Prioirity 1	
1	4th meeting of the Parties to the Espoo Convention, Bucharest	170,000	
2	1st meeting of the Parties to the Convention serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Protocol, Geneva (if to be held before the 4th meeting of the Parties to the Convention)	40,000	
3	Meetings of the Signatories to the Protocol, Geneva (3 meetings in budget, but decision III/12 limits to 2 meetings, 1 of which in April 2005)	97,043	
4	Meetings of Working Group on EIA, Geneva (4 meetings, 1 of which held in April 2005)	137,043	
5	Bureau meetings (back to back with other meetings)	0	
6	Meetings of the Implementation Committee, Geneva (6 meetings, of which one held autumn 2004, one in March 2005)	20,264	
11	Review of the implementation of the Convention	0	
17	Institutional and procedural activities	0	
	Total (corresponds to line (f))	464,350	

4) The short-fall for priority 2 activities may be covered by finding sponsors for the 4th meeting of the Parties, new contributions (in-kind or to the Espoo Trust Fund) and savings in undertaking activities, thus allowing the following priority 2 activities to be covered by the Espoo Trust Fund (with the SEA capacity-building having ear-marked funds):

#### Budgeted costs remaining

#	Activity	Priority 2	
5a	Bureau meetings (free-standing)	237	
8.2	Further secretariat support: Secretariat travel in relation to the workplan	25,854	
12	Exchange of best practice	5,000	
13	Subregional cooperation	0	
18.2	SEA capacity-building needs analysis: Action plans	20,000	
19.3	Capacity development in SEA, including creation of a capacity development manual: Finalization of manual	30,000	
	Total (corresponds to line (f), with totals under note 5)	81,091	

5) However, the following activities in the workplan are now **unlikely to receive funds through the Espoo Trust Fund**, though bilateral donors might be identified, or in-kind contributions made. One priority 3 activity, on the examination of the substantive relationship between the Convention and the Protocol (no. 16, budgeted at US\$15,000), should proceed as there is to be no cost for the Espoo Trust Fund.

		E	Budgeted costs	
#	Activity	Priority:	2	3
7	External expert to provide secretariat support		120,000	
8.1	Further secretariat support: Promotional materials		10,000	
8a	Further secretariat support			100,000
9	Promotion of contacts with countries outside UNECE region			25,000
	Capacity-building in EECCA countries, & others:			
14.3	Workshop in Ukraine (though now pledged / donors sought)		30,000	
14.4	Workshop in Armenia (donors sought)		30,000	
15.1	Distribution of a Russian-language journal (donors sought)			51,000
15.2	Training course, Tajikistan (donors sought)			60,000
	Totals (corresponds to line (f), once activity no. 16 taken into account)		190,000	236,000

Table 2: Income to the Espoo Trust Fund (US\$)

(at 30 June 2005)

Exchange rates for pledges:
US\$/euro
US\$/CAD\$

0.81

#### Notes:

All Parties to the Convention are listed (column 1), whether or not contributing to the Trust Fund. Shares (in column 2) are US\$ 1,000 in value. UN programme support costs at 13% are deducted at the foot of this table.

The distribution of pledges (columns 3, 5, 7 and 9) across the three-year period is approximate where not specified in the pledge (column 2); the amounts of the annual pledges are approximate if the total pledge is not in US\$.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Party	Description of pledge in	2004	-2005		-2006	2006	-2007
	ECE/MP.EIA/6, plus additional contributions	Pledge	Receipt	Pledge	Receipt	Pledge	Receipt
Albania	none (Non-pledged contribution expected)	0	0	0	0	0	
Armenia	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Austria	5 shares per year for three years.	5,000	5,000	5,000	0	5,000	
Azerbaijan	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Belgium	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Bulgaria	An contribution in kind to host a sub- regional cooperation meeting in 2005.	0	0	0	0	0	
Canada	A total of Can\$ 15,000 for the three-year period, ear-marked for activities related to the Espoo Convention only. An initial Can\$ 5,000 will be provided in 2004 to be followed by a Can\$ 5,000 contribution for each of the subsequent 2 years. (This contribution is subject to currency exchanges.)	4,050	8,030	4,050	0	4,050	
Croatia	A total of 5 shares for the three-year period.	2,000	1,989	2,000	1,940	1,000	
Cyprus	(Additional contribution, not pledged.)	0	3,000	0	0	0	
Czech Republic	5 shares in 2005 earmarked for the activity "Production of a capacity develop-ment manual, & provision of training, to support implementation of the SEA" & 5 shares in 2006 (not earmarked).	0	0	5,000		5,000	
Denmark	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Estonia	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Finland	A total of 30 to 35 shares for the three-year period.	10,833	8,251	10,833		10,833	
France	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Germany	A total of 30 shares for the three-year period, half of which to be paid in 2004. Half of pledge ear-marked for Implementation Committee activities, and half for participation in meetings by NGOs and countries with economies in transition.	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	0	0
Greece	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Hungary	3 shares per year for three years.	3,000	2,981	3,000	2,980	3,000	

Ireland	Approximately 12 shares (€10,000) per year for three years, earmarked for capacity development in SEA, including creation of a capacity development manual; sub-activity: drafting of manual.	12,100	13,210	12,100	12,937	12,100	
Italy	Approximately 32 shares (€25,000) in 2004, plus approximately €20,000 earmarked for sub-regional cooperation activities (Mediterranean meeting).	30,250	30,843	24,200		0	
Kazakhstan	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Kyrgyzstan	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Latvia	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Liechtenstein	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Lithuania	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Luxembourg	(Additional contribution, not pledged.)	0	8,000	0	0	0	
Netherlands	Approximately 12 shares (€10,000) per year for three years, earmarked for the activity "Compliance with and implement-ation of the Convention".	12,100	38,911	12,100	0	12,100	0
Norway	20 to 25 shares per year for three years, subject to approval.	22,500	10,846	22,500		22,500	
Poland	2 shares in 2004.	2,000	2,000	0	0	0	
Portugal	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Republic of Moldova	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Romania	5 shares in 2005 and again in 2006.	0	0	5,000	5,000	5,000	
Slovakia	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Slovenia	3 shares per year from 2005.	0	0	3,000		3,000	
Spain	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Sweden	A total of US\$ 20,000 for the three-year period (equivalent to 20 shares), in cash or in kind, earmarked for sub-regional cooperation around the Baltic Sea.	0	0	0		0	
Switzerland	15 shares in 2004, plus contributions in kind. 15 to 20 shares per year in subsequent years, subject to approval.	15,000	14,975	17,500	17,475	17,500	
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	none	0	0	0	0	0	
Ukraine	none (Expecting to contribute in- kind to subregional capacity- building workshop)	0	0	0	0	0	
United Kingdom*	50 shares in 2004, with similar contributions indicated in 2005 & 2006 but subject to approval.	50,000	50,000		50,000	50,000	
European Community	Approximately 61 shares (€50,000) in 2004.	60,500	60,360			0	
Total		244,333	273,396	191,283	105,332	151,083	0
13% UN progr	amme support costs		35,541		13,693		0
Net Income			237,855		91,639		0

<sup>\*</sup>The United Kingdom has also contributed US\$ 40,000 to the Secretariat's extrabudgetary post in 2005.

Table 3: Expenditure from the Espoo Trust Fund

# Activity		Priority	Sub-activity	Cost type	Amount	Totals by priority		
					US\$	1	2	3
3.1	Meetings of the Signatories to the	1	2nd meeting (costs halved with 8th meeting of Working Group),	CIT travel & DSA NGO travel & DSA	16,296 4,479			
	Protocol, Geneva Activity total	-	25-26 Apr. 2005, Geneva	non-ECE travel & DSA	2,182	22,957		
1.1	Meetings of Working	1	8th meeting (costs halved with	CIT travel & DSA	16,296			
	Group on EIA, Geneva	_	2nd meeting of Signatories), 27-29 Apr. 2005, Geneva	non-ECE travel & DSA	4,479 2,182	00.057		
a.1	Activity total  Meetings of the	<b>-</b> 2	1st meeting, 8-10 Nov. 2004,	CIT travel & DSA	3,310	22,957		
a.2	Bureau (free-	_	Geneva	CIT travel & DSA				
a.z	standing, only one budgeted)	_	2nd meeting, 21 Feb. 2005, Geneva	CIT traver & DSA	1,453		4.700	
5.1	Activity total  Meetings of the	<b>-</b> 1	6th meeting, 3-5 Nov. 2004	CIT travel & DSA	5,657	-	4,763	
6.2	Implementation Committee, Geneva		7th meeting, 3-4 Mar. 2005, Helsinki	on haver a box	4,079			
	Activity total	<b>-</b> -				9,736		
.2.1	Further secretariat support: Secretariat travel in relation to	2	EIA capacity building (Issyk-Kul, Kyrgyzstan), 5-7 Oct. 2004	Secretariat travel & DSA	3,076			
.2.2			SEA Manual (Brussels), 2 Dec. 2004		1,070			
.2.3			Subregional cooperation (Belgrade), 16-17 Dec. 2004	Indicative amounts:	2,000			
.2.4			Implementation Committee meeting (Helsinki), 3-4 Mar. 2005		1,000			
.2.5			Caspian Protocol (Moscow), 9- 11 Mar. 2005		2,000			
.2.6			Caspian Sea training (Baku), 4-5 Apr. 2005		1,000			
.2.7			Transboundary public participation (Mistelbach, Austria), 14 Apr. 2005		900			
.2.8			SEA Manual (Tbilisi), 21-22 Apr. 2005		1,100			
.2.9			Tajikistan (Subregional cooperation in transboundary river basins), 20 May - 4 June 2005		2,000			
	Activity total	<del>-</del> -	2000			• •	14,146	
	Totals				74,559	55,650	18,909	_

#### Table 4: In-kind contributions

Indicated value (US\$) is that indicated in the current budget (ECE/MP.EIA/6, annex X); the total value according to the budget is presented in the summary Table 1.

In the 'notes' column, 'workplan' refers to ECE/MP.EIA, annex IX.

#	A - 4114	Activity Priority Sub-activity Date Source Value Notes							By priority	Jilly	
#	Activity	Priority	Sub-activity	Date	Source	(US\$)	Notes	1	2	3	
12.1	Exchange of best practice	2	Workshop on trans- boundary projects	Apr-05	Switzerland	1,000	According to budget	-	1,000	-	
13.1	Subregional cooperation	2	Belgrade, for South- East Europe	Dec-04	Serbia & Montenegro		No budget, but workplan indicates	-	-	-	
14.1	Capacity-building in	2	Preparation of draft	2004	Switzerland OSCE &		costs of \$20,000 According to budget	-	-	-	
14.2	EECCAA countries, &		guidance	0.104	Switzerland	20.000	A 15 / 1 / 1	-	20,000	-	
14.2	others	2	1st workshop (Kyrgyzstan)	Oct-04	OSCE & Switzerland	30,000	According to budget	-	30,000	-	
14.5		2	National workshops			0	No budget, but workplan indicates costs of \$5,000 per meeting.	_	_	_	
18.1	SEA capacity-building needs analysis	2	National and subregional needs analyses	2004	UNDP/RBEC	20,000	According to budget	_	20,000	_	
19.1	Capacity development in SEA, including creation of a capacity	2	Drafting of manual	Summer 2004- April	REC-CEE	45,000	According to budget				
	development manual			2005				-	45,000	-	
19.2		2	Subregional workshops	Dec-04 - Apr-05	REC-CEE	130,000	According to budget	_	130,000	-	
19.3	]	2	Finalization of manual	Spring 2005	REC-CEE	5,000	Remaining \$30,000 to come from Espoo				
							Trust Fund	-	5,000	-	
	Totals		•	•	•	251,000		-	251,000		

### Outstanding pledged in-kind contributions:

13	Subregional	2	Workshop in Ohrid, the	The former	0	No budget, but			
	cooperation		former Yugoslav	Yugoslav		workplan indicates			
			Republic of Macedonia,	Republic of		costs of \$20,000			
			for South-East Europe	Macedonia, &					
				Switzerland			-	-	-
		2	Workshop in Sofia, for	Bulgaria	0	No budget, but			
			Black Sea & Balkans			workplan indicates			
			subregion			costs of \$20,000	-	-	-
		2	Workshop for	Italy, Croatia &	0	No budget, but			
			Mediterranean	UNEP/MAP		workplan indicates			
			subregion			costs of \$20,000	-	-	-
		2	Workshop in Stockholm	Sweden,	0	No budget, but			
			for Baltic Sea subregion	Denmark,		workplan indicates			
				Finland &		costs of \$20,000			
				Estonia			-	-	-
14.4	Capacity-building in	2	Workshop in Ukraine	Ukraine and	30,000	According to budget			
	EECCAA countries, &		for East European						
	others		subregion				-	30,000	-
16	Examination of the	3		EC (& Belgium)	15,000	Costs were not			
	substantive					pledged, but no costs			
	relationship between					now expected.			
	the Convention and								
	the Protocol						-	-	15,000
17	Institutional and	1		Germany,	0	No budget			
	procedural activities			Netherlands &					
	for the Protocol			UK				-	-
	Totals		·		45,000		- 1	30,000	15,000

**Espoo Convention** 

Report on income and expenditure

Period to 30 June 2005

Table 5: Income to the Espoo Trust Fund by date (US\$)

Income for (a) workplan budget and (b) extrabudgetary post

(a) Income for workplan budget

Date	Donor	Currency	Amount (US\$)
2004			
29 April 2004	Italy	USD30842.5	30,843
25 May 2004	Norway	USD10846.49	10,846
02 July 2004	European Commission	USD60360	60,360
09 August 2004	Germany	USD15000	15,000
21 September 2004	Hungary	USD2980.5	2,981
13 October 2004	Finland	EUR6700	8,251
06 December 2004	United Kingdom	USD50000	50,000
10 December 2004	Croatia	EUR1500	1,989
21 December 2004	Poland	USD2000	2,000
2005			
05 January 2005	Austria	USD5000	5,000
10 January 2005	Switzerland	USD14975	14,975
12 January 2005	Luxembourg	EUR8000	8,000
20 February 2005	Germany (2nd payment)	USD15000	15,000
15 March 2005	Ireland	EUR10000	13,210
01 March 2005	Cyprus	USD3000	3,000
08 April 2005	Netherlands (all payments)	EUR30000	38,911
15 April 2005	Canada (main payment)	USD8029.55	8,030
26 April 2005	Hungary (2nd payment)	USD2979.5	2,980
02 May 2005	Switzerland (2nd payment)	USD17475	17,475
04 May 2005	Croatia (2nd payment)	EUR1500	1,940
10 May 2005	United Kingdom (2nd payment)	USD50000	50,000
18 May 2005	Ireland (2nd payment)	EUR10000	12,937
14 June 2005	Romania	USD5000	5,000
	TOTAL		378,728

(b) Contribution for extrabudgetary post

Date	Donor	Currency	Amount
23 March 2005	United Kingdom	USD40000	40,000

Table 6: Budget, after ECE/MP.EIA/6, annex X (US\$)

#	Activity	Priority Sub-activity		Budget		By priority		
				(US\$)	1	2	3	
1	4th meeting of the Parties to the Espoo Convention, Bucharest	1	-	170,000	170,000	-	-	
2	1st meeting of the Parties to the Convention	1	-	40,000	40,000	_	_	
-	serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the			10,000	.0,000			
	Protocol, Geneva (if to be held before the 4th							
	meeting of the Parties to the Convention)							
3	Meetings of the Signatories to the Protocol,	1	-	120,000	120,000	_	_	
ŭ	Geneva (3 meetings in budget, but decision			.20,000	.20,000			
	III/12 limits to 2 meetings)							
4	Meetings of Working Group on EIA, Geneva (4	1	-	160,000	160,000	-	-	
5	meetings) Bureau meetings (back to back with other	1		0	_	_	_	
3	meetings)	'		o o				
5a	Meetings of the Bureau (free-standing, only one	2		5,000	-	5,000	-	
	budgeted)							
6	Meetings of the Implementation Committee, Geneva (6 meetings)	1	-	30,000	30,000	-	-	
7	External expert to provide secretariat support for	2		120,000	_	120,000	_	
•	the implementation of the Convention and the	_		.20,000		.20,000		
	Protocol							
					-	-	-	
8.1	Further secretariat support for the implementation of the Convention and the	2	Promotional materials	10,000	-	10,000	-	
8.2	Protocol	2	Secretariat travel in relation to the Work Plan	40,000	-	40,000	-	
			the Work Flatt		_	_	_	
8a.1	Further secretariat support for the	3	Consultants	60,000	-	_	60,000	
8a.2	implementation of the Convention and the	3	Promotional materials	20,000	-	_	20,000	
8a.3	Protocol	3	Secretariat travel in relation to	20,000	-	_	20,000	
					-	-	· -	
9	Promotion of contacts with countries outside the	3		25,000	-	-	25,000	
	UNECE region							
11	Review of the implementation of the Convention	1		0	-	-	-	
12	Exchange of best practice (6 meetings)	2		6,000	_	6,000	_	
13	Subregional cooperation	2		-	_	-	_	
10	Cubrogional ocoporation				_	_		
14.1	Capacity-building in EECCAA countries, &	2	Preparation of draft guidance	20,000	-	20,000	-	
	others		,	·				
14.2		2	Start-up workshop in	30,000	-	30,000	-	
14.3		2	Kyrgyzstan Subregional workshop in	30,000		30,000		
14.3		2	Armenia	30,000	-	30,000	-	
14.2		2	Subregional workshop in	30,000	-	30,000	-	
			Ukraine					
14.5		2	National workshops	0	-	-	-	
45.4	One anite healthing to the control of the		Distribution of control	54.000	-	-	-	
15.1	Capacity-building in the countries of Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia, and	3	Distribution of a relevant Russian-language journal	51,000	-	-	51,000	
	others		(Environmental Expertise and					
			EIA)					
15.2		3	Training course, Tajikistan	60,000	-	-	60,000	
					-	-	-	
16.1	Examination of the substantive relationship	3	Workshop back to back with	5,000	-	-	5,000	
	between the Convention and the Protocol		other meetings in Geneva					
16.2		3	Preparation of a report by a	10,000	_	_	10,000	
10.2			consultant	10,000	_	_	10,000	
					-	-	-	
17	Institutional and procedural activities	1		0	-	-	-	
					-	-	-	
18.1	SEA capacity-building needs analysis	2	National and subregional	20,000	-	20,000	-	
40.0			needs analyses	20.222		00.000		
18.2		2	Preparation of action plans	20,000	-	20,000	-	
10.4	Canacity development in SEA including	2	Drafting of manual	4F 000	-	- 45.000	-	
19.1 19.2	Capacity development in SEA, including creation of a capacity development manual	2	Drafting of manual	45,000 130,000	-	45,000 130,000	-	
19.2		2	Subregional workshops Finalization of manual	35,000	-	130,000 35,000	-	
	L Totals		anzador of mandal				251,000	
	LOTOIC			1,312,000	520,000	541,000	251 000	

Budget Page 1